

PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT



ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME
NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029

Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No.: 1979 **Distribution No.:** 157-E Month/Year: August/2022

Instrument ID: BC-6200

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730, E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 22-10-2022[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

				Amo	ng Lab (Aco	curacy Testii	ng)	With	in Lab (Pre	cision Testii	ıg)
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty		Results	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μl	1	7.79	7.68	15.47	17.38	0.1220	-0.61	0.11	0.17	0.0110	-0.40
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	5.36	5.35	10.71	10.98	0.0110	-0.88	0.01	0.04	0.0030	-0.67
Hb g/dl	1	10.3	10.2	20.5	21.1	0.0220	-1.01	0.1	0.1	0.0070	0.00
НСТ%	1	44.4	44.2	88.6	71.3	0.1690	3.78	0.2	0.3	0.0230	-0.27
MCV-fl	1	82.8	82.5	165.3	129.15	0.2640	4.85	0.3	0.2	0.0120	0.45
MCH-Pg	1	19.1	19.1	38.2	38.5	0.0510	-0.25	0	0.1	0.0090	-0.79
MCHC-g/dl	1	231	230	461	59.2	0.1610	90.34	1	0.2	0.0130	3.60
Plt. x10³/μl	1	234	231	465	465	2.38	0.00	3	7	0.49	-0.49
Retic %	2	1.8		1.8							

P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	2	Nrbcs=, Poly=12 L=11, E=1, Mono/Promono=0, B1=07 P.M.=06, Mye=16, Meta=24, Other=BAND FORMS -18	Poly: 19 - 36, Myelo: 20 - 40, Meta: 9 - 20, Lympho: 2 - 6, Promyelo: 1 - 6, nRBC/ Baso/ Eos/ Mono /Blast: 0 - 5
RBC Morphology	3		Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, hypochromia, Microcytosis; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis
Diagnosis	3	Chronic myeloid Leukemia (Chronic phase)	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase)

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants	Total No.	% of Lab		% of Lab		% of Lab Scor	
		current dist.	responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/μl	1	313	311	<mark>85</mark> .85	89.07	10.29	4.18	3.86	6.75
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	313	313	87.86	90.42	5.43	3.83	6.71	5.75
Hb g/dl	1	313	313	85.94	90.73	5.11	4.47	8.95	4.8
HCT%	1	313	3 <mark>10</mark>	92.26	89.03	4.52	4.52	3.22	6.45
MCV-fl	1	313	310	91.94	88.39	3.87	9.03	4.19	2.58
MCH-Pg	1	313	309	85.76	91.91	5.5	4.21	8.74	3.88
MCHC-g/dl	1	313	309	91.59	91.91	5.18	4.53	3.23	3.56
Plt. x10³/μl	1	313	311	94.53	87.14	4.5	6.43	0.97	6.43
ReticCount%	2	313	288	97.92	93.4	1.39	1.04	0.69	5.56
PS Assessment	3	313	289	Satisfactory	:74.77%, Bo	rderline Sat	:9.58%, Uı	nsatisfactory	:15.65%

*Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): CBC result for HCT, MCV & MCHC unacceptable, please check calibration/human error.Remaining results acceptable.
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): MCHC & RETIC result is unacceptable, please check precision/human error.Remaining precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values – Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value $> \pm 3$ are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----



Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

EQAS Details	MIMS PATHOLOGY
Analyte:	HCT
Month:	AUGUST
Date Sample Tested:	08-09-2022

SPECIMEN HANDLING				
Were specimens received in an acceptable condition?	Yes	4	No	
Were specimens stored according to the instructions on the result forms?	Yes	V V	No	
Were the samples hemolyzed?	Yes		No	4
Were samples tested within the time allowed for sample stability?	Yes	4	No	
If applicable, were the samples reconstituted correctly?	Yes		No	
Notes:				
CLERICAL ERRORS				-
Were the results transcribed onto the result forms correctly?	Yes	9	No	
Were the results transcribed from the result forms to the website correctly?	Yes		No	
Were the results recorded on the correct result form?	Yes	4	No	
Was the correct instrument/reagent/kit selected?	Yes		No	
Were the results recorded in the correct units?	Yes		No	
Were the results on your evaluation the same as the results you reported?	Yes		No	
Notes:				
QUALITY CONTROL				
Were quality control materials within the acceptable range on the date of PT testing? (Verify the quality control acceptable range in use.)	Yes	الها	No	
Is there any indication of trending or shifting of the control results?	Yes		No	
Notes:				
CALIBRATION				
Were there any problems with the most recent calibration?	Yes		No	
When was the last calibration performed?				
How often is a calibration performed?				
When was the last calibration verification performed?				
Notes:				
INSTRUMENT				
Were instrument problems noted the day the samples were tested?	Yes		No	0
Has there been any recent maintenance on the analyzer?	Yes i		No	П

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY :	APPROVED & ISSUED BY:
CONSULTANT PATHLOGIST:DR.B.JYOTHI	LAB HEAD: DR.B.JYOTHI
B. Jyan	B. Job



Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01
Page 1 of 1

Have you contacted your analyzer manufacturer for assistance?	100000		No	-
Notes: —		-		
REAGENTS			,	
Were the reagents stored properly?	Yes	4	No	
Were the reagents expired or was the open vial stability exceeded?	Yes		No	4
Have there been any changes in reagent manufacturer or formulation?	Yes		No	9
Notes: ————				
TESTING PERSONNEL				
Date of last competency assessment for testing personnel	Yes		No	
Review assay procedure and proficiency test sample preparation instructions with esting personnel to ensure that instructions were followed	Yes	4	No	
Review with testing personnel how samples were loaded to rule out misidentification or transposition of samples.	Yes	4	No	
Notes:	- 3			
*				
Corrective Action:				
It is a random error				
Person Performing Investigation: G, Safeesh Kuman Date	e:	16/1	01	20
ab Director: Dr · B · Tue IP) Date	e: —	161	101	20
	_	10	10/	20

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY:
CONSULTANT PATHLOGIST: DR.B.JYOTHI

APPROVED & ISSUED BY: LAB HEAD: DR.B.JYOTHI

B-Tyenol

B. Tyour



Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

INVESTIGATION SUMMARY: ROOT CAUSE

Pre-analytic Phase of Testing	Anglytic Phone CT	
☐ PROBLEM WITH PT SAMPLE ☐ SAMPLE PROCESSING ☐ DATA ENTRY ☐ OTHER (SPECIFY):	Analytic Phase of Testing METHODOLOGICAL PROBLEM TECHNICAL PROBLEM REAGENT PROBLEM CALIBRATOR PROBLEM OTHER (SPECIFY):	Post-Analytic Phase of Testing CLERICAL ERROR REPORTING PROBLEM NO EXPLANATION AFTER INVESTIGATION OTHER (SPECIFY):
PREVENTION Preventive action proposed	-	
Preventive action Plan	nonitor performan closely noniter Performan	
Responsibility	,	
Date 16 10 202 2 Testing Personne Date 16 10 202 2 Department Tech	1 Gr. Satersh Kuma nical In charge Dr. B. Jy 01	

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY :	APPROVED & ISSUED BY:
CONSULTANT PATHLOGIST: DR.B.JYOTHI	LAB HEAD: DR.B.JYOTHI
8- Jyoun	BJyans



Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

EQAS Details	AILMS PATHOLOGI
Analyte:	MCHC
Month:	AUGUST
Date Sample Tested:	08-09-2022

SPECIMEN HANDLING			
Were specimens received in an acceptable condition?	Yes W	No	
Were specimens stored according to the instructions on the result forms?	Yes W	No	
Were the samples hemolyzed?	Yes 🗆	No	
Were samples tested within the time allowed for sample stability?	Yes	No	
If applicable, were the samples reconstituted correctly?	Yes 🗆	No	
Notes: —			
CLERICAL ERRORS		1	
Were the results transcribed onto the result forms correctly?	Yes 🖾	No	
Were the results transcribed from the result forms to the website correctly?	Yes 🗸	No	
Were the results recorded on the correct result form?	Yes 🗵	No	
Was the correct instrument/reagent/kit selected?	Yes 🗸	No	
Were the results recorded in the correct units?	Yes 🗷	No	
Were the results on your evaluation the same as the results you reported?	Yes 4	No	
Notes:			
QUALITY CONTROL			
Were quality control materials within the acceptable range on the date of PT testing? (Verify the quality control acceptable range in use.)	Yes U	No	
Is there any indication of trending or shifting of the control results?	Yes 🗆	No	
Notes: ———			
CALIBRATION			
Were there any problems with the most recent calibration?	Yes 🗆	No	W
When was the last calibration performed?			
How often is a calibration performed?			
When was the last calibration verification performed?			
Notes:			
140(65.			

INSTRUMENT				
Were instrument problems noted the day the samples were tested?	Yes		No	4
Has there been any recent maintenance on the analyzer?	Yes	0	No	

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY : CONSULTANT PATHLOGIST:DR.B.JYOTHI	APPROVED & ISSUED BY: LAB HEAD: DR.B.JYOTHI
B. Tyour	B. Tyo (m)
CONTROLLE	D COPY

Form: TD/QSP/08-EQC

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No

Have you contacted your analyzer manufacturer for assistance?	Yes		No	
Notes: ————————————————————————————————————				
REAGENTS			/	
Were the reagents stored properly?	Yes	10	No	
Were the reagents expired or was the open vial stability exceeded?	Yes		No	6
Have there been any changes in reagent manufacturer or formulation?	Yes		No	V
Notes: ————	17.000		100000	
				-
TESTING PERSONNEL				_
Date of last competency assessment for testing personnel	Yes		No	
Review assay procedure and proficiency test sample preparation instructions with	103		140	
esting personner to ensure that instructions were followed	Yes	4	No	
Review with testing personnel how samples were loaded to rule out misidentification r transposition of samples.			/	
	Yes		No	
lotes:				
orrective Action:				
	. /			
It is a kandom prod	γ			
erson Performing Investigation: G. Safeth Kumar Date		1	12	12
Date Soft Performing Investigation:				

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY : CONSULTANT PATHLOGIST:DR.B.JYOTHI

APPROVED & ISSUED BY: LAB HEAD: DR.B.JYOTHI

B Tyral

B-Jyom



Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

INVESTIGATION SUMMARY: ROOT CAUSE

Pre-analytic Phase of Testing	Analytic Phase of Testing	Post-Analytic Phase of Testing
☐ PROBLEM WITH PT SAMPLE ☐ SAMPLE PROCESSING ☐ DATA ENTRY ☐ OTHER (SPECIFY):	☐ METHODOLOGICAL PROBLEM ☐ TECHNICAL PROBLEM ☐ REAGENT PROBLEM ☐ CALIBRATOR PROBLEM ☐ OTHER (SPECIFY):	☐ CLERICAL ERROR ☐ REPORTING PROBLEM ☐ NO EXPLANATION AFTER INVESTIGATION OTHER (SPECIFY):
PREVENTION Preventive action proposed		D
we will mon	itor performance	of mcHC
Parameter	itor performance closely	
we will mo Parameter	nitor Performance in neut-cycl	re of egas
Responsibility		
Date 16 10 2 1 Testing F		Kumar
	ent Technical In charge	Jyon
		V

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY : CONSULTANT PATHLOGIST:DR.B.JYOTHI	APPROVED & ISSUED BY: LAB HEAD: DR.B.JYOTHI
B. Jyami	B. Tyour
CONTROLLE	D COPY



Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

EQAS Details	Alims PATHOLOGY
Analyte:	McV
Month:	AUGUST
Date Sample Tested:	08-09-2022

SPECIMEN HANDLING			
Were specimens received in an acceptable condition?	Yes U	No	
Were specimens stored according to the instructions on the result forms?	Yes	No	
Were the samples hemolyzed?	Yes 🗆	No	Y
Were samples tested within the time allowed for sample stability?	Yes 🖵	No	
If applicable, were the samples reconstituted correctly?	Yes 🗆	No	
Notes: ————			
CLERICAL ERRORS			
Were the results transcribed onto the result forms correctly?	Yes U	No	
Were the results transcribed from the result forms to the website correctly?	Yes Z	No	
Were the results recorded on the correct result form?	Yes	No	
Was the correct instrument/reagent/kit selected?	Yes	No	
Were the results recorded in the correct units?	Yes -	No	
Were the results on your evaluation the same as the results you reported?	Yes	No	
Notes:		1	
QUALITY CONTROL			
Were quality control materials within the acceptable range on the date of PT testing? (Verify the quality control acceptable range in use.)	Yes 4	No	
Is there any indication of trending or shifting of the control results?	Yes 🗆	No	
Notes: ————			
CALIBRATION			
Were there any problems with the most recent calibration?	Yes 🗆	No	10
When was the last calibration performed?	1.00 =	140	
How often is a calibration performed?			
When was the last calibration verification performed?			
Notes:			
INSTRUMENT			
Vere instrument problems noted the day the samples were tested?	Yes 🗆	No	
las there been any recent maintenance on the analyzer?		No	

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY :	APPROVED & ISSUED BY:
CONSULTANT PATHLOGIST:DR.B.JYOTHI	LAB HEAD: DR.B.JYOTHI
B-Jym	B. Tyour

CONTROLLED COPY

Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

WWW.	Yes		No	
Notes: —				
REAGENTS				
Were the reagents stored properly?	Lv	1->		
Were the reagents expired or was the open vial stability exceeded?	Yes	9	No	
Have there been any changes in reagent manufacturer or formulation?	Yes		No	4
Notes:	Yes		No	
TESTING PERSONNEL				
Date of last competency assessment for testing personnel	Yes		No	П
Review assay procedure and proficiency test sample preparation instructions with esting personnel to ensure that instructions were followed	103	9	INO	
Review with testing personnel how samples	Yes -	1	No	
r transposition of samples.	V N			
otes:	Yes	ш	No	
orrective Action:				
It's a random error				
rson Performing Investigation: Gr. Saterala Kumad Date	a: 17	e li	01	202
rson Performing Investigation: G, Sateesh Kuman Date b Director: D+B Jyom Date		6/1	0/3	202

PI	REPARED &	REVIEWED BY:
CONSULTANT	PATHLOGIS	T:DR.B.JYOTHI

APPROVED & ISSUED BY: LAB HEAD: DR.B.JYOTHI

BJyon

B- Tyour



Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

INVESTIGATION SUMMARY: ROOT CAUSE

	Analytic Phase of Testing	Post-Analytic Phase of Testing
Pre-analytic Phase of Testing PROBLEM WITH PT SAMPLE SAMPLE PROCESSING DATA ENTRY OTHER (SPECIFY):	☐ METHODOLOGICAL PROBLEM ☐ TECHNICAL PROBLEM ☐ REAGENT PROBLEM ☐ CALIBRATOR PROBLEM ☐ OTHER (SPECIFY):	☐ CLERICAL ERROR ☐ REPORTING PROBLEM ☐ NO EXPLANATION AFTER INVESTIGATION OTHER (SPECIFY):
PREVENTION Preventive action proposed We will mon Parameter	iter Performance closely.	of mcv
Preventive action Plan We will mo	nites performance next cycle of	of mcv Eq. As
Responsibility		
		all Kirm of 1
Date 6 10 2021 Testing	Personnel (7. Satter	Jyour
Date Departs	ment Technical In charge 176 ~ B	Jyour

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY :	APPROVED & ISSUED BY: LAB HEAD: DR.B.JYOTHI
CONSULTANT PATHLOGIST: DR.B.JYOTHI	B- Tyour
CONTROLLE	D COPY