



PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME
NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard
Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No.: 4308

Distribution No.: 153-K

Month/Year: October/2021

Instrument ID: 703ESOH11121

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730, E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 10-12-2021[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

Test Parameters	S.No.	20000		Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)				Within Lab (Precision Testing)				
		Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values		Results		Uncertainty of Assigned Values		
WBC x10³/μl	1	4.5	4.4	8.9	8.7	0.0440	0.21	0.1	0.1	0.0100	0.00	
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	5.13	5.12	10.25	10.4	0.0130	-0.47	0.01	0.05	0.0040	-0.67	
Hb g/dl	1	15.9	15.9	31.8	32.5	0.0460	-0.67	0 .	0.1	0.0100	-0.67	
НСТ%	. 1	48.2	48.2	96.4	99.6	0.2350	-0.49	0	0.5	0.0390	-0. 96	
MCV-fl	1	94	94	188	190.7	0.3630	-0.28	0	0.35	0.0290	-0.67	
MCH-Pg	1	31	31	62	62.4	0.0880	-0.19	0	0.25	0.0190	-0.84	
MCHC-g/dl	1	33.1	32.9	66	65.35	0.1640	0.15	0.2	0.3	0.0210	-0.34	
Plt. x10³/μl	1	130	126	256	284	1.84	-0.57	4	5	0.36	-0.17	
Retic %	2	4	4	8	7	0.14	0.28	0	0.3	0.02	-1.01	

P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT					
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=1.0000, Poly=7.0000 L=90.0000, E=1.0000, Mono/Promono=2.0000, B1=0.0000 P.M.=0.0000, Mye=0.0000, Meta=0.0000, Other=0.0000	Lymp: 85-94, Poly: 4-12, blast: 1-8, nRBC/mono/Eosino/Myelo/Meta: 0-1					
RBC Morphology	3	NORMOCYTIC NORMOCHROMIC,OCCASIONAL nRBC SEEN.	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Macrocytosis, Polkilocytosis					
Diagnosis	3	SUGGESTIVE OF CLL	Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL)					

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S No	Total participants covered in the current dist. 153K	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
rost parameters	3.140.			Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC $x10^3/\mu l$	1	267	267	77.9	88.01	6.74	2.25	15.36	9.74
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	267	267	91.01	86.52	6.37	7.49	2.62	5.99
Hb g/dl	1	267	267	88.39	61.42	7.87	32.21	3.74	6.37
НСТ%	1	267	266	95.86	87.97	2.26	5.26	1.88	6.77
MCV-fl	1	267	266	94.36	95.49	4.89	2.26	0.75	2.25
MCH-Pg	1	267	, 266	88.35	89.47	7.14	3.38	4.51	7.15
MCHC-g/dl	1	267	266	95.49	89.85	3.38	4.14	1.13	6.01
Plt. x10 ³ /μl	1	267	266	95.11	90.98	3.38	4.89	1.51	4.13
ReticCount%	2	267	267	95.13	84.27	2.62	1.5	2.25	14.23
PS Assessment	3	267	232	Satisfactory	:93.14%, Bo	AMERICAN STORY (CONTRACTOR)	1		

*Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

 $\textbf{Note-1:} \ EQA \ (\texttt{External Quality Assurance}): Your \ Performance \ among \ various \ of \ participating \ labs \ in \ PT, \ to \ determine \ the \ accuracy \ of \ your \ results.$

 ${f IQA}$ (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)
Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised $IQR = 0.7413 \times IQR$

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ± 3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\overline{x}-\overline{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----