



PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT
ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME
 NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard
 Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No. : 2921

Distribution No.: 152-G

Month/Year: March/2021

Instrument ID: 2900pet02683

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,
 Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 12-05-2021[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

Test Parameters	S.No.	Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)						Within Lab (Precision Testing)			
		Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10 ³ /µl	1	17.8	16.4	34.2	29.12	0.0870	2.79	1.4	0.2	0.0150	7.04
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	4.64	4.5	9.14	9.12	0.0090	0.10	0.14	0.04	0.0020	2.70
Hb g/dl	1	12.2	11.9	24.1	23.4	0.0260	1.35	0.3	0.1	0.0080	2.70
HCT%	1	41	39.7	80.7	77.8	0.1760	0.72	1.3	0.4	0.0280	2.43
MCV-fl	1	88.4	88.3	176.7	171.3	0.3280	0.67	0.1	0.3	0.0250	-0.54
MCH-Pg	1	26.4	26.2	52.6	51.5	0.0620	0.77	0.2	0.2	0.0140	0.00
MCHC-g/dl	1	29.9	29.7	59.6	59.85	0.1410	-0.07	0.2	0.3	0.0200	-0.34
Plt. x10 ³ /µl	1	953	948	1901	1723.5	5.77	1.35	5	12	0.95	-0.55
Retic %	2	10.2	10.2	20.4	23.5	0.46	-0.27	0	0.5	0.05	-0.59

P.S . Assesment

YOUR REPORT			CONSENSUS REPORT		
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=00 , Poly=24 L=14, E=00, Mono/Promono=00 , B1=62 P.M.=00, Mye=00, Meta=00, Other=00	Blast: 20-80, Mono: 1-30, Poly: 10-25, Lympho: 5-15, Myelo/Promyelo/Meta: 1-5, nRBC/Eos: 0-1		
RBC Morphology	3	mild anisocytosis & poikilocytosis. microcytosis.	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Anisocytosis, Macrocytosis		
Diagnosis	3	ACUTE LEUKEMIA.	Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)		

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants covered in the current dist.	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
				Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10 ³ /µl	1	214	232	87.5	90.95	3.02	3.02	9.48	5.6
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	214	232	88.79	92.24	6.9	2.59	4.31	4.74
Hb g/dl	1	214	231	85.71	149.35	6.93	4.76	7.36	0.87
HCT%	1	214	232	93.53	89.22	5.6	5.6	0.86	5.17
MCV-fl	1	214	232	96.55	84.48	3.45	9.48	0	6.03
MCH-Pg	1	214	232	86.64	77.16	8.19	18.53	5.17	3.88
MCHC-g/dl	1	214	232	93.53	88.36	4.74	6.03	1.72	4.74
Plt. x10 ³ /µl	1	214	232	85.78	89.22	9.91	3.45	4.31	7.33
ReticCount%	2	214	214	96.73	92.99	2.34	5.14	0.93	2.34
PS Assessment	3	214	214	Acceptable:80.8,Warning Signal:10.3,Unacceptable :8.9					

***Comments:**

1). Among Lab (EQA) : Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA) : Difference in the CBC measurement values for WBC unacceptable, may be due to random/human error.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance) : Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ±2: Acceptable, Z score ±2 to ±3 :Warning Signal, Z score > ±3 : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ±2" are texted in green colour. Z score value between "±2 to ±3" are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ±3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample ($\bar{x}-\bar{y}$) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).


Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,



Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)


PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi


-----End Of Report-----

CURIS DIAGNOSTICS

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS


S. NO.	NON-COMPLIANCE OBSERVED	CORRECTIVE ACTION INITIATED	CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN	REMARKS (CLOSURE NON-COMPLIANCE /N.C. OPEN)	CHECKED BY
1.	AIIMS PT PROGRAMME TLC VALUE Z SCORE 7.47 OUT OF RANGE DATE - MARCH 2021	CALIBRATION TO BE DONE OF THE HEAMATOLOGY MACHINE AND PERFORM INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL.	CALIBRATION OF THE HEAMATOLOGY MACHINE AND PERFORM INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL.	NC CLOSED	 BHAVNA GARG

DR. BHAVNA GARG (PATHOLOGIST)



8/26/2021

Gmail - REGARDING PT SAMPLE



Curis Diagnostics <curisdiagnostics@gmail.com>

REGARDING PT SAMPLE

1 message

Curis Diagnostics <curisdiagnostics@gmail.com>
To: accuracy2000@gmail.com

26 August 2021 at 20:46

Dear sir,

CURIS DIAGNOSTICS EQAP CODE NO. 2921

WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY SAMPLE OF EQAP PROGRAMME SINCE MARCH 2021 AND WE HAVE ALREADY PAID EQAP FEES BUT DID NOT RECEIVED ANY SAMPLE. SO KINDLY PROVIDE US THE SAMPLE SO WE CAN GO FOR NABL ACCREDITATION.

WITH REGARDS
DEVENDER
8700833616