



# PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME

NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No.: 1937** 

Distribution No.: 155-E

Month/Year: March/2022

Instrument ID: H360-K10012102151

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 29-04-2022[Final].

# **CBC** and Retic Assessment

	1	1		Amo	ong Lab (Ac	curacy Testi	ng)	Within Lab (Precision Testing)			
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result		7	Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values		Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μl	1	3.1	2.9	6	6.4	0.0320	-0.45	0.2	0.09	0.0050	1.65
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	2.96	2.94	5.9	6.14	0.0070	-1.30	0.02	0.03	0.0020	-0.34
Hb g/dl	1	10.9	10.8	21.7	22.4	0.0210	-1.28	0.1	0.1	0.0070	0.00
нст%	1	33.6	33.3	66.9	69.4	0.1290	-0.71	0.3	0.3	0.0230	0.00
MCV-fl	1	113.5	113.3	226.8	225.1	0.3410	0.18	0.2	0.4	0.0310	-0.34
MCH-Pg	1	37.1	36.5	73.6	73.1	0.0970	0.19	0.6	0.3	0.0220	1.01
MCHC-g/dl	1	32.7	32.2	64.9	64.65	0.1280	0.07	0.5	0.3	0.0150	0.67
Plt. x10³/μl	1	185	170	355	351	1.21	0.12	15	5	0.31	1.93
Retic %	2										

#### P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT				
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=2 , Poly=69 L=4, E=1, Mono/Promono=05 , B1=00 P.M.=02, Mye=08, Meta=09, Other=-	Poly: 37 - 50, Myelo: 16 - 32, Meta: 8 - 16, Promyelo: 1-10, nRBC/Lympho/Blast/Eos/Baso/Mono: 0 - 5				
RBC Morphology	3	HYPOCHRONIC AND NORMOCYTIC TO MACROCYTIC.FEW MICROCYTES.ANISOCYTOSIS	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, hypochromia, Microcytosis; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis				
Diagnosis	3	Suggestive of chronic myeloproliferative disorder.Immunophenotyping advised for confirmation	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase)				

Robert satisfactory

# COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants covered in the	Total No.	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
Test parameters	O	current dist. 155E	responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/µl	1	320	318	89.94	90.25	5.66	3.77	4.4	5.98
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /µl	1	320	320	88.13	87.81	6.56	3.13	5.31	9.06
Hb g/dl	1	320	320	85	91.25	5.63	3.13	9.37	5.62
HCT%	1	320	318	93.4	88.36	5.03	5.97	1.57	5.67
MCV-fl	1	320	317	94.01	95.58	4.42	1.58	1.57	2.84
MCH-Pg	1	320	317	90.22	88.01	4.1	5.36	5.68	6.63
MCHC-g/dl	1	320	318	93.08	90.25	4.09	4.72	2.83	5.03
Plt. x10³/μl	1	320	318	89.94	88.99	6.92	5.66	3.14	5.35
ReticCount%	2	320	320	84.69	82.19	5.63	5.94	9.68	11.87
PS Assessment	3	320	300	Satisfactory	:87.16%, Bo	orderline Sat	. :6.89%, Ur	nsatisfactory	:5.95%

#### 'Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised  $IQR = 0.7413 \times IQR$ 

Note-3: Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score >  $\pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value >  $\pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\overline{x}-\overline{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

Lyke.

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----





# PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME

NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No.: 1937

Distribution No.: 154-E

Month/Year: November/2021

Instrument ID: H360

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 23-02-2022[Final].

# **CBC** and Retic Assessment

				Amo	ng Lab (Acc	curacy Testir	1g)			cision Testin	19)
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1			Consensus result			Results	Diff. of 2 values	Uncertainty	
WBC x10³/µl	1	3.51	3.4	6.91	10.99	0.1670	-0.68	0.11	0.18	0.0140	-0.31
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	4.24	4.2	8.44	8.53	0.0100	-0.36	0.04	0.06	0.0040	-0.34
Hb g/dl	1	13	12.9	25.9	25.9	0.0300	0.00	0.1	0.1	0.0100	0.00
НСТ%	1	41.2	41.2	82.4	79.3	0.1750	0.66	0	0.4	0.0290	-0.77
MCV-fl	1	98	97.3	195.3	185.2	0.3170	1.12	0.7	0.3	0.0250	0.90
мсн-Рд	1	30.8	30.8	61.6	60.5	0.0690	0.69	0	0.3	0.0250	-0.70
MCHC-g/d	1 1	31.6	6 31.4	4 63	64.8	0.1380	-0.4	8 0.2	0.4	0.0270	-0.39
Plt. x10 <sup>3</sup> /μ	al 1	122	2 96	218	278	1.30	-1.7	26	5	0.34	3.5
Retic %	2	2 0.8	3 0.7	7 1.5	8.8	0.20	-1.1	0.1	0.36	0.02	-0.6

### P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT				
		YOUR REPORT	2.4 M. L. (Deservoire/Motor				
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=1.00 , Poly=4.00 L=5.00, E=0.00, Mono/Promono=1.00 , B1=80.00 P.M.=9.00, Mye=, Meta=, Other=	Blast: 60-88, Poly: 2-6, Lympho: 4-12, Mono: 0-4, Myelo/Promyeio/Meta: 1-5, nRBC/Eos: 0-1				
RBC	3	HYPOCHROMIC AND MICROCYTIC ANISONUCLEOSIS FEW	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Anisocytosis, Macrocytosis				
Morphology		MACROCYTES.TEAR DROP CELLS					
Diagnosis	3	AML.IMMUNOPHENOTYPING ADVISED FOR CONFIRMATION	1 state of the sta				
			Number of 11- Sat 100 101				

# COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

×		Total participants		% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
rameters	S.No.	covered in the current dist.	Total No. responded	Among	Among Within labs	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
		154E	200	96.55	88.62	3.79	4.14	-0.34	7.24
$BC \times 10^3/\mu l$	1	298	290	83.89	86.91	6.38	5.37	9.73	7.72
ABC x10 <sup>6</sup> /µl	1	298	298		83.22	10.4	4.36	7.72	12.42
Hb g/dl	1	298	298	81.88	86.6	3.44	7.9	2.75	5.5
HCT%	1	298	291	93.81		5.15	2.75	2.07	2.4
MCV-fl	1	298	291	92.78	94.85	8.59	6.19	7.9	2.06
MCH-Pg	1	298	291	83.51	91.75		3.44	2.06	2.4
	1	298	291	91.75	94.16	6.19		3.09	1.37
MCHC-g/dl	1	298	291	93.13	94.85	3.78	3.78		9.73
Plt. $x10^3/\mu l$	1		298	95.3	88.59	3.02	1.68	1.68	
ReticCount%	2	298		Satisfactor	v :78.18%. E	Borderline Sa	at.:21.14%,	Unsatisfacto	ory:0.6/%
PS Assessment	3	298	275	Satisfactor	j ., o. z o ,				

### Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IOR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised  $IQR = 0.7413 \times IQR$ 

Note-3: Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\overline{x}-\overline{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----



# Healthplus Wellness DIAGNOSTICS



Date Name Ref. By	13/07/2022 Ms. MEGHA Dr. SELF	Srl Age		Sex F
COMPLE	TE HAEMOGRAM			
	LOBIN (Hb)	13.7	gm/dl	11.0 - 16.0
TOTAL LE	UCOCYTE COUNT (TLC)	5,800	/cumm	4000 - 11000
DIFFEREN	ITIAL LEUCOCYTE COUNT (DLC	)		
NEUTROP	PHIL	51	%	40 - 75
LYMPHOC	YTE	40	%	20 - 45
EOSINOPH	HIL	04	%	01 - 06
MONOCYT	ΓE	05	%	02 - 10
BASOPHIL		00	%	0 - 0
ESR (WES Westegren`	STEGREN`s METHOD) s	16	mm/lst hr.	0 - 20
R B C COL	JNT	4.13	Millions/cmm	3.8 - 4.8
P.C.V / HAE	EMATOCRIT	42.0	%	35 - 45
MCV		101.7	fl.	80 - 100
МСН		33.1	Picogram	27.0 - 31.0
MCHC		32.6	gm/dl	33 - 37
PLATELET	COUNT	174	Lakh/cmm	1.50 - 4.50
RDW		54.4	FL	39.0 - 46.0

DR. ANJU KACKAR MBBS,MD SENIOR PATHOLOGIST

In case of any discrepancies in the report, please contact the laboratory immediately. (This is professional opinion and not the final diagnosis. It should be clinically correlated)

Timings 8 am to 8 pm Sundays 8 am to 1.30 pm



• Not For Medico Legal Cases



Patient MRN:

296160

Name:

Ms. MEGHA

Age/Gender:

Order ID:

23 Y/Female

296160130722130921

Booked By:

Healthplus Wellness Diagnostics

Sample Type:



Patient ID:

Sample Drawn Date:

Lab Accession Date: Report Date & Time:

Ref By:

BarcodeID/Slide No:

2712207130001

13/Jul/2022 04:22PM 13/Jul/2022 04:22PM

13/Jul/2022 05:18PM

Self

2665685/

Results	Units	Bio. Ref. Interval	Test Method
13.5	g/dL	12.0-15.0	Non Cyanide - SLS
5.75	10^3/uL	4.0-10.0	Flocytometry
42.1	%	36.0-46.0	Cumulative Pulse Height Detection
98.1	fl	83.0-101.0	Calculated
31.5	pg	27.0-32.0	Calculated
32.1	g/dL	31.5-34.5	Calculated
161	10^3/uL	150-410	DC Detection
47.3	fL.	36.4-46.3	Calculated
13.6	%	11.7-14.4	Calculated
68.00	%	40-80	Semiconductor Laser Flocytometry/ Light Microscopy
25.00	%	20-40	Semiconductor Laser Flocytometry/ Light Microscopy
5.00		2-10	Semiconductor Laser Flocytometry/ Light Microscopy
2.00	%	1-6	Semiconductor Laser Flocytometry/ Light Microscopy
0.00	%	0-2	Semiconductor Laser Flocytometry/ Light Microscopy
3 91	10^3/uL	2.00-8.00	Calculated
	10^3/uL	1.00-3.00	Calculated
	10^3/uL	0.20-1.00	Calculated
	10^3/uL	0.02-0.50	Calculated
0.00	10^3/uL	0.02-0.10	Calculated
	13.5 5.75 42.1 98.1 31.5 32.1 161 <b>47.3</b> 13.6 68.00 25.00 5.00 2.00 0.00 3.91 1.44 0.29 0.12	13.5 g/dL 5.75 10^3/uL 42.1 %  98.1 fl 31.5 pg 32.1 g/dL 161 10^3/uL 47.3 fL 13.6 % 68.00 % 25.00 %  5.00  2.00 %  0.00 %  3.91 10^3/uL 1.44 10^3/uL 0.29 10^3/uL 0.29 10^3/uL	13.5 g/dL 12.0-15.0 5.75 10^3/uL 4.0-10.0 42.1 % 36.0-46.0  98.1 fl 83.0-101.0 31.5 pg 27.0-32.0 32.1 g/dL 31.5-34.5 161 10^3/uL 150-410 47.3 fL 36.4-46.3 13.6 % 11.7-14.4 68.00 % 40-80  25.00 % 20-40  5.00 2-10  2.00 % 1-6  0.00 % 0-2  3.91 10^3/uL 1.00-3.00 1.44 10^3/uL 1.00-3.00 0.29 10^3/uL 0.22-1.00 0.12 10^3/uL 0.02-0.50

Above Results are of the Tests performed at NirAmaya Pathlabs with Commitment to provide Accurate Pathology Services

In de

Dr. Indu Sardana MD Pathology Lab director & Senior Pathologist

Dr. Ashok Malhotra MBBS, MD. Sr. Consultant Biochemist

Dr. Surbhi MBBS. MD. Microbiologist



Page 1 of 11