

Arth Diagnostics

Doc No: Arth - 01

Ref Std NABH,

NABL, ISO15189:2012

Issue Date: 01.10.2021

Rev. No.: 00

Rev. Date: NA

Page 107 of 135 Doc No.ADPL/TECH/FR/25

Forms & Records Format

EQAS/IQC YEARLY TREND FORMAT

Parameters	Testing Results of Part. Lab	Testing Results of Ref Lab	Z Score/Other Criteria	Acceptable / Non Acceptable (Corrective/Preventive Action if Neede
WBCNOM	4.06	-	-0.20	Acceptacl
RBC106/11	4.53	-	-0.17	Accepted
HB gld	13.3	_	0.00	Acrepted
HCT %	43.0	,	0.00	Accepted.
MCV-fl	25.1	Ver -	-0.22	Accepted
MCH-Pa	29.7	Ĉ	0.67	Accepted
MCHC-agal	31.2	-	0.19	Accepted
PI+: x103/11	144	-	-0-84	Accepted
Rotic %	9.5	_	0-28	Accepted
Per				,
	terre.			
		(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	August Services	
			7.7	
arks By QM: 100	ingh Concud	lance	•	

Quality Manager

Prepared By: Dr. Deepa Singh

Designation : Quality Manager

Signature:

Samuel

Checked & Approved By: (Prof.) Dr. Arvindar Singh

Designation: Laboratory Director

Signature:

29344





PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AHMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No.: 1873 Instrument ID: XN-550

Distribution No.: 154-E

Month/Year: November/2021

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 23-02-2022[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

Test Parameters S.1				Amo	ng Lab (Acc	curacy Testin	ng)	Within Lab (Precision Testing)				
	S.No.	Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	
WBC x10³/µl	1	4.06	3.93	7.99	10.98	0.1670	-0.50	0.13	0.18	0.0140	-0.20	
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	4.53	4.48	9.01	8.53	0.0100	1.90	0.05	0.06	0.0040	-0.17	
Hb g/dl	1	13.3	13.2	26.5	25.9	0.0300	0.81	0.1	0.1	0.0100	0.00	
нст%	1	43	42.6	85.6	79.35	0.1750	1.34	0.4	0.4	0.0290	0.00	
MCX-fl	1	95.1	94.9	190	185.2	0.3170	0.53	0.2	0.3	0.0250	-0.22	
MCH-Pg/	1	29.7	29.1	58.8	60.45	0.0690	-1.04	0.6	0.3	0.0250	0.67	
мснс-б/аі	1	31.2	30.7	61.9	64.8	0.1380	-0.77	0.5	0.4	0.0270	0.19	
Plt. x10³/ш/	1	144	144	288	278	1.30	0.29	0	5	0.34	-0.84	
Retic %	2	9.5	9	18.5	8.8	0.20	1.55	0.5	0.38	0.02	0.28	

P.S. Assesment

YOUR REPORT			CONSENSUS REPORT				
DLC%	3	Nrbcs= , Poly=4.00 L=9.00, E=, Mono/Promono= , B1=77.00 P.M.=, Mye=7.00, Meta=3.00, Other=	Blast: 60-88, Poly: 2-6, Lympho: 4-12, Mono: 0-4, Myelo/Promyelo/Meta 1-5, nRBC/Eos: 0-1				
RBC Morphology		Microcytic hypochromic with macrocytes,	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic: Moderate: Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Anisocytosis, Macrocytosis				
Diagnosis	3	ACUTE LEUKEMIA FAVOURING AML	Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)				

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters		Total participants covered in the current	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3			
	S.No.			Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within Iab	Among labs	Within lab		
uma talkat		dist. 154E	289	96.54	88.58	3.81	4.15	-0.3500000000000001	7.27		
WBC x10 ³ /µl	1	297			86.87	6.4	5.39	9.76	7.74		
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	297	297	83.84	-		4.38	7.75	12.46		
Hb g/dl	1	297	297	82.15	83.16	10.1	-	2.76	5.52		
НСТ%	1	297	290	93.79	86.55	3.45	7,93	-	DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN		
MCV-fl	1	297	290	92.76	95.17	5.17	2.41	2.07	2.42		
	1	297	290	83.79	92.07	8.28	5.86	7.93	2.07		
MCH-Pg	1				94.48	5.86	3.45	2.07	2.07		
MCHC-g/dl	1	297	290	92.07				3.1	1.38		
Plt. $x10^3/\mu l$	1	297	290	93.45	94.83	3.45	3.79		-		
ReticCount%	2	297	297	95.29	88.55	3.03	1.68	1.68	9.77		
PS Assessment	3	297	274	Satisfactory:78.18%, Borderline Sat.:21.14%, Unsatisfactory:0.67%							

Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

 $IQR = Quartile \ 3$ - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised $IQR = 0.7413 \ x \ IQR$

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ± 3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

Jegle-

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----