

## **PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT** *ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME* NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard

Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

# EQAP CODE No. : 3510

Distribution No.: 156-J

Month/Year: June/2022

Instrument ID: Mindray BC 5000 Sr.No - 65002711

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi, Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 29-08-2022[Final].

## **CBC and Retic Assessment**

				Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)				Within Lab (Precision Testing)				
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	Deculto		Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	
WBC x10³/µl	1	4.5	4.46	8.96	10.6	0.0820	-0.85	0.04	0.12	0.0110	-0.60	
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /µl	1	4.35	4.32	8.67	8.84	0.0120	-0.64	0.03	0.05	0.0030	-0.39	
Hb g/dl	1	12.1	12	24.1	25.4	0.0320	-1.75	0.1	0.1	0.0100	0.00	
НСТ%	1	40	39. <mark>8</mark>	79.8	80.55	0.2100	-0.15	0.2	0.4	0.0340	-0.39	
MCV-fl	1	92	91.9	183.9	181.8	0.3220	0.27	0.1	0.3	0.0260	-0.45	
MCH-Pg	1	28	27.6	55.6	57.4	0.0800	-0.93	0.4	0.3	0.0200	0.34	
MCHC-g/dl	1	30.4	30	60.4	62.75	0.1690	-0.59	0.4	0.4	0.0240	0.00	
Plt. x10³/μl	1	204	199	403	402.5	2.29	0.01	5	6	0.43	-0.15	
Retic %	2	2	1	3	5.6	0.16	-0.64	1	0.3	0.02	3.15	

## P.S . Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT				
DLC%	3		Blast: 24-67, Poly: 5-18, Lympho: 6-15, mono:2-15 , Myelo:0-7 , Meta: 0-7, promyelo: 0-6, Eosino:0-1				
RBC Morphology	3	IHVDOCDTOTIC	Predominantly: Normocytic/ Normochromic, Moderate: Anisocytosis Microcytic				
Diagnosis	3	Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia	Acute Leukemia (AL)				

#### Page 2 of 2

### **COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS**

Test newspectars	S No	Total participants covered in the	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
Test parameters	5.NO.	covered in the current dist. 156J		Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10 <sup>3</sup> /µl	1	249	246	<mark>85</mark> .77	89.84	5.69	3.66	8.54	6.5
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /µl	1	249	249	87.95	86.35	4.82	6.43	7.23	7.22
Hb g/dl	1	249	249	86.35	83.53	3.61	5.62	10.04	10.85
HCT%	1	249	2 <mark>46</mark>	89.84	89.84	5.28	4.47	4.88	5.69
MCV-fl	1	249	246	89.43	93.5	5.28	2.03	5.29	4.47
MCH-Pg	1	249	246	87.8	<mark>88</mark> .21	5.69	3.66	6.51	8.13
MCHC-g/dl	1	249	246	91.06	<mark>89.0</mark> 2	4.88	5.28	4.06	5.7
Plt. x10³/µl	1	249	246	92.68	91.06	4.88	4.88	2.44	4.06
ReticCount%	2	249	222	92.79	81.53	4.5	11.26	2.71	7.21
PS Assessment	3	249	231	Satisfactory :85.95%, Borderline Sat. :0.803%, Unsatisfactory :13.25%					

#### \*Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA) : Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA) : Precision acceptable.

**Note-1: EQA** (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** (Internal Quality Assurance) : Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values – Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised  $IQR = 0.7413 \times IQR$ 

**Note-3:** Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score >  $\pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value >  $\pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent guarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Jege-

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.) PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----