PC-1002



PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No. : 1694

Distribution No.: 158-D **Month/Year:** November/2022

Instrument ID: BD634545(23306)

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi, Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 11-01-2023[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

				Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)				Within Lab (Precision Testing)				
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	Deculto	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	
WBC x10³/µl	1	7.29	7.27	14.56	13.68	0.0280	1.31	0.02	0.1	0.0070	-0.67	
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	5.37	5.31	10.68	10.47	0.0090	0.83	0.06	0.03	0.0020	0.67	
Hb g/dl	1	14.7	14.7	29.4	29.1	0.0210	0.51	0	0.1	0.0070	-1.35	
HCT%	1	47.2	46. <mark>8</mark>	94	90.45	0.1590	0.76	0.4	0.3	0.0220	0.22	
MCV-fl	1	88.1	87.9	176	173.1	0.2520	0.40	0.2	0.3	0.0210	-0.34	
MCH-Pg	1	27.7	27.4	55.1	55.4	0.0440	-0.27	0.3	0.2	0.0140	0.45	
MCHC-g/dl	1	31.4	31.1	62.5	64.1	0.1070	-0.49	0.3	0.3	0.0180	0.00	
Plt. x10³/μl	1	139	137	276	281	1.19	-0.15	2	5	0.30	-0.58	
Retic %	2	11.5	10	21.5	36.5	0.72	-0.67	1.5	1	0.06	0.42	

P.S . Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT				
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=0 , Poly=34 L=63, E=0, Mono/Promono=03 , B1=0 P.M.=0, Mye=0, Meta=0, Other=	Lympho: 64-73, Poly: 23-31, nRBC/mono/Eosino/Myelo/Meta/blast: 0-5				
RBC Morphology	3		Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis ,Few smudge cells seen.				
Diagnosis	3	NORMOCYTIC AND NORMOCHROMIC BLOOD PICTURE WITH LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKOCYTOSIS ADV:- IMMUNOPHENOTYPING TO RULE OUT CHRONIC LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	Chronic lymphoproliferative disorder				

Page 2 of 2

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

T	C No	Total participants covered in the	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3		
Test parameters	5.NO.	current dist. 158D		Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	
WBC x10 ³ /µl	1	327	325	<mark>84</mark> .62	91.08	4.62	4.62	10.76	4.3	
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	327	327	88.99	90.52	5.81	3.98	5.2	5.5	
Hb g/dl	1	327	327	87.16	89.91	5.81	5.81	7.03	4.28	
HCT%	1	327	3 <mark>25</mark>	93.85	88.31	2.46	6.15	3.69	5.54	
MCV-fl	1	327	325	94.15	89.54	3.69	6.15	2.16	4.31	
MCH-Pg	1	327	325	91.38	<mark>89</mark> .85	3.69	5.54	4.93	4.61	
MCHC-g/dl	1	327	325	96.92	91.08	1.23	4	1.85	4.92	
Plt. x10³/µl	1	327	325	90.15	90.77	7.38	6.15	2.47	3.08	
ReticCount%	2	327	298	97.32	88.26	2.01	4.36	0.67	7.38	
PS Assessment	3	327	291	Satisfactory :87.42%, Borderline Sat. :11.04%, Unsatisfactory :1.53%						

*Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA) : Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA) : Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance) : Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values – Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values – Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised $IQR = 0.7413 \times IQR$

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score > ± 3 : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ± 3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent guarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Jege-

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.) PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----