



**PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT**  
**ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME**  
 NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard  
 Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No. :** 3169

**Distribution No.:** 155-H

**Month/Year:** March/2022

**Instrument ID:** SN 1740707210744

**Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator:** Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,  
 Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

**Date of issue & status of the report:** 11-05-2022[Final].

**CBC and Retic Assessment**

<b>Test Parameters</b>	<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)</b>					<b>Within Lab (Precision Testing)</b>				
		<b>Your Result 1</b>	<b>Your Result 2</b>	<b>Your Results Sum of 2 Value</b>	<b>Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)</b>	<b>Uncertainty of Assigned Values</b>	<b>Z Score</b>	<b>Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values</b>	<b>Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)</b>	<b>Uncertainty of Assigned Values</b>	<b>Z Score</b>
<b>WBC x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	3.17	3.12	6.29	8.1	0.0550	<b>-1.99</b>	0.05	0.1	0.0090	<b>-0.42</b>
<b>RBC x10<sup>6</sup>/µl</b>	1	3.33	3.31	6.64	6.8	0.0100	<b>-0.92</b>	0.02	0.04	0.0030	<b>-0.60</b>
<b>Hb g/dl</b>	1	11	11	22	24	0.0400	<b>-2.70</b>	0	0.1	0.0110	<b>-0.67</b>
<b>HCT%</b>	1	33.7	33.5	67.2	70.1	0.1840	<b>-0.81</b>	0.2	0.4	0.0340	<b>-0.54</b>
<b>MCV-fL</b>	1	101.3	101.2	202.5	207.3	0.4150	<b>-0.65</b>	0.1	0.4	0.0460	<b>-0.54</b>
<b>MCH-Pg</b>	1	33.2	33	66.2	70.1	0.1370	<b>-1.62</b>	0.2	0.3	0.0260	<b>-0.45</b>
<b>MCHC-g/dL</b>	1	32.8	32.6	65.4	68	0.2000	<b>-0.66</b>	0.2	0.3	0.0340	<b>-0.27</b>
<b>Plt. x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	141	134	275	294	1.69	<b>-0.57</b>	7	5	0.49	<b>0.39</b>
<b>Retic %</b>	2	5.5	5	10.5	12.5	0.43	<b>-0.23</b>	0.5	0.5	0.05	<b>0.00</b>

**P.S . Assesment**

<b>YOUR REPORT</b>			<b>CONSENSUS REPORT</b>	
<b>DLC%</b>	3	Nrbcs=0 , Poly=53 L=5, E=1, Mono/Promono=2 , B1=7 P.M.=2, Mye=24, Meta=5, Other=	Poly: 38 - 52, Myelo: 14 - 25, Meta: 7 - 16, Blast: 2-8, Lympho: 2-6 , Promyelo: 1-5 nRBC/Eos/Baso/Mono: 0 - 5	
<b>RBC Morphology</b>	3	NORMOCYTIC NORMOCHROMIC		
<b>Diagnosis</b>	3	PBS Findings S/O Chronic Myeloproliferative Disorder CMPD (?CML), Advice- Bone Marrow Examination ,Philadelphia Chromosome		
		Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase)		

**COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS**

<b>Test parameters</b>	<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Total participants covered in the current dist. 155--H</b>	<b>Total No. responded</b>	<b>% of Labs with Z Score 0-2</b>		<b>% of Labs with Z Score 2-3</b>		<b>% of Labs with Z Score &gt;3</b>	
				<b>Among labs</b>	<b>Within lab</b>	<b>Among labs</b>	<b>Within lab</b>	<b>Among labs</b>	<b>Within lab</b>
<b>WBC x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	151	151	84.11	91.39	1.32	1.32	14.57	7.29
<b>RBC x10<sup>6</sup>/µl</b>	1	151	151	86.75	88.74	9.27	7.28	3.98	3.98
<b>Hb g/dl</b>	1	151	151	90.07	90.07	7.28	2.65	2.65	7.28
<b>HCT%</b>	1	151	151	93.38	90.73	5.96	5.96	0.66	3.31
<b>MCV-fL</b>	1	151	151	91.39	94.04	6.62	3.97	1.99	1.99
<b>MCH-Pg</b>	1	151	151	91.39	88.74	4.64	5.96	3.97	5.3
<b>MCHC-g/dL</b>	1	151	151	94.04	94.7	5.3	2.65	0.66	2.65
<b>Plt. x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	151	151	96.69	90.73	2.65	5.3	0.66	3.97
<b>ReticCount%</b>	2	151	119	90.76	92.44	5.88	11.76	3.36	-4.2
<b>PS Assessment</b>	3	151	121	Satisfactory :86.1%, Borderline Sat. :10.59%, Unsatisfactory :3.31%					

\*Comments:

- 1). **Among Lab (EQA) : Results acceptable.**
- 2). **Within Lab (IQA) : Precision acceptable.**

**Note-1:** EQA (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** ( Internal Quality Assurance) : Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

**Note-3:** Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$  :Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$  : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample ( $\bar{x}$ - $\bar{y}$ ) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

**Note-6:** ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

**Note-7:** Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

**Note-8:** Proficiency testing (PT ) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website [www.ishtmaiimseqap.com](http://www.ishtmaiimseqap.com).

**Note 10:** Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----



**PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT**  
**ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME**  
 NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard  
 Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No. : 3169**

**Distribution No.: 154-H**

**Month/Year: December/2021**

**Instrument ID: 1740707210744**

**Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator:** Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,  
 Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

**Date of issue & status of the report:** 10-03-2022[Final].

**CBC and Retic Assessment**

<b>Test Parameters</b>	<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)</b>						<b>Within Lab (Precision Testing)</b>			
		<b>Your Result 1</b>	<b>Your Result 2</b>	<b>Your Results Sum of 2 Value</b>	<b>Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)</b>	<b>Uncertainty of Assigned Values</b>	<b>Z Score</b>	<b>Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values</b>	<b>Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)</b>	<b>Uncertainty of Assigned Values</b>	<b>Z Score</b>
<b>WBC x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	4.95	4.94	9.89	10.29	0.0390	<b>-0.63</b>	0.01	0.1	0.1330	<b>-0.81</b>
<b>RBC x10<sup>6</sup>/µl</b>	1	4.69	4.59	9.28	10.06	0.0170	<b>-2.81</b>	0.1	0.04	0.0040	<b>2.02</b>
<b>Hb g/dl</b>	1	14	14	28	29.5	0.0450	<b>-2.13</b>	0	0.1	0.0120	<b>-1.35</b>
<b>HCT%</b>	1	47.3	46.4	93.7	91.9	0.2990	<b>0.36</b>	0.9	0.4	0.0410	<b>1.35</b>
<b>MCV-fl</b>	1	101.2	100.9	202.1	183.2	0.4500	<b>2.48</b>	0.3	0.3	0.0320	<b>0.00</b>
<b>MCH-Pg</b>	1	30.6	29.9	60.5	58.7	0.1110	<b>1.01</b>	0.7	0.2	0.0220	<b>2.25</b>
<b>MCHC-g/dl</b>	1	30.2	29.6	59.8	64.1	0.2060	<b>-1.07</b>	0.6	0.2	0.0260	<b>1.80</b>
<b>Plt. x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	251	246	497	482	2.74	<b>0.35</b>	5	7	0.63	<b>-0.32</b>
<b>Retic %</b>	2	7.5	7	14.5	13	0.28	<b>0.36</b>	0.5	0.5	0.05	<b>0.00</b>

**P.S . Assesment**

<b>YOUR REPORT</b>			<b>CONSENSUS REPORT</b>
<b>DLC%</b>	3	Nrbcs=5.00 , Poly=55.00 L=6.00, E=2.00, Mono/Promono=2.00 , B1=4.00 P.M.=6.00, Mye=20.00, Meta=4.00, Other=	Poly: 40 - 60, Myelo: 11 - 22, Meta: 6 - 14, Blast/nRBC: 1 - 15, Promyelo/Eos/Baso/Lympho/Mono: 0 - 5
<b>RBC Morphology</b>	3	Normocytic normochromic	Predominantly: Microcytosis, Anisocytosis; Moderate: Hypochromia, Poikilocytosis; Mild: Normocytic/Normochromic, Macrocytosis
<b>Diagnosis</b>	3	PBS FINDINGS S/O CHRONIC MYELOPROLIFERATIVE DISORDER CMPD ? CML Adv - Cytochemistry,Immunophenotyping,Clinical Correlation & Follow up	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia ( CML )

**COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS**

<b>Test parameters</b>	<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Total participants covered in the current dist. 154--H</b>	<b>Total No. responded</b>	<b>% of Labs with Z Score 0-2</b>		<b>% of Labs with Z Score 2-3</b>		<b>% of Labs with Z Score &gt;3</b>	
				<b>Among labs</b>	<b>Within lab</b>	<b>Among labs</b>	<b>Within lab</b>	<b>Among labs</b>	<b>Within lab</b>
<b>WBC x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	116	115	85.22	93.91	0.87	4.35	13.91	1.74
<b>RBC x10<sup>6</sup>/µl</b>	1	116	116	90.52	88.79	5.17	6.03	4.31	5.18
<b>Hb g/dl</b>	1	116	116	92.24	91.38	4.31	4.31	3.45	4.31
<b>HCT%</b>	1	116	115	96.52	97.39	1.74	0.00	1.74	2.61
<b>MCV-f1</b>	1	116	115	92.17	84.35	5.22	6.09	2.61	9.56
<b>MCH-Pg</b>	1	116	115	92.17	92.17	6.09	4.35	1.74	3.48
<b>MCHC-g/dl</b>	1	116	115	98.26	91.3	0.87	5.22	0.87	3.48
<b>Plt. x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	116	115	86.96	93.91	9.57	5.22	3.47	0.87
<b>ReticCount%</b>	2	116	116	98.28	92.24	1.72	2.59	0.00	5.17
<b>PS Assessment</b>	3	116	91	Satisfactory :98.31%, Borderline Sat. :0.85%, Unsatisfactory :0.84%					

\*Comments:

- 1). **Among Lab (EQA) : Results acceptable.**
- 2). **Within Lab (IQA) : Precision acceptable.**

**Note-1:** EQA (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** ( Internal Quality Assurance) : Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

**Note-3:** Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$  :Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$  : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (S<sub>s</sub>) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample ( $\bar{x}$ - $\bar{y}$ ) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

**Note-6:** ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

**Note-7:** Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

**Note-8:** Proficiency testing (PT ) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website [www.ishtmaiimseqap.com](http://www.ishtmaiimseqap.com).

**Note 10:** Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----



**PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT**  
**ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME**  
 NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard  
 Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



*Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens*

**EQAP CODE No. : 3169**

**Distribution No.: 153-H Month/Year: September/2021**

**Instrument ID:** 1740707210744

**Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator:** Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,  
 Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

**Date of issue & status of the report:** 28-10-2021[Final].

**CBC and Retic Assessment**

<b>Test Parameters</b>	<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)</b>					<b>Within Lab (Precision Testing)</b>				
		<b>Your Result 1</b>	<b>Your Result 2</b>	<b>Your Results Sum of 2 Value</b>	<b>Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)</b>	<b>Uncertainty of Assigned Values</b>	<b>Z Score</b>	<b>Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values</b>	<b>Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)</b>	<b>Uncertainty of Assigned Values</b>	<b>Z Score</b>
<b>WBC x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	7.5	7.3	14.8	16	0.0960	<b>-0.78</b>	0.2	0.19	0.0210	<b>0.06</b>
<b>RBC x10<sup>6</sup>/µl</b>	1	4.44	4.43	8.87	9	0.0150	<b>-0.67</b>	0.01	0.04	0.0480	<b>-0.67</b>
<b>Hb g/dl</b>	1	11.4	11.3	22.7	22.9	0.0390	<b>-0.34</b>	0.1	0.1	0.0130	<b>0.00</b>
<b>HCT%</b>	1	37.1	37	74.1	72.7	0.2750	<b>0.37</b>	0.1	0.4	0.0430	<b>-1.01</b>
<b>MCV-fL</b>	1	83.6	83.5	167.1	162.9	0.5310	<b>0.52</b>	0.1	0.2	0.0310	<b>-0.27</b>
<b>MCH-Pg</b>	1	25.7	25.5	51.2	50.8	0.1120	<b>0.22</b>	0.2	0.2	0.0280	<b>0.00</b>
<b>MCHC-g/dL</b>	1	30.8	30.5	61.3	62.5	0.2370	<b>-0.38</b>	0.3	0.3	0.0380	<b>0.00</b>
<b>Plt. x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	299	281	580	636	3.59	<b>-1.02</b>	18	8	0.82	<b>1.23</b>
<b>Retic %</b>	2	3	2.5	5.5	5.8	0.11	<b>-0.10</b>	0.5	0.4	0.02	<b>0.45</b>

**P.S . Assesment**

<b>YOUR REPORT</b>			<b>CONSENSUS REPORT</b>
<b>DLC%</b>	3	Nrbcs= , Poly=10.00 L=85.00, E=2.00, Mono/Promono=3.00 , B1= P.M.=, Mye=, Meta=, Other=0.00	Lymp: 85-94, Poly: 4-12, blast: 1-8, nRBC/mono/Eosino/Myelo/Meta: 0-1
<b>RBC Morphology</b>	3	Predominantly normocytic normochromic few microcytes seen	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis
<b>Diagnosis</b>	3	PBS Dindings are S/O Chronic Lymphoproliferative Disorder CLPD (?CLL),Adv - Cytochemistry,Immunophenotyping,Bone marrow examination.clinical correlation and follow up	Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL)

**COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS**

<b>Test parameters</b>	<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Total participants covered in the current dist. 153--H</b>	<b>Total No. responded</b>	<b>% of Labs with Z Score 0-2</b>		<b>% of Labs with Z Score 2-3</b>		<b>% of Labs with Z Score &gt;3</b>	
				<b>Among labs</b>	<b>Within lab</b>	<b>Among labs</b>	<b>Within lab</b>	<b>Among labs</b>	<b>Within lab</b>
<b>WBC x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	98	97	80.41	91.75	6.19	4.12	13.4	4.13
<b>RBC x10<sup>6</sup>/µl</b>	1	98	98	85.71	86.73	6.12	7.14	8.17	6.13
<b>Hb g/dl</b>	1	98	98	88.78	88.78	5.1	6.12	6.12	5.1
<b>HCT%</b>	1	98	97	89.69	90.72	8.25	3.09	2.06	6.19
<b>MCV-fL</b>	1	98	97	90.72	96.91	9.28	1.03	0	2.06
<b>MCH-Pg</b>	1	98	97	92.78	98.97	6.19		1.03	1.03
<b>MCHC-g/dL</b>	1	98	97	91.75	92.78	7.22	3.09	1.03	4.13
<b>Plt. x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	98	97	97.94	94.85	2.06	3.09	0	2.06
<b>ReticCount%</b>	2	98	75	97.33	80	0.00	16	2.67	4
<b>PS Assessment</b>	3	98	79	Satisfactory :87.67, Borderline Sat. :4.93, Unsatisfactory :7.40					

\*Comments:

- 1). **Among Lab (EQA) : Results acceptable.**
- 2). **Within Lab (IQA) : Precision acceptable.**

**Note-1:** EQA (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** ( Internal Quality Assurance) : Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

**Note-3:** Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$  :Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$  : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (S<sub>s</sub>) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample ( $\bar{x}$ - $\bar{y}$ ) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

**Note-6:** ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

**Note-7:** Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

**Note-8:** Proficiency testing (PT ) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website [www.ishtmaiimseqap.com](http://www.ishtmaiimseqap.com).

**Note 10:** Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----



**PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT**  
**ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME**  
 NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard  
 Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No. : 3169**

**Distribution No.: 152-H**

**Month/Year: March/2021**

**Instrument ID: 32626**

**Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator:** Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,  
 Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

**Date of issue & status of the report:** 17-05-2021[Final].

**CBC and Retic Assessment**

<b>Test Parameters</b>	<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)</b>					<b>Within Lab (Precision Testing)</b>				
		<b>Your Result 1</b>	<b>Your Result 2</b>	<b>Your Results Sum of 2 Value</b>	<b>Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)</b>	<b>Uncertainty of Assigned Values</b>	<b>Z Score</b>	<b>Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values</b>	<b>Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)</b>	<b>Uncertainty of Assigned Values</b>	<b>Z Score</b>
<b>WBC x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	5.2	5.2	10.4	10.5	0.0660	<b>-0.09</b>	0	0.1	0.0130	<b>-0.84</b>
<b>RBC x10<sup>6</sup>/µl</b>	1	3.14	3.13	6.27	6.69	0.0120	<b>-2.83</b>	0.01	0.03	0.0040	<b>-0.67</b>
<b>Hb g/dl</b>	1	9.7	9.6	19.3	20.25	0.0350	<b>-2.14</b>	0.1	0.1	0.0120	<b>0.00</b>
<b>HCT%</b>	1	32.1	32	64.1	65.4	0.2100	<b>-0.37</b>	0.1	0.3	0.0350	<b>-0.67</b>
<b>MCV-fL</b>	1	102.2	102.2	204.4	196.4	0.4970	<b>1.04</b>	0	0.3	0.0420	<b>-0.67</b>
<b>MCH-Pg</b>	1	30.8	30.6	61.4	60.8	0.1170	<b>0.36</b>	0.2	0.2	0.0310	<b>0.00</b>
<b>MCHC-g/dL</b>	1	30.2	30	60.2	61.75	0.2170	<b>-0.53</b>	0.2	0.2	0.0350	<b>0.00</b>
<b>Plt. x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	145	143	288	291	2.10	<b>-0.09</b>	2	6	0.61	<b>-0.67</b>
<b>Retic %</b>	2	9	8.5	17.5	23	0.60	<b>-0.62</b>	0.5	1	0.10	<b>-0.45</b>

**P.S . Assesment**

<b>YOUR REPORT</b>			<b>CONSENSUS REPORT</b>
<b>DLC%</b>	3	Nrbcs=3.00 , Poly=53.00 L=2.00, E=3.00, Mono/Promono=1.00 , B1=7.00 P.M.=4.00, Mye=21.00, Meta=8.00, Other=0.00	Poly: 30 - 55, Myelo: 10 - 40, Meta: 5 - 15, Promyelo/Eos/Blast: 1 - 10, nRBC/Baso/Lympho/Mono: 0 - 5
<b>RBC Morphology</b>	3	Microcytes ++,Pencil cells +,tear drop cells +,Polychromatophilic +,Hypochromia +++,Anisopoikilocytosis ++	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Microcytosis, Hypochromia, Anisocytosis; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis
<b>Diagnosis</b>	3	PBS Findings are S/O Chronic Myeloproliferative Disorder (CMPD),Adv - Philadelphia Chromosome Clinical correlation and follow up	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML)

**COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS**

<b>Test parameters</b>	<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Total participants covered in the current dist. 152--H</b>	<b>Total No. responded</b>	<b>% of Labs with Z Score 0-2</b>		<b>% of Labs with Z Score 2-3</b>		<b>% of Labs with Z Score &gt;3</b>	
				<b>Among labs</b>	<b>Within lab</b>	<b>Among labs</b>	<b>Within lab</b>	<b>Among labs</b>	<b>Within lab</b>
<b>WBC x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	94	94	90.43	82.98	4.26	5.32	5.31	11.7
<b>RBC x10<sup>6</sup>/µl</b>	1	94	94	81.91	90.43	10.64	6.38	7.45	3.19
<b>Hb g/dl</b>	1	94	94	78.72	93.62	8.51	4.26	12.77	2.12
<b>HCT%</b>	1	94	94	91.49	90.43	7.45	5.32	1.06	4.25
<b>MCV-fL</b>	1	94	94	93.62	92.55	3.19	2.13	3.19	5.32
<b>MCH-Pg</b>	1	94	94	82.98	92.55	9.57	3.19	7.45	4.26
<b>MCHC-g/dL</b>	1	94	94	91.49	93.62	4.26	2.13	4.25	4.25
<b>Plt. x10<sup>3</sup>/µl</b>	1	94	94	93.62	94.68	5.32	1.06	1.06	4.26
<b>ReticCount%</b>	2	94	85	96.47	94.12	2.35	1.18	1.18	4.7
<b>PS Assessment</b>	3	94	87	Satisfactory :94.5, Borderline Sat. :3.3, Unsatisfactory :2.2					

\*Comments:

- 1). **Among Lab (EQA) : Results acceptable.**
- 2). **Within Lab (IQA) : Precision acceptable.**

**Note-1:** EQA (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** ( Internal Quality Assurance) : Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

**Note-3:** Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$  :Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$  : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample ( $\bar{x}$ - $\bar{y}$ ) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

**Note-6:** ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

**Note-7:** Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

**Note-8:** Proficiency testing (PT ) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website [www.ishtmaiimseqap.com](http://www.ishtmaiimseqap.com).

**Note 10:** Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----