

### PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

## ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME

NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No.: 1635** 

Distribution No.: 156-C

Month/Year: May/2022

Instrument ID: Yumizen H550 110yaxh03540

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730, E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 12-07-2022[Final].

# **CBC** and Retic Assessment

•								With	in Lab (Pre	cision Testi	ng)
				Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)				Consensus			
Test Parameters	S.Ņo.	Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values	Result	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μl	1	3.93	3.19	7.12	41.39	0.2760	-1.59	0.74	0.21	0.0140	1.79
RBC x106/µl	1	4.37	4.35	8.72	8.63	0.0080	0.42	0.02	0.03	0.0020	-0.27
Hb g/dl	1	12.8	12.7	25.5	26.45	0.0330	-1.17	0.1	0.1	0.0080	0.00
нст%	1	42.8	42.1	84.9	83.65	0.2070	0.20	0.7	0.4	0.0240	0.81
MCV-fl	1	98.5	96.4	194.9	194.15	0.4040	0.06	2.1	0.3	0.0250	3.04
мсн-Рд	1	29.4	29.1	58.5	61.15	0.0840	-1.19	0.3	0.2	0.0160	0.45
MCHC-g/dl	1	30.2	29.8	60	62.6	0.1590	-0.57	0.4	0.3	0.0170	0.34
Plt. x10³/µl	1	185	183	368	345.5	3.20	0.22	2	5	0.31	-0.58
Retic %	2	10.5	10	20.5	7.9	0.12	3.70	0.5	0,3	0.02	0.90

#### P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT				
DLC%	3	Netros=02 Poly=17 L=20, E=1,	Blast: 49-70, Lympho; 12-27 ,Poly: 9-17,/mono;1-5 nRBC/Eosino/Myelo/Meta/promyelo: 0-2				
RBC Morphology	3	t and mild	Predominantly: Normocytic/ Normochromic, Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytic , macrocytes, Tear drop cells				
Diagnosis		Acute Leukemia	Acute Leukemia (AL)				

#### COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters		Total participants	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
	S.No.	covered in the current dist. 156C		Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/μl	1	347	345	99.13	89.86	0.29	3.48	0.58	6.66
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /µl	1	347	347	91.07	90.78	4.61	3.75	4.32	5.47
	1	4.171-127		85.88	87.9	6.63	4.61	7.49	7.49
Hb g/dl	1	347	347	The Target School Section	200000		3.76	6.65	7.22
HCT%	1	347	346	88.44	89.02	4.91		7.8	3.47
MCV-fl	1	347	346	88.44	91.91	3.76	4.62		5.49
	1	347	346	87.28	87.57	5.78	6.94	6.94	
MCH-Pg	1	BURNOSCE AND TO STATE A		F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	86.42	4.91	4.91	3.47	8.67
MCHC-g/dl	1	347	346	91.62			3.47	1.15	4.62
Plt. x10 <sup>3</sup> /µl	1	347	346	95.38	91.91	3.47		1.83	5.16
ReticCount%	2	347	329	90.88	82.07	7.29	12.77		
PS Assessment	<del>-</del>	347	326	Satisfactory:94.27%, Borderline Sat.:4.59%, Unsatisfactory:1.14%					

Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within

your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer. Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab

(EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two

values)/(Normalised IQR)  $IQR = Quartile \ 3$  - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised  $IQR = 0.7413 \ x \ IQR$ 

Note-3: Z score 0 to ±2: Acceptable, Z score ±2 to ±3: Warning Signal, Z score > ±3: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ±3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\overline{x}-\overline{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----