



PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME

NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No.: 3189

Distribution No.: 149-H

Month/Year: November/2019

Instrument ID: PENTRAXL80 - 811PXL8479

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Renu Saxena (Prof & Head), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730, E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 11-12-2019[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

				Amo	ng Lab (Acc	curacy Testi	ng)	With	in Lab (Pre	n Lab (Precision Testing)		
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values		Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values		Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	
WBC x10³/μl	1	6.2	5.9	12.1	11.25	0.1350	0.55	0.3	0.11	0.0210	1.28	
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	4.96	4.92	9.88	10.05	0.0210	-0.60	0.04	0.04	0.0060	0.00	
Hb g/dl	1	12.6	12.6	25.2	25.4	0.0570	-0.30	0	0.1	0.0160	-0.67	
НСТ%	1	36.9	36.9	73.8	78.85	0.3710	-1.01	0	0.3	0.0560	-0.51	
MCV-fl	1	75	74	149	156.85	0.6470	-0.94	1	0.2	0.0410	2.70	
МСН-Рд	1	25.5	25.3	50.8	50.6	0.1190	0.14	0.2	0.2	0.0280	0.00	
MCHC-g/dl	1	34.1	34	68.1	64	0.3040	1.14	0.1	0.3	0.0440	-0.67	
Plt. x10³/μl	1	374	372	746	735	5.55	0.16	2	9	1.15	-0.57	
Retic %	2	0.2	0.1	0.3	6.5	0.39	-0.67	0.1	0.3	48.00	-0.30	

P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT					
DLC%	Nrbcs=03 , Poly=02 L=04, E=01, 3 Mono/Promono= , B1=90 P.M.=, Mye=02, Meta=01, Other=		Blast: 80-90, Poly: 5-7, Lymph: 2-7, Eo/Mono/Pro/My/Meta: 0-4					
RBC Morphology	3	MICROCYTIC HYPOCHROMIC	Predominantly: Normocytic Normochromic. Moderate: Anisocytosis. Mild: Microcytic					
Diagnosis	3	ACUTE LEUKEMIA. ADVISED FLOW CYTOMETRY	Acute Leukemia (myeloid lineage)					

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants covered in the current dist.	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Lab		% of Labs with Z Score >3			
	3.140.			Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab		
WBC x10³/μl	1	100	82	80.49	87.8	10.98	4.88	12.2	10.98		
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	100	82	84.15	91.46	6.1	0	13.41	8.54		
Hb g/dl	1	100	82	87.8	86.59	4.88	7.32	7.32	9.76		
HCT%	1	100	82	90.24	89.02	3.66	0	6.1	13.41		
MCV-fl	1	100	82	87.8	91.46	2.44	1.22	9.76	10.98		
MCH-Pg	1	100	82	84.15	87.8	7.32	3.66	10.98	10.98		
MCHC-g/dl	1	100	82	86.59	90.24	6.1	1.22	7.32	9.76		
Plt. x10³/μl	1	100	82	87.8	89.02	4.88	6.1	10.98	8.54		
ReticCount%	2	100	62	100	93.55	4.84	8.06	3.23	6.45		
PS Assessment	3	100	68	Acceptable:93.5%,Warning Signal:3.9%,Unacceptable:2.6%							

*Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value $> \pm 3$ are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\overline{x}-\overline{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

Dr. R. Saxena

Prof & Head, Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi.

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

-----End Of Report-----





PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



 $\label{prop:prop:prop:prop:prop:stability} \textit{Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens}$

EQAP CODE No.: 3189

Distribution No.: 150-H

Month/Year: January/2020

Instrument ID: ABXPENTRAXL80-811PXL8479

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730, E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 29-07-2020[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

				Amo	ng Lab (Ac	curacy Testin	ng)	With	in Lab (Pre	cision Testi	ng)	
Test Parameters	S.No.	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values		Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values		Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μl	1	4.9	4.9	9.8	9.6	0.0560	0.29	0	0.1	0.0140	-0.79	
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	3.51	3.49	7	7.02	0.0120	-0.11	0.02	0.02	0.0030	0.00	
Hb g/dl	1	11.3	11.3	22.6	22.7	0.0490	-0.13	0	0.1	0.0120	-1.35	
НСТ%	1	32.5	32.1	64.6	66.3	0.2350	-0.45	0.4	0.3	0.0370	0.27	
MCV-fl	1	93	92	185	189.25	0.5880	-0.48	1	0.3	0.0470	1.18	
MCH-Pg	1	32.4	32.3	64.7	65	0.1510	-0.13	0.1	0.3	0.0330	-0.63	
MCHC-g/dl	1	35.2	34.9	70.1	68.5	0.2730	0.36	0.3	0.4	0.0350	-0.34	
Plt. x10³/μl	1	163	161	324	334	1.99	-0.36	2	5	0.48	-0.67	
Retic %	2								Light of the Co	ogen.		

P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT					
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=02, Poly=66 L=03, E=01, Mono/Promono=02, B1=07 P.M.=, Mye=17, Meta=04, Other=	Poly: 45-55, L: 2-7, nRBC/Eo/Mono/Blast/Pro : 0-5, Myelo: 15-25, Meta: 10-20, Baso: 0-3.					
RBC Morphology	3	DIMORPHIC	Predominantly: Normocytic Normochromic, Anisocytosis. Moderate: Hypochrmoic, Mild: Microcytic.					
Diagnosis	3	POSSIBILITIY OF CML. ADVISED PHILADELPHIA CHROMOSOME ANALYSIS FOR CONFIRMATION.	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase) : CML-CP.					

EQAP Code No.: 3189 Distribution No.: 150-H

Month/Year: January/2020

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants No. covered in the current dist.	Total No.	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3		
	5.110.		responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	
WBC x10³/μl	1	450	108	80.56	80.56	7.41	7.41	8.33	9.26	
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	450	108	84.26	84.26	3.7	5.56	12.04	9.26	
Hb g/dl	1	450	108	87.04	90.74	9.26	0.93	3.7	8.33	
HCT%	1	450	108	92.59	85.19	5.56	3.7	1.85	10.19	
MCV-fl	1	450	108	89.81	92.59	7.41	1.85	2.78	5.56	
MCH-Pg	1	450	108	89.81	84.26	7.41	8.33	2.78	7.41	
MCHC-g/dl	1	450	108	93.52	83.33	5.56	10.19	0	5.56	
Plt. x10³/µl	1	450	108	85.19	91.67	4.63	1.85	10.19	6.48	
ReticCount%	2	450	. 88	84.09	90.91	6.82	1.14	5.68	9.09	
PS Assessment	3	450	97	Acceptable:90.2%,Warning Signal:7.8%,Unacceptable:2%						

*Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value $> \pm 3$ are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\overline{x}-\overline{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

The Mark Association

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----