PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME
NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard
Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029
NABL Certificate No. PC-1002

Duration of stability testing : minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No. : 1169 **Distribution No.**: 152-C **Month/Year**: January/2021
Instrument ID: X5203 SYSMEX SP-100
Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi.
[illegible] E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com
Date of issue & status of the report: 25-02-2021[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Among Lab (Accuracy Testing): Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	Within Lab (Precision Testing): Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC $x10^3/\mu l$	1	4.1	4	8.1	7.2	0.0350	**0.83**	0.1	0.1	0.0060	0.00
RBC $x10^6/\mu l$	1	3.22	3.19	6.41	6.63	0.0070	**-1.29**	0.03	0.03	0.0020	0.00
Hb g/dl	1	11.2	11	22.2	22.7	0.0190	**-0.96**	0.2	0.1	0.0070	1.35
HCT%	1	33.3	33	66.3	71.4	0.1540	**-0.99**	0.3	0.3	0.0230	0.00
MCV-fl	1	103.4	103.4	206.8	213.95	0.3740	**-0.53**	0	0.3	0.0270	-0.58
MCH-Pg	1	35.1	34.2	69.3	68.5	0.0680	**0.43**	0.9	0.3	0.0200	1.62
MCHC-g/dl	1	33.9	33	66.9	63.4	0.1230	**0.80**	0.9	0.3	0.0190	1.62
Plt. $x10^3/\mu l$	1	152	143	295	288.5	1.12	**0.21**	9	4	0.25	1.35
Retic %	2	6	5.6	11.6	13	0.20	**-0.24**	0.4	0.5	0.02	-0.27

P.S . Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=0 , Poly=22 L=72, E=0, Mono/Promono=00 , Bl=0 P.M.=00, Mye=00, Meta=00, Other=6 SMUDGE CELLS[[lymphocyte]	Lympho: 75-90, Poly: 5-15, Mono: 1-5, nRBC/Blast/Eo/Myelo/Meta: 0-1
RBC Morphology	3	normocytic hypochromoic	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic, Moderate: Anisocytosis, Mild: Microcytosis, Hypo.
Diagnosis	3	CHRONIC LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL)

EQAP Code No.: 1169 | Distribution No.: 152-C Month/Year: January/2021 | Instrument ID: A 5203 SYSMEX SP-100

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants covered in the current dist.	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
				Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/µl	1	333	344	91.57	85.76	4.94	6.4	2.91	6.1
RBC x10⁶/µl	1	333	344	87.79	90.7	6.4	4.36	5.23	3.2
Hb g/dl	1	333	344	87.21	88.37	7.56	5.23	4.36	5.52
HCT%	1	333	344	96.51	89.53	2.33	4.07	0.58	4.65
MCV-fl	1	333	344	98.55	93.02	0.58	3.2	0.29	3.2
MCH-Pg	1	333	344	88.66	88.95	7.27	6.4	3.49	3.2
MCHC-g/dl	1	333	344	96.8	91.28	2.33	3.49	0.29	4.07
Plt. x10³/µl	1	333	344	91.28	92.73	6.1	4.94	2.03	1.74
ReticCount%	2	333	329	94.22	90.88	3.65	1.22	2.43	8.21
PS Assessment	3	333	339	Acceptable:92.5,Warning Signal:2.7,Unacceptable :4.8					

Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA) : Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA) : Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.
IQA (Internal Quality Assurance) : Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)
Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)
IQR = Quartile 3 – Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ±2: Acceptable, Z score ±2 to ±3 :Warning Signal, Z score > ±3 : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between"0 to ±2" are texted in green colour. Z score value between"±2 to ±3" are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ±3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample ($\bar{x}$-$\bar{y}$) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)
PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP
Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

----------End Of Report----------

PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME

NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 Standard

Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029

Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

FQAP CODE No. : 1169 **Distribution No.:** 151-C **Month/Year:** August/2020

Instrument ID: SYSMEX -XP 100 A5203

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi, Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 27-11-2020[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

Test Parameters	S.No.			Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)				Within Lab (Precision Testing)			
		Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10^3/µl	1	3.5	3.4	6.9	6.3	0.0220	**1.01**	0.1	0.1	0.0570	0.00
RBC x10^6/µl	1	2.85	2.61	5.46	5.14	0.0050	2.16	0.24	0.02	0.0130	7.12
Hb g/dl	1	7.7	7.6	15.3	15.2	0.0160	**0.22**	0.1	0.1	0.0070	0.00
HCT%	1	23.9	23.4	47.3	46.3	0.1090	**0.35**	0.5	0.2	0.0110	1.35
MCV-fl	1	90.2	89.7	179.9	179.4	0.3260	**0.06**	0.5	0.4	0.0270	0.19
MCH-Pg	1	29.1	29.1	58.2	59.4	0.1530	**-0.62**	0	0.3	0.0240	-1.01
MCHC-g/dl	1	32.5	32.2	64.7	66.1	0.0720	**-0.34**	0.3	0.4	0.0190	-0.27
Plt. x10^3/µl	1	267	266	533	473	1.56	**1.25**	1	6	0.35	-0.96
Retic %	2	3.6	3.2	6.8	5	0.13	**0.44**	0.4	0.2	0.02	0.67

P.S . Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=00 , Poly=24 L=04, E=04, Mono/Promono=00 , B1=00 P.M.=12, Mye=22, Meta=16, Other=18 BAND FORM	Poly: 25-50, Lymph; 2-7, nRBC/Mono/Eo/Blast/Pro: 0-5, Myelo: 20-35, Meta: 15-25, Baso: 0-3
RBC Morphology	3	NORMOCHROMIC NORMOCYTIC RBCS	Predominantly: Normocytic Normochromic. Moderate: Anisocytosis Mild: Microcytic.
Diagnosis	3	MYLEOPROLIFERATIVE DISORDER	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase) : CML-CP

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants covered in the current dist.	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
				Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/µl	1	450	333	87.09	90.09	4.2	3.6	8.71	6.01
RBC x10⁶/µl	1	450	333	87.39	90.09	8.41	4.5	4.2	4.8
Hb g/dl	1	450	333	87.69	92.49	5.71	4.2	6.61	3.3
HCT%	1	450	333	90.69	92.49	6.01	3	3	3.9
MCV-fl	1	450	333	89.79	91.89	8.41	5.41	1.8	2.7
MCH-Pg	1	450	333	86.79	91.59	7.21	4.2	6.01	3.3
MCHC-g/dl	1	450	333	90.69	92.49	6.61	4.5	2.7	2.7
PlL x10³/µl	1	450	333	94.59	89.79	3.9	6.91	1.5	3.3
ReticCount%	2	450	291	93.47	87.97	4.81	2.41	1.72	12.03
PS Assessment	3	450	328	Acceptable:75.1%,Warning Signal:24.9%,Unacceptable :0%					

Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA) : **Results acceptable.**

2). Within Lab (IQA) : **Difference in the CBC measurement values for *RBC* unacceptable, may be due to random/human error.**

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.
IQA (Internal Quality Assurance) : Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)
Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)
IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ±2: Acceptable, Z score ±2 to ±3 :Warning Signal, Z score > ±3 : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between"0 to ±2" are texted in green colour. Z score value between"±2 to ±3" are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ±3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample ($\bar{x}$-$\bar{y}$) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)
PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP
Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

----------End Of Report----------