

PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME



NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029

Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

Instrument ID: BC 3000 PLUS

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

 $\label{eq:compare} Tel: 9013085730 \ , \ E-Mail: accuracy 2000@gmail.com \\ \textbf{Date of issue \& status of the report: } 10\text{-}12\text{-}2021[Final].$

CBC and Retic Assessment

				Amo	ng Lab (Aco	curacy Testir	ıg)	With	in Lab (Pre	cision Testii	ıg)
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty		Results	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μl	1	31.1	30.7	61.8	8.7	0.0440	55.10	0.4	0.1	0.0100	2.53
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	5.09	5.08	10.17	10.4	0.0130	-0.71	0.01	0.05	0.0040	-0.67
Hb g/dl	1	17.1	16.9	34	32.5	0.0460	1.45	0.2	0.1	0.0100	0.67
НСТ%	1	46.4	46.2	92.6	99.6	0.2350	-1.08	0.2	0.5	0.0390	-0.58
MCV-fl	1	91.3	91	182.3	190.7	0.3630	-0.87	0.3	0.35	0.0290	-0.10
МСН-Рд	1	33.5	33.2	66.7	62.4	0.0880	2.07	0.3	0.25	0.0190	0.17
MCHC-g/dl	1	36.8	36.5	73.3	65.35	0.1640	1.89	0.3	0.3	0.0210	0.00
Plt. x10³/μl	1	128	117	245	284	1.84	-0.80	11	5	0.36	1.04
Retic %	2	0.8	0.7	1.5	7	0.14	-1.52	0.1	0.3	0.02	-0.67

P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=3.00 , Poly= L=0.00, E=, Mono/Promono= , B1=0.00 P.M.=, Mye=, Meta=, Other=	Lymp: 85-94, Poly: 4-12, blast: 1-8, nRBC/mono/Eosino/Myelo/Meta: 0-1
RBC Morphology	3		Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis
Diagnosis	3	CHRONIC LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL)

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S No	Total participants	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
rest parameters	5.NU.	current dist. 153K		Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/μl	1	267	267	7 7.9	88.01	6.74	2.25	15.36	9.74
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	267	267	91.01	86.52	6.37	7.49	2.62	5.99
Hb g/dl	1	267	267	88.39	61.42	7.87	32.21	3.74	6.37
HCT%	1	267	2 <mark>66</mark>	95.86	87.97	2.26	5.26	1.88	6.77
MCV-fl	1	267	266	94.36	95.49	4.89	2.26	0.75	2.25
MCH-Pg	1	267	266	88.35	89.47	7.14	3.38	4.51	7.15
MCHC-g/dl	1	267	266	95.49	89.85	3.38	4.14	1.13	6.01
Plt. x10³/μl	1	267	266	95.11	90.98	3.38	4.89	1.51	4.13
ReticCount%	2	267	267	95.13	84.27	2.62	1.5	2.25	14.23
PS Assessment	3	267	232	Satisfactory	:93.14%, Bo	rderline Sat	:0.86%, Uı	nsatisfactory	· :6%

*Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): CBC result for WBC unacceptable, may be due to random/human error, PS DLC not reported, remaining results acceptable

2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value $> \pm 3$ are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----

SHREE PATH LAB, REWARI

EQAS CAPA CHECKLIST

Date and time: 12 /04 / 2012

EQAS sample detail:- -AIMS 60,AS 152-K

Result detail: - ALL RESULTS ARE ACCEPTABLE EXCEPT
VALUE OF WELL COUNT OF SAMPLE-1.

Outlier detail:- Allms GRAS SAMPLE 183-K. NO TRANSCRIPTIONAL REPORTAL THE PORTAL THE PORTAL THE RESULT CUBMICSION ON THE PORTAL THE RESULTS FOUND IN ACCEPTABLE RANGE FACEPT WERE COUNT OF SAMPL-1.

Root cause analysis checklist:-

1.	Check for typographical error	ok
2.	Check instrument print for flag or alarm	or
3.	Check technical staff status	ok
4.	IQC status for any outlier	ok
5.	Sample run procedure step according to SOP	010
6.	Storage condition of EQAS sample	ok
7.	IQC send for outlier parameter to verify current status	ak
8.	IQC result interpretation	ok

Analysis comment:-

CHECKLIST COMPLETED AND A KANDOM

SAMPLE BEATT OUTSIDE LAB FOR VEKIFICATIONS. RESULT

WAS FOUND OUT TO REL INTISFACTORY.

Corrective action taken and preventive action:-

HEMATOLOGY MANALYSER BC-3000 PLUS CALIBRATED

AND JAC SAMPLE KESULT VEKIFICATION DINE

FOR CURRENT STATUS.

Follow up status:-

IQC TO BE MONITOR FOR ACH CHIFT OR ANY OUTLIER.

Deparment in-charge

Technical Staff

Prepared by

Approved by



PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard

Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No. : 4249 **Distribution No.:** 154-K Month/Year: January/2022

Instrument ID: RJ-99128744

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730, E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 28-03-2022[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

				Amo	ng Lab (Aco	curacy Testin	ıg)	With	in Lab (Pre	cision Testii	ıg)
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty		Results	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μl	1	7.7	7.5	15.2	16.96	0.0760	-0.93	0.2	0.17	0.0130	0.20
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	4.08	4.07	8.15	8.89	0.0130	-2.38	0.01	0.04	0.0030	-0.58
Hb g/dl	1	11.5	11.3	22.8	21.9	0.0310	1.21	0.2	0.1	0.0090	0.67
НСТ%	1	31.7	31.4	63.1	71.7	0.1730	-1.97	0.3	0.4	0.0270	-0.27
MCV-fl	1	77.9	77.1	155	161.8	0.3460	-0. 77	0.8	0.2	0.0220	2.02
MCH-Pg	1	28.2	27.6	55.8	49.3	0.0870	3.10	0.6	0.2	0.0160	1.80
MCHC-g/dl	1	36.2	35.9	72.1	60.9	0.1530	2.91	0.3	0.3	0.0190	0.00
Plt. x10³/μl	1	355	336	691	811	3.57	-1.37	19	10	0.70	0.87
Retic %	2	1.1	0.7	1.8	7	0.16	-1.12	0.4	0.3	0.02	0.45

P.S. Assesment

		VOLID DEDODT	CONCENCIA DEDODE
		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	2		Poly: 55 - 69, Myelo: 4 - 10, Meta: 4 - 9; Lympho/Promyelo: 1 - 10; Blast/nRBC/Eos/Baso/Mono: 0 - 5
RBC Morphology	3	NORMOCYTIC NORMOCHROMIC	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis
Diagnosis	3	CHROINC MYELOID LEUKEMIA- CHRONIC PHASE	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML)

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S No	Total participants	Total No.	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
rest parameters	5.NU.	current dist. 154K	responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/μl	1	268	266	83.46	87.97	4.14	4.51	12.4	7.52
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	268	268	87.31	91.04	7.46	3.36	5.23	5.6
Hb g/dl	1	268	268	89.55	91.79	6.34	4.1	4.11	4.11
HCT%	1	268	2 <mark>65</mark>	92.83	91.32	3.77	3.4	3.4	5.28
MCV-fl	1	268	264	93.94	94.32	1.52	2.65	4.54	3.03
MCH-Pg	1	268	264	89.02	<mark>9</mark> 0.91	6.82	3.41	4.16	5.68
MCHC-g/dl	1	268	265	92.83	91.32	4.15	4.53	3.02	4.15
Plt. x10³/μl	1	268	265	92.83	89.43	3.4	5.66	3.77	4.91
ReticCount%	2	268	268	92.16	83.96	3.36	6.34	4.48	9.7
PS Assessment	3	268	233	Satisfactory	:85.03%, Bo	orderline Sat	.: :12.35%, U	Insatisfactor	y:2.62%

*Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value $> \pm 3$ are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----

SHREE PATH LAB, REWARI

EQAS CAPA CHECKLIST

Date and time:- 12/05/2022

EQAS sample detail: - AIIMS 6QAS - 154 K (JANUARY 2022)

Result detail: - ALL RESULTS -ARR -ACCEPTABLE EXCEPT.

MCH - OF SPECIMEN-1.

Outlier detail:
BRAS SAMPLE - ATIMS - ISYK (JARIUAKY 2022)

RUN BY CAB INCHAKGE. NO TKANSCRIPTIONAL BEKER

AUKING KESUZT SUBMISSION OTH THE PORTAL. ALL RESUZT

FOUND IN ACCEPTABLE KARIGE BYCEPT MCH.

Root cause analysis checklist:-

1.	Check for typographical error	or
2.	Check instrument print for flag or alarm	or
3.	Check technical staff status	ाट
4.	IQC status for any outlier	ok
5.	Sample run procedure step according to SOP	σĸ
6.	Storage condition of EQAS sample	ok
7.	IQC send for outlier parameter to verify current status	UC
8.	IQC result interpretation	6TC

Analysis comment:-

CHECK LIST COMPLETED AND A KANDOM

SAMPLE SENT OUTSIDE LAB FOR VERIFICATION. RESULT

WAS FOUND OUT TO BE SATISFACTORY.

Corrective action taken and preventive action:-

WE CALLED ENGINEER FOR SERVICIALE OF THE HEMATOLOGY ANALYSER. IRC SAMPLE RESULT VERTFICATION DONIE FOR CORRENT STATUS.

Follow up status:-

IRC TO RE MONITOR FOR ANY SHIFT OR ANY ODTLIGR.

Department in-charge

Technical Staff

Prepared by

Approved by