



# PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

# ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME

NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No. :** 4427 **Distribution No.:** 156-L **Month/Year:** July/2022

**Instrument ID:** XN 550 18115

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com **Date of issue & status of the report:** 27-09-2022[Final].

# **CBC and Retic Assessment**

				Amo	ng Lab (Aco	curacy Testin	ıg)	Within Lab (Precision Testing)					
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Results	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty		Results	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score		
WBC x10³/μl	1	7.86	7.74	15.6	12.18	0.0990	1.15	0.12	0.15	0.0120	-0.18		
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	4.53	4.44	8.97	8.69	0.0140	0.71	0.09	0.05	0.0040	0.60		
Hb g/dl	1	12.8	12.7	25.5	24.8	0.0290	0.86	0.1	0.1	0.0100	0.00		
НСТ%	1	41.9	41. <mark>4</mark>	83.3	79.6	0.2150	0.64	0.5	0.4	0.0290	0.17		
MCV-fl	1	93.2	92.5	185.7	183.3	0.3570	0.24	0.7	0.4	0.0270	0.58		
МСН-Рд	1	28.8	28	56.8	57.3	0.0800	-0.25	0.8	0.3	0.0200	1.69		
MCHC-g/dl	1	30.9	30.3	61.2	62.4	0.1610	-0.28	0.6	0.3	0.0230	0.81		
Plt. <b>x</b> 10³/μl	1	172	158	330	348	1.70	-0.40	14	7	0.47	0.79		
Retic %	2	1.9	1.8	3.7	3.63	0.09	0.03	0.1	0.2	0.02	-0.34		

### P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT					
DLC%			Poly: 32 – 50, Myelo: 14 - 28, Meta: 10 – 18, Promyelo: 2-8, Lympho: 2-7, nRBC//Blast/Eos/Baso/Mono: 0 – 5					
RBC Morphology	3		Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, hypochromia, Microcytosis; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis					
Diagnosis	3	CHRONIC MYELOID LEUKEMIA	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase)					

### **COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS**

Test parameters	S No	Total participants covered in the current dist. 156L	Total No.	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3			
rest parameters	5.NU.		responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab		
WBC x10³/μl	1	318	306	92.16	82.35	5.56	5.88	2.28	11.77		
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	318	318	87.11	83.65	5.35	4.4	7.54	11.95		
Hb g/dl	1	318	318	87.74	84.91	3.14	5.35	9.12	9.74		
HCT%	1	318	3 <mark>07</mark>	92.18	89.25	4.56	5.21	3.26	5.54		
MCV-fl	1	318	307	91.21	91.86	5.54	3.58	3.25	4.56		
MCH-Pg	1	318	307	89.25	<mark>8</mark> 5.67	4.89	3.58	5.86	10.75		
MCHC-g/dl	1	318	307	90.88	86.97	5.86	5.21	3.26	7.82		
Plt. x10³/μl	1	318	307	91.86	92.18	5.86	2.61	2.28	5.21		
ReticCount%	2	318	218	91.28	83.94	3.67	13.3	5.05	2.76		
PS Assessment	3	318	216	Satisfactory	Satisfactory :93.4%, Borderline Sat. :2.83%, Unsatisfactory :3.77%						

### \*Comments:

Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.
 Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

**Note-1: EQA** (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

**Note-8:** Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----



# PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

# ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME



NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029

Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No.**: 4427 **Distribution No.**: 157-L **Month/Year:** October/2022

**Instrument ID:** 10120520 XN 550 18115

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com **Date of issue & status of the report:** 17-11-2022[Final].

# **CBC** and Retic Assessment

				Amo	ng Lab (Aco	curacy Testin	ıg)	Within Lab (Precision Testing)				
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty		Results		Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	
WBC x10³/μl	1	6.45	6.38	12.83	12.5	0.0700	0.21	0.07	0.11	0.0090	-0.30	
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	4.52	4.43	8.95	8.41	0.0130	1.51	0.09	0.04	0.0030	0.84	
Hb g/dl	1	12.6	12.4	25	23.6	0.0280	2.10	0.2	0.1	0.0090	0.67	
НСТ%	1	40.1	39.3	79.4	74	0.1930	1.06	0.8	0.4	0.0280	0.90	
MCV-fl	1	88.7	88.7	177.4	177.4	0.3730	0.00	0	0.3	0.0230	-0.81	
MCH-Pg	1	28	27.9	55.9	56.4	0.0820	-0.25	0.1	0.2	0.0220	-0.34	
MCHC-g/dl	1	31.6	31.4	63	63.7	0.1580	-0.17	0.2	0.3	0.0220	-0.34	
Plt. <b>x10³/μl</b>	1	292	258	550	593	3.16	-0.46	34	11	0.73	1.94	
Retic %	2	3.7	3.6	7.3	7.18	0.17	0.02	0.1	0.4	0.03	-0.45	

### P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT						
DLC%	3	INIONO/Promono= BI=XX P NI = NIVA=	Blast: 44-90, Lympho: 4-21, Poly: 1-6, nRBC/Eos/Baso/Mono /Myelo/Meta/ Promyelo: 0-5						
RBC Morphology	3	IANISULTIUSIS BTPULBRUMASIA++	Predominantly: Normocytic/ Normochromic, Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytic						
Diagnosis	3	ACUTE LEUKEMIA	Acute Leukemia (AL)						

### **COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS**

Test parameters	C No	Total participants	Total No.	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
	3.NU.	current dist. 157L	responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/μl	1	312	300	<b>77</b> .33	90	6.33	2.33	16.34	7.67
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	312	312	88.14	83.65	3.85	5.45	8.01	10.9
Hb g/dl	1	312	312	84.29	82.69	5.45	5.77	10.26	11.54
HCT%	1	312	3 <mark>01</mark>	90.03	87.04	6.31	5.65	3.66	7.31
MCV-fl	1	312	301	93.02	89.37	4.65	6.64	2.33	3.99
MCH-Pg	1	312	301	87.71	<mark>9</mark> 0.03	7.97	2.66	4.32	7.31
MCHC-g/dl	1	312	301	92.36	91.03	5.98	2.99	1.66	5.98
Plt. x10³/μl	1	312	297	93.27	92.26	4.38	3.7	2.35	4.04
ReticCount%	2	312	206	88.83	89.81	5.83	7.28	5.34	2.91
PS Assessment	3	312	199	Satisfactory	Satisfactory: 90.04%, Borderline Sat.: 3.21%, Unsatisfactory: 6.75				

### \*Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

**Note-1: EQA** (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

**Note-3:** Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

**Note-8:** Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----