LAB MONTHLY SUMMARY



Lab Name

BANSAL HOSPITAL

Lab No 14345

Month

January

Year 2021

Constituent Group

t Chemistry I



Date of Result Entered : 20/01/2021

Date of Report Published: 05/02/2021

SI.No	Analyte	Method / Principle Name	Analyzer Name	No of Participants	DV	Partici CV	pants SD	Your Value	SDI	U
1	GLUCOSE	Hexokinase	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	77	124.35	4.46	5.54	128.3 mg/dl	0.71	1.26
2	UREA	Urease UV / GLDH	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	94	30.16	8.29	2.50	15 mg/dl	-6.06	0.52
3	CREATININE	Jaffes Kinetic - Alkaline picrate	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	87	1.58	6.76	0.11	1.56 mg/dl	-0.19	0.02
4	T.BILIRUBIN	Diazonium salt (Colorimetric) / Jendrassik	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	95	2.28	6.41	0.15	2.1 mg/dl	-1.23	0.03
5	T-PROTEIN	Biuret - Colorimetric	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	99	4.81	4.39	0.21	5 g/dl	0.90	0.04
6	ALBUMIN	BCP - Bromocresol purple (colorimetric)	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	68	2.89	6.69	0.19	2.8 g/d1	-0.47	0.05
7	CALCIUM	OCPC (O - Cresolpthalein Compleazone)	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	49	7.88	6.76	0.53	8.1 mg/dl	0.41	0.15
8	PHOSPHORUS	Molybdate UV / Phosphomolybdate complex	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	57	3.92	4.24	0.17	4 mg/dl	0.48	0.04
9	URIC ACID	Enzymatic / Uricase Colorimetric	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	94	4.66	3.69	0.17	4.8 mg/dl	0.81	0.04
10	CHOLESTEROL	CHOD-PAP	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	98	86.25	6.83	5.90	83 mg/dl	-0.55	1.19
11	TRIGLYCERIDE	GPO-PAP / Enzymatic Colorimetric / End Point	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	96	130.33	4.96	6.47	129 mg/dl	-0.21	1.32
12	ног сно	Direct method / Enzymatic colorimetric	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)		24.21	6.47	1.57	25 mg/dl	0.50	0.33
13	SODIUM	ISE - Indirect	Slemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	143	141.07	3.95	5.57	144 mmol/L	0.53	0.93
14	POTASSIUM	ISE - Indirect	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	142	2.99	5.35	0.16	3 mmol/L	0.06	0.03
15	CHLORIDE	ISE - Indirect	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	111	103.61	3.53	3.66	104 mmol/L	0.11	0.69
16	AST	UV kinetic with PLP (P-5-P)	Slemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	91	80.33	7.65	6.15	86.6 U/L	1.02	1.29
. 17	ALT	UV kinetic with PLP (P-5-P)	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)	83	76.17	8.29	6.31	79 U/L	0.45	1.39

External Quality Assurance Scheme - Print Month...

http://home.cmcvellore.ac.in/clinqc/ViewMonthl...

18	18 ALP	PNP AMP kinetic	Siemens (Advia Series / Dimension Series)		76.75	8.25	6.33	80 U/L	0.51	1.34	
19	MAGNESIUM	Others (Any other principles / Methods))	Any Analyser	92	1.97	10.72	0.21	2 mg/dl	0.14	0.04	

SDI Range	Interpretation
Within -1.0 to +1.0	Excellent.
Between ±1.0 to ±2.0	Good.
Between ±2.0 to ±3.0	Accopt William Charles
Beyond ±3.0	Unacceptable performance. Action Signal.

Homogeneity and Stability of the sample is passed.

Data in CMC EQAS reports is confidential

Contact details:

Email:clinqc@cmcvellore.ac.in Contact Number: 0416-2283102

Panela Christudoss

Dr. Pamela Christudoss CMC EQAS Co-Ordinator

Christian Medical College, Vellore

******* End of Report ******

2/8/21, 10:33 AM



BANSAL HOSPITAL

DEPARTMENT OF LABORATORY MEDICINE

Page	1	of	2
------	---	----	---

PROFICIENCY TESTING TROUBLESHOOTING CHECKLIST

LAB / DEPARTMENT:	Pathology - Biochemiste	Ч							
PT PROGRAM:	CMC - EQAS - 2021								
CYCLE & SAMPLE/SURVEY:	Jan'2021 / chemistry I								
ANALYTE:	urea	And the second second of the second s	r - 40 1 y - 1 a - 40 1 5 4 4	Not					
		Yes	No	Not applicable					
SAMPLE RECEIPT									
Was the kit received timely and in	n good condition?								
Was the correct program/cycle/si	urvey received?								
Was the kit stored at the proper t	emperature following receipt?								
SAMPLE PREPARATION		504403	1						
Was the correct reconstitution ins	struction followed (handling, time, temperature)?								
Was a calibrated pipette used for	reconstitution?								
Was distilled or deionized water	used for reconstitution?								
Was the sample mixed according	to the package insert prior to testing?	/							
Was the sample labeled accurate	ely?								
REAGENT									
Was the test reagent stored corre	ectly?		<u> </u>	1					
Was the test reagent properly pro	epared?								
Was the test reagent within the e	xpiry date?								
Was lot to lot verification accepta specimen?	able for the reagent lot used during analysis of PT								
INSTRUMENT									
Check Daily / Weekly / Monthly n performed on schedule?	naintenance logs. Was instrument maintenance		¥ :						
Was the technician performing m	naintenance trained for the same?								
Was the instrument operating co	rrectly on the day the sample was tested?								
Was the lab environment accept	able for the instrument (temperature, humidity)?								
	at the time of testing the PT material?								
Check Instrument History card. Verior to or when the PT was tested	Vas there any instrument related problem noted ed?								
CALIBRATION									
Was the last analyte calibration a	acceptable?								
Was the last calibration within th	e manufacturer's recommended dating?		1 1						
INTERNAL QUALITY CONTRO	L								
Was the IQC within acceptable r	ange on the day PT sample was run?			1 2					

Rev. No. 00.00

Issue Date: 03-03-2020

BH/LM/F/PTTC/04

MBBS, MD (Microbiology)
BANSAL HOSPITAL



BANSAL HOSPITAL

DEPARTMENT OF LABORATORY MEDICINE

Page 2 of 2

PROFICIENCY TESTING TROUBLESHOOTING CHECKLIST

Vas the CV% during the month of PT material testing within acceptable range?	1./		T
Vere there any shifts or trends in IQC just before / after the PT sample was run?		-	-
Vhether lab mean & lab SD or manufacturer mean & manufacturer SD followed?		-	+
AMPLE PROCESSING			
Vas the correct sample number tested?			
Vas the sample at room temperature prior to testing?	1	-	1
Vas the person running the test adequately trained?			1
Vas the Competency assessment of person performing test was done?		<u> </u>	1
Vas the SOP followed? Check insert for recent procedural changes.		<u> </u>	1
REPORTING RESULTS			
Was the test configuration correct (instrument, method and reagent)?	I		
Have the results been reported correctly (match instrument printout)?			,
Was the correct unit reported?			
Was the decimal symbol placed correctly when reported?	L		
Was the reported result within the instrument's linear range?			,
Was the calculation of the reported result done correctly?	4	/	
Was the result reported on time?			
SAMPLE RETEST			
Was the PT sample retested following receipt of PT sample report?		~	
If yes, was the result within acceptable limits for the PT sample?		: '	~
PT EVALUATION	The second		
Are your results graded in the appropriate peer group based on method reported on the result form?	/		irea.

CORRECTIVE ACTION	S: ILC required or not:	ILC	not requer	ed only	alculation
		276	ener.		

PREVENTIVE ACTIONS: (IF APPLICABLE)

BION	کن	to	be	converted	to	usea	Before
enter	una		resi	elt.			Ed

Rev. No. 00.00

Issue Date : 03-03-2020

BH/LM/F/PTTC/04