

PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME



NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029

Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No. : 1079 **Distribution No.:** 152-C **Month/Year:** January/2021

Instrument ID: HORIBA ABS60 MICRO 306ESOH05900

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

 $\label{eq:comparison} \textbf{Tel: } 9013085730 \text{ , E-Mail : } accuracy 2000@gmail.com \\ \textbf{Date of issue \& status of the report: } 25\text{-}02\text{-}2021[Final]. \\$

CBC and Retic Assessment

				Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)				Within Lab (Precision Testing)				
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Results	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty		Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values		Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	
WBC x10³/μl	1	4.5	4.45	8.95	7.2	0.0350	1.61	0.05	0.1	0.0060	-0.42	
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	3.01	3	6.01	6.63	0.0070	-3.64	0.01	0.03	0.0020	-0.54	
Hb g/dl	1	10.6	10.6	21.2	22.7	0.0190	-2.89	0	0.1	0.0070	-1.35	
НСТ%	1	32.4	32.2	64.6	71.4	0.1540	-1.32	0.2	0.3	0.0230	-0.23	
MCV-fl	1	107	106	213	213.95	0.3740	-0.07	1	0.3	0.0270	1.35	
МСН-Рд	1	35.2	35.1	70.3	68.5	0.0680	0.97	0.1	0.3	0.0200	-0.54	
MCHC-g/dl	1	32.8	32.8	65.6	63.4	0.1230	0.50	0	0.3	0.0190	-0.81	
Plt. x10³/μl	1	109	109	218	288.5	1.12	-2.22	0	4	0.25	-1.08	
Retic %	2	1.88	1.84	3.72	13	0.20	-1.59	0.04	0.5	0.02	-1.24	

P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT				
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=NIL , Poly=NIL L=96, E=NIL, Mono/Promono=03 , B1=NIL P.M.=NIL, Mye=NIL, Meta=NIL, Other=PLATELET AGGREGATION IN THE TAIL	Lympho: 75-90, Poly: 5-15, Mono: 1-5, nRBC/Blast/Eo/Myelo/Meta: 0-1				
RBC Morphology	3	I	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic, Moderate: Anisocytosis, Mild: Microcytosis, Hypo.				
Diagnosis	- 3	SMALL CELL LYMPHOPROLIFERATIVE DISORDER- CLL	Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL)				

EQAP Code Distribution No.: 152- Month/Year: No.: 1079 C January/2021

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test	S.No.	Total participants covered in	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
parameters		the current dist.		Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/μl	1	333	344	91.57	85.76	4.94	6.4	2.91	6.1
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	333	344	87.79	90.7	6.4	4.36	5.23	3.2
Hb g/dl	1	333	344	87.21	88.37	7.56	5.23	4.36	5.52
HCT%	1	333	344	96.51	89.53	2.33	4.07	0.58	4.65
MCV-fl	1	333	344	98.55	93.02	0.58	3.2	0.29	3.2
MCH-Pg	1	333	344	88.66	88.95	7.27	6.4	3.49	3.2
MCHC-g/dl	1	333	344	96.8	91.28	2.33	3.49	0.29	4.07
Plt. x10³/μl	1	333	344	91.28	92.73	6.1	4.94	2.03	1.74
ReticCount%	2	333	329	94.22	90.88	3.65	1.22	2.43	8.21
PS Assessment	3	333	339	Acceptable:92.5,Warning Signal:2.7,Unacceptable:4.8					

*Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): CBC result for RBC unacceptable, may be due to random/human error
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IOR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value $> \pm 3$ are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----