

PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT *ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME* NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard

Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No. : 5094

Distribution No.: 157-M Month/Year: October/2022

Instrument ID: K11051903056

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi, Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 24-11-2022[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

| | | | | Among Lab (Accuracy Testing) | | | | Within Lab (Precision Testing) | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|---------------------|--------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|------------|--|
| Test Parameters | S.No. | Your Result 1 | | Your Results Sum of 2 Value | Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value) | Uncertainty of Assigned Values | Z Score | Deculto | | Uncertainty of Assigned Values | Z Score | |
| WBC x10³/µl | 1 | 5.49 | 5.43 | 10.92 | 11.2 | 0.0290 | -0.35 | 0.06 | 0.1 | 0.0060 | -0.39 | |
| RBC x10 ⁶ /µl | 1 | 3.85 | 3.82 | 7.67 | 7.55 | 0.0080 | 0.56 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.0030 | -0.22 | |
| Hb g/dl | 1 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 23.2 | 23.7 | 0.0270 | -0.76 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.0080 | -0.67 | |
| HCT% | 1 | 39.3 | 39. <mark>1</mark> | 78.4 | 73.3 | 0.1660 | 1.06 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0250 | -0.39 | |
| MCV-fl | 1 | 102.4 | 102.1 | 204.5 | 194.6 | 0.3960 | 0.86 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0210 | 0.00 | |
| MCH-Pg | 1 | 30.5 | 30.2 | 60.7 | 62.6 | 0.0840 | -0.80 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0200 | 0.00 | |
| MCHC-g/dl | 1 | 29.7 | 29.6 | 59.3 | 64.5 | 0.1500 | -1.23 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0220 | -0.54 | |
| Plt. x10³/µl | 1 | 120 | 120 | 240 | 281 | 1.19 | -1.29 | 0 | 4 | 0.28 | -0.90 | |
| Retic % | 2 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 10.5 | 0.23 | 0.53 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.03 | 2.53 | |

P.S. Assesment

| | | YOUR REPORT | CONSENSUS REPORT | | | | |
|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| DLC% | 3 | | Poly: 60 - 77, Myelo: 5 - 12, Meta: 5 - 10, Lympho: 3 - 7, Eos: 1- 3, nRBC/ Baso/ Promyelo, Blast Mono: 0 - 5 | | | | |
| RBC Morphology | 3 | 5 51 1 5 | Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, hypochromia | | | | |
| Diagnosis | 3 | Chronic Myeloproliferative Neoplasm favoring Chronic Myeloid Leukemia. however BCR-ABL1 translocation is needed to confirm the diagnosis. | Chronic Myeloid Leukemia | | | | |

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

| Test newspectars | S No | Total participants covered in the | Total No. responded | % of Labs with Z Score 0-2 | | % of Labs with Z Score 2-3 | | % of Labs with Z Score >3 | | |
|--------------------------|-------|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Test parameters | 5.NU. | current dist. 157M | | Among labs | Within lab | Among labs | Within lab | Among labs | Within lab | |
| WBC x10 ³ /µl | 1 | 334 | 333 | <mark>83</mark> .18 | 88.59 | 6.61 | 5.11 | 10.21 | 6.3 | |
| RBC x10 ⁶ /µl | 1 | 334 | 334 | 88.62 | 88.92 | 5.09 | 5.69 | 6.29 | 5.39 | |
| Hb g/dl | 1 | 334 | 334 | 86.53 | 85.93 | 5.99 | 6.89 | 7.48 | 7.18 | |
| HCT% | 1 | 334 | 3 <mark>32</mark> | 93.98 | 91.57 | 4.22 | 3.31 | 1.8 | 5.12 | |
| MCV-fl | 1 | 334 | 333 | 95.5 | 90.99 | 3 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 6.61 | |
| MCH-Pg | 1 | 334 | 333 | 90.09 | <mark>85</mark> .59 | 5.71 | 7.81 | 4.2 | 6.6 | |
| MCHC-g/dl | 1 | 334 | 333 | 93.69 | <mark>91.8</mark> 9 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 2.41 | 6.01 | |
| Plt. x10³/µl | 1 | 334 | 333 | 91.29 | 91.89 | 5.71 | 4.2 | 3 | 3.91 | |
| ReticCount% | 2 | 334 | 297 | 87.88 | 88.22 | 7.41 | 7.07 | 4.71 | 4.71 | |
| PS Assessment | 3 | 334 | 270 | Satisfactory :87.66%, Borderline Sat. :11.14%, Unsatisfactory :1.20% | | | | | | |

*Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA) : Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA) : Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance) : Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values – Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised $IQR = 0.7413 \times IQR$

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score > ± 3 : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ± 3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Jege-

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.) PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----