



PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT
ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME
 NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard
 Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No. : 3409

Distribution No.: 151-I

Month/Year: August/2020

Instrument ID: XP100-B6342

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,
 Tel: 9013085730, E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 23-10-2020[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

Test Parameters	S.No.	Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)						Within Lab (Precision Testing)			
		Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC $\times 10^3/\mu\text{l}$	1	7	7	14	13.56	0.1090	0.24	0	0.16	0.0180	-0.99
RBC $\times 10^6/\mu\text{l}$	1	4.08	4.07	8.15	8.3	0.0140	-0.60	0.01	0.05	0.0510	-0.77
Hb g/dl	1	12.6	12.5	25.1	25.15	0.0540	-0.06	0.1	0.1	0.0140	0.00
HCT%	1	36.2	36	72.2	80	0.3180	-1.36	0.2	0.4	0.0470	-0.40
MCV-f	1	88.7	88.5	177.2	193.1	0.7000	-1.44	0.2	0.4	0.0450	-0.45
MCH-Pg	1	31	30.6	61.6	60.7	0.1230	0.45	0.4	0.3	0.0350	0.34
MCHC-g/dl	1	35	34.5	69.5	63.05	0.2490	1.55	0.5	0.3	0.0290	0.57
Plt. $\times 10^3/\mu\text{l}$	1	233	222	455	407.5	2.11	1.29	11	6	0.66	0.63
Retic %	2	2.8	2.5	5.3	8.6	0.49	-0.26	0.3	0.5	0.08	-0.34

P.S. Assessment

YOUR REPORT		CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3 Nrtcs=, Poly=14 L=03, E=, Mono/Promono=, B1=49 P.M =, Mye=09, Meta=25, Other=	Poly 25-45, nRBC/Lymph/Mono/Eo/Pr/Blast 0-5, Myelo 20-40, Meta 10-20, Baso 0-4
RBC Morphology	3 normocytic normochromic	Predominantly Normocytic Normochromic, Anisocytosis Mild-to-Macrocytic, Mild Macrocytic
Diagnosis	3 Acute myeloid leukemia	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia-Chronic Phase [CML-CP]

EQAP Code No.: 3409

Distribution No.: 151-I Month/Year: August/2020 Instrument ID: XP100-B6342

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants covered in the current dist.	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
				Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10 ³ /µl	1	200	128	84.38	86.72	3.91	1.56	6.25	7.03
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	200	128	88.28	82.81	3.91	3.91	3.13	8.59
Hb g/dl	1	200	128	86.72	52.34	4.69	31.25	3.91	11.72
HCT%	1	200	128	89.84	82.81	4.69	3.91	0.78	8.59
MCV-fl	1	200	128	86.72	89.06	6.25	0.78	2.34	5.47
MCH-Pg	1	200	128	83.59	85.94	8.59	3.91	3.13	5.47
MCHC-g/dl	1	200	128	89.84	85.94	4.69	4.69	0.78	4.69
Plt. x10 ³ /µl	1	200	128	86.72	89.06	4.69	2.34	3.91	3.91
ReticCount%	2	200	108	86.11	82.41	5.56	4.63	8.33	12.96
PS Assessment	3	200	125	Acceptable:82.8%,Warning Signal:27.2%,Unacceptable :0%					

Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA) : PS partially correct, remaining results acceptable
- 2). Within Lab (IQA) : Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance) : Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ±2: Acceptable, Z score ±2 to ±3 :Warning Signal, Z score > ±3 : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ±2" are texted in green colour. Z score value between "±2 to ±3" are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ±3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample ($\bar{x}-\bar{y}$) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,



Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----



PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT
ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME
 NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard
 Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No. : 3409

Distribution No.: 150-1

Month/Year: February/2020

Instrument ID: xp-100 B6342

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,
 Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 29-07-2020[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

Test Parameters	S.No.	Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)						Within Lab (Precision Testing)			
		Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10 ³ /µl	1	3.1	3	6.1	6.17	0.0480	-0.08	0.1	0.1	0.0080	0.00
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	4.12	3.97	8.09	8.13	0.0110	-0.23	0.15	0.04	0.0400	2.47
Hb g/dl	1	13.2	12.8	26	25.3	0.0450	0.86	0.4	0.1	0.0120	2.02
HCT%	1	35.7	34.4	70.1	75.1	0.3170	-0.91	1.3	0.4	0.0330	4.05
MCV-fI	1	86.7	86.6	173.3	184.5	0.6050	-0.92	0.1	0.3	0.0360	-0.54
MCH-Pg	1	32.2	32	64.2	62.3	0.1280	0.93	0.2	0.2	0.0260	0.00
MCHC-g/dl	1	37.2	37	74.2	66.5	0.2700	1.49	0.2	0.2	0.0270	0.00
Plt. x10 ³ /µl	1	213	211	424	333	2.11	2.73	2	5	0.51	-0.58
Retic %	2	2.1	1.9	4	5.1	0.45	-0.28	0.2	0.3	0.04	-0.34

P.S , Assesment

YOUR REPORT			CONSENSUS REPORT		
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=00 , Poly=43 L=13, E=02, Mono/Promono=00 , B1=12 P.M.=02, Mye=14, Meta=14, Other=	Poly: 55-65, L: 2-10, nRBC/Eo/Mono/Pro: 0-5, Myelo: 10-20, Meta: 10-20, Baso: 0-2		
RBC Morphology	3	Normocytic Normochromic	Predominantly: Normocytic Normochromic. Moderate: Anisocytosis. Mild: Microcytic.		
Diagnosis	3	CHRONIC MYELOID LEUKEMIA	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase) : CML-CP		

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants covered in the current dist.	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
				Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10 ³ /µl	1	450	115	88.7	57.39	2.61	37.39	8.7	5.22
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	450	115	86.96	88.7	6.09	6.09	6.96	5.22
Hb g/dl	1	450	115	93.04	86.96	5.22	9.57	1.74	3.48
HCT%	1	450	115	96.52	86.96	2.61	5.22	0.87	7.83
MCV-fI	1	450	115	100	93.04	0	3.48	0	3.48
MCH-Pg	1	450	115	87.83	93.91	6.96	2.61	5.22	3.48
MCHC-g/dl	1	450	115	99.13	86.09	0	6.96	0.87	6.09
Plt. x10 ³ /µl	1	450	115	92.17	98.26	4.35	1.74	3.48	0
ReticCount%	2	450	94	89.36	80.85	6.38	1.06	5.32	20.21
PS Assessment	3	450	105	Acceptable:94.5%,Warning Signal:4.6%,Unacceptable :0.9%					

Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA) : Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA) : Difference in the CBC measurement values for HCT unacceptable, may be due to random/human error.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance) : Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ±2: Acceptable, Z score ±2 to ±3 :Warning Signal, Z score > ±3 : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ±2" are texted in green colour. Z score value between "±2 to ±3" are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ±3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample ($\bar{x}-\bar{y}$) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).


Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmailmseqap.com.

Report authorized by,



Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----