



PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

IIIC./// C./ OSCID! OSCI.

ISHTM-AUMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No.: 1884

Distribution No.: 157-F

Month/Year: August/2022

Instrument ID: BC6200(TW-02000912)

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 28-10-2022[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

| | S.No. | CONTRACTOR SERVICE | | Among Lab (Accuracy Testing) | | | | Within Lab (Precision Testing) | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|------------------------------|--|--------|-------|---|---|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Test Parameters | | Your Result 1 | | | Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value) | | | Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values | Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value) | Uncertainty of Assigned Values | Z Score |
| WBC x10³/µl | 1 | 5.3 | 5.23 | 10.53 | 10.5 | 0.0360 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.1 | 0.0070 | -0.25 |
| RBC x10 ⁶ /μl | 1 | 4.19 | 4.19 | 8.38 | 8.43 | 0.0090 | -0.22 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.0030 | -1.08 |
| Hb g/dl | 1 | 14.3 | 14.2 | 28.5 | 28.4 | 0.0300 | 0.13 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0080 | 0.00 |
| нст% | 1 | 49.7 | 49.6 | 99.3 | 85.2 | 0.1910 | 2.84 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0260 | -0.81 |
| MCV-fl | 1 | 118.5 | 118.4 | 236.9 | 202.6 | 0.3720 | 3.56 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0240 | -0.54 |
| MCH-Pg | 1 | 34.3 | 33.8 | 68.1 | 67.4 | 0.0810 | 0.36 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0200 | 0.67 |
| MCHC-g/dl | 1 | 28.9 | 28.6 | 57.5 | 66.2 | 0.1610 | -2.06 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0190 | 0.00 |
| Plt. x10³/μl | 1 | 131 | 131 | 262 | 273 | 1.81 | -0.24 | . 0 | 5 | 0.32 | -1.12 |
| Retic % | 2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 11.4 | 0.22 | -1.93 | 0.1 | 0.49 | 0.03 | -0.66 |

P.S. Assesment

| | | YOUR REPORT | CONSENSUS REPORT | | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| DLC% | 3 | Nrbcs=, Poly=5 L=10, E=, Mono/Promono=5, B1=80 P.M.=, Mye=, Meta=, Other= | Blast: 30-85, Poly: 2-8, Lympho: 4-10, Myelo: 0-7, Mono: 1-10.5, nRBC/Promyelo/Meta/Eos: 0-5 | | | | |
| RBC Morphology | 3 | | Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Anisocytosis, Macrocytosis | | | | |
| Diagnosis | 3 | ACUTE LEUKEMIA | Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) | | | | |

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

| Test parameters | S.No. | Total participants covered in the | Total No. responded | % of Labs with Z | | % of Labs with Z Score 2-3 | | % of Labs with Z Score >3 | |
|--------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| r | | current dist. 157F | | Among labs | Within lab | Among labs | Within lab | Among labs | Within lab |
| WBC x10³/μl | 1 | 288 | 288 | 82.29 | 86.11 | 4.17 | 7.64 | 13.54 | 6.25 |
| RBC x10 ⁶ /μl | 1 | 288 | 288 | 86.46 | m | 6.25 | 3.47 | 7.29 | 3.47 |
| Hb g/dl | 1 | 288 | 288 | 87.5 | 93.06 | 1 2 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 5.9 |
| нст% | 1 | 288 | 287 | 91.99 | 87.5 90.59 | 5.9 | 5.92 | 4.18 | 3.49 |
| MCV-fl | 1 | 288 | 287 | 91.64 | 94.08 | 3.83 5.57 | 1.05 | 2.79 | 4.87 |
| MCH-Pg | 1 | 288 | 287 | 83.97 | 89.55 | 7.32 | 6.62 | 8.71 | 3.83 |
| MCHC-g/dl | 1 | 288 | 287 | 93.03 | 86.76 | 4.18 | 5.23 | 2.79 | 8.01 |
| Plt. x10³/µl | 1 | 288 | 287 | 89.55 | 88.85 | 6.27 | 5.57 | 4.18 | 5.58 |
| ReticCount% | 2 | 288 | 251 | 95.62 | 91.24 | 3.98 | 7.17 | 0.4 | 1.59 |
| PS Assessment | 3 | 288 | 255 | *************************************** | 10 to | orderline Sat. | | | |

'Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): CBC result for MCV unacceptable, may be due to random/human error

2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score > ± 3 : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ± 3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AlIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----

Sanjew

EQAS RCA and CAPA Record for outliers

| | | | | EUAS NOA | | | To invest by |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| C.N. | Month | Parameter | Z Score | Reason for failure | Corrective action | Status after corrective action | Reviewed by |
| S.No. | Money | | | | Shall be checked in | <u></u> | Sonjew. Sanjew. |
| 1. | Aug | MCV | 3.56 | Random syroy | shall be checked in the next | | Sanjell- |
| | J | HCT | 2.84 | Random Exxox | the next consecutive sample shall be checked in the next consecutive Sample | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | M | MCHC | - 2.06 | Random Error | Shall be Checked In the next Consecutive Sample | | Sanjell- |
| | | | | , | | | |
| | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |