

PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME
NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard
Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



 $Duration\ of\ stability\ testing\ \hbox{-}\ minimum\ up to\ 8\ days\ at\ ambient\ temp.\ after\ dispatch\ of\ specimens$

EQAP CODE No.: 285

Distribution No.: 147-A

Month/Year: April/2019

Instrument ID: Swelab Alfa (Sl. no. 16156)

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Renu Saxena (Prof & Head), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730, E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 22-05-2019[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

| | s S.No. | _ | | Among Lab (Accuracy Testing) | | | Within Lab (Precision Testing) | | | |
|---------------------------|---------|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--------|--|
| Test Parameter | | Your Result 1 | Your Result 2 | Your Results Sum of 2 Value | Consensus result sum of 2 values | Z Score | Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values | Consensus Result Diff, of 2 values | numer. | |
| WBC $\times 10^3/\mu l$ | 1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 8 | 7.81 | 0.16 | 0 | 0.1 | 10 | |
| RBC x10 ⁶ /μl | 1 | 3.81 | 3.79 | 7.6 | 7.72 | -0.74 | 0.02 | 0.03 | -1.5 | |
| Hb g/dl | 1 | 13.9 | 13.7 | 27.6 | 27.5 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.03 | -0.34 | |
| НСТ% | 1 | 42.5 | 42.4 | 84.9 | 87.1 | -0.35 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.35 | |
| MCV-fl | 1 | 111.9 | 111.5 | 223.4 | 224.75 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 13/10 | -0.54 | |
| MCH-Pg | 1 | 36.4 | 36.3 | 72.7 | 71.35 | 0.73 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.45 | |
| MCHC-g/dl | 1 | 32.6 | 32.4 | 65 | 7.7.7. | 0.43 | 0.1 | 0.2 | -0.45 | |
| Plt. x10 ³ /μ1 | 1 | 111 | 104 | 215 | 262 | -0.83 | | 0.2 | 0 | |
| Retic Count % | 2 | 2.7 | | 5.3 | 75. | 0.25 | 7 | 0.0 | 0.81 | |
| AIDUST | | 700g | | | . Assesment | 0.43 | 0.1 | 0.2 | -0.45 | |
| | | , | YOUR REP | | . Assesment | | | | | |
| DLC% | 3 | Nrbcs=01 Mono/Pror Other=WB mature cell | per 100 Wil nono=03, C's are ma s and some | BC's , Poly= | Poly: 60-70, nRBC/Eo/M | consensus report oly: 60-70, Lymph: 2-6, RBC/Eo/Mono/Blast/Pro: 0-5, Iyelo: 6-12, Meta: 6-12, Baso: 2-5 | | | | |
| RBC Morphology | 3 | Mild to moderate hypochromic cells, some anisocytosis with many microcytes and some microcytes,Occasional poikilocytosis & polychromasia with occas. crenated RBC's, no nucleated RBC's seen | | | | | Predominantly: Normocytic & Normochromic, Anisocytosis. Moderate: Microcytic. Mild: Hypochromic | | | |
| Diagnosis | 3 (| Chronic My | eloid Leul | kaemia | 78.7 | Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase) | | | | |

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

| Test parameters | S.No. | Total participants covered in the current dist. | Total No. responded | % of Labs with Z Score 0-2 | | % of Labs with Z Score 2-3 | | % of Labs with Z Score >3 | | |
|---------------------------|-------|---|---------------------|--|------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|--|
| | | | | Among labs | Within lab | Among labs | Within lab | Among labs | Within lab | |
| WBC x10 ³ /µl | 1 | 450 | 407 | 90.42 | 91.4 | 4.67 | 5.65 | 4.91 | 2.95 | |
| RBC x10 ⁶ /μ1 | 1 | 450 | 407 | 89.93 | 85.01 | 4.67 | 6.88 | 5.41 | 8.11 | |
| Hb g/dl | 1 | 450 | 407 | 86.98 | 86 | 7.37 | | 5.65 | 6.63 | |
| НСТ% | 1 | 450 | 407 | 94.59 | 87.47 | 4.42 | 120.00.0 | 0.98 | | |
| MCV-fl | 1 | 450 | 406 | 94.33 | arces and | 3.69 | 3.94 | 1.97 | 6.14 | |
| MCH-Pg | 1 | 450 | 404 | | 82.92 | 5.2 | | | 6.65 | |
| MCHC-g/dl | 1 | 450 | 406 | 2.2.5 | | 2.71 | | 2.97 | 9.41 | |
| Plt. x10 ³ /µl | 1 | 450 | 1270-0-2 | C-101.7.7. | | | | 1.23 | 7.39 | |
| ReticCount% | | V-0-0- | 1.7-3. | | E 5.14 | 2.96 | 8.13 | 3.45 | 5.17 | |
| | | | 348 | 89.08 | 85.92 | 4.31 | 2.59 | 3.74 | 8.62 | |
| PS Assessment | 3 | 450 | 408 | Acceptable:96.8%, Warning Signal:3.2%, Unacceptable:0% | | | | | | |

*Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): Acceptable Results
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Acceptable Precision.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values – Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values – Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ± 3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

Dr. R. Saxena

Prof & Head, Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi.

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

-----End Of Report-----