



PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AHMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No.: 1377

Distribution No.: 152-C

Month/Year: January/2021

Instrument 1D: NIHON COHDEN

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 25-02-2021[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

_					Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)						cision Testin	<u>g)</u>
P	Test arameters	S.No.	Your Result 1	Your Result 2		Consensus result	Uncertainty	7	Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values		Uncertainty	
V	 VBC x10³/µl	1	4	4	8	7.2	0.0350	0.74	0	0.1	0.0060	-0.84
-	RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	3.22	3.18	6.4	6.63	0.0070	-1.35	0.04	0.03	0.0020	0.27
-	Hb g/dl	1	10.4	10.1	20.5	22.7	0.0190	-4.24	0.3	0.1	0.0070	2.70
-	нст%	1	35.1	35	70.1	71.4	0.1540	-0.25	0.1	0.3	0.0230	-0.45
	MCV-fl	1	110	109	219	213.95	0.3740	0.38	1	0.3	0.0270	1.35
	мсн-Рд	1	32.7	31.4	64.1	68.5	0.0680	-2,35	1.3	0.3	0.0200	2.70
•	MCHC-g/d	1 1	29.7	28.8	58.5	63.4	0.1230	-1.13	2 0.9	0.3	0.0190	1.62
7	Plt. x10 ³ /p	+-	146	138	284	288.5	1.12	-0.1	4 8	4	0.25	1.08
	Retic %	2	7.5	7	14.5	13	0.20	0.2	6 0.5	0.5	0.02	0.00

P.S. Assesment

		TOTAL DEPOPT	CONSENSUS REPORT			
		YOUR REPORT				
DLC%	ח	Mono/Fromono , br	Lympho: 75-90, Poly: 5-15, Mono: 1-5, nRBC/Blast/Eo/Myelo/Meta: 0-1			
RBC Morphology	3		Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic, Moderate: Anisocytosis Mild: Microcytosis, Hypo.			
Morphology		THE OPTION TO LEUKEMIA	Loukomia (CLI)			
Diagnosis	3	CHRONIC LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA (CLL)	Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL)			

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

	S.No.	Total participants covered in the current dist.	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
Test parameters				Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10 ³ /µl	1	333	344	91.57	85.76	4.94	6.4	2.91	6.1
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	333	344	87.79	90.7	6.4	4.36	5.23	3.2
Hb g/dl	 	333	344	87.21	88.37	7.56	5.23	4.36	5.52
нст%	1	333	344	96.51	89.53	2.33	4.07	0.58	4.65
MCV-fi	 	333	344	98.55	93.02	0.58	3.2	0.29	3.2
	+ 1	333	344	88.66	88.95	7.27	6.4	3.49	3.2
MCH-Pg	+ 1	333	344	96.8	91.28	2.33	3.49	0.29	4.07
MCHC-g/dl	 	<u> </u>	344	91.28	92.73	6.1	4.94	2.03	1.74
Plt. x10³/μl	1	333	<u> </u>	 		3.65	1.22	2.43	8.21
ReticCount%	2	333	329	94.22	90.88			┸───	
PS Assessment	3	333	339	Acceptable:92.5, Warning Signal:2.7, Unacceptable:4.8					

'Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): CBC result for HB unacceptable, may be due to random/human error
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised $IQR = 0.7413 \times IQR$

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ± 3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\overline{x}-\overline{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----

Fatima Hospital

Mother Teresa Road, Padri Bazar P.O., Gorakhpur – 273 014 Ph: (0551) – 2281862, 2282602, E-mail - fatimagkp@gmail.com Visit us: www.fatimahospitalgkp.com





Department of Laboratory Medicine

DATE-15/02/2021

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME (EQAP)

Discrepancy Report

Month/Year-Jan/2021

OBSERVATION –

1. Among Lab (EQA) – CBC result for Haemoglobin unacceptable may be due to random / human error.

CORRECTIVE ACTION –

- 1. Proper maintenance were performed by the technician.
- 2. Daily Quality Control was run for all three Levels (Low, Normal and High) and the results of all three level controls were in acceptable range.

INFERENCE- The unacceptable Haemoglobin may be due to random error.

Sr. Ramya LST Lab In-Charge Dr. Aditi Kumar Pathologist