

CHRISTIAN MEDICAL COLLEGE

DEPARTMENT OF CLINICAL BIOCHEMISTRY

CMC EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE SCHEME





Lab Name

TELANGANA DIAGNOSTIC HUB

Lab No

14984

Constituent Group

Chemistry I

Date of Result Entered :

21/03/2023

PT item

Lyophilized human serum based

Date of Report Published :

04/04/2023

SI.No	Analyte	Method / Principle Name	Analyzer Name	No of Participants	DV	Partic CV	ipants SD	Your Value	SDI	U
1	GLUCOSE	Hexokinase	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	190	330.16	5.20	17.16	330 mg/dL	-0.01	2.49
2	UREA	Urease UV / GLDH	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	700	58.51	9.15	5.35	33 mg/dL	-4.77	0.40
3	CREATININE	Jaffes Kinetic - Alkaline picrate	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	795	1.84	14.24	0.26	2.03 mg/dL	0.73	0.02
4	T.BILIRUBIN	Diazonium salt (Colorimetric) / Jendrassik	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	927	5.66	12.32	0.70	6.2 mg/dL	0.77	0.05
5	T-PROTEIN	Biuret - Colorimetric	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	1082	5.49	10.00	0.55	5.3 g/dL	-0.35	0.03
6	ALBUMIN	BCG - colorimetric	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	751	3.31	7.29	0.24	3.1 g/dL	-0.87	0.02
7	CALCIUM	Arsenazo III	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	909	9.37	7.83	0.73	8.5 mg/dL	-1.19	0.05
8	URIC ACID	Enzymatic / Uricase Colorimetric	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	931	4.50	17.14	0.77	3.8 mg/dL	-0.91	0.05
9	CHOLESTEROL	CHOD-PAP	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	1038	110.71	10.15	11.24	106 mg/dL	-0.42	0.70
10	TRIGLYCERIDE	GPO-PAP / Enzymatic Colorimetric / End Point	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	1004	146.11	8.05	11.77	157 mg/dL	0.93	0.74
11	HDL	Direct method / Enzymatic colorimetric	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	701	28.43	19.18	5.45	30 mg/dL	0.29	0.41
12	AST	UV kinetic(with & without PLP (P-5-P))	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	1032	69.96	12.49	8.74	60 U/L	-1.14	0.54
13	ALT	UV kinetic(with & without PLP (P-5-P))	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	949	197.38	18.40	36.32	193 U/L	-0.12	2.36
14	ALP	PNP AMP kinetic	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	713	52.08	20.82	10.84	53 U/L	0.08	0.81
15	AMYLASE	CNPG3	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	480	106.12	17.92	19.02	277 U/L	8.98	1.74

SDI Range	Interpretation			
Within -1.00 to +1.00	Excellent.			
Within ±1.01 to ±2.00	Good.			
Within ±2.01 to ±2.99	Accept with caution. Warning Signal.			
Beyond ±3.0	Unacceptable performance. Action Signal.			

LAB ADDRESS :

TELANGANA DIAGNOSTIC HUB

DISTRICT HOSPITAL CAMPUS JAMMIKUNTA



Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

EQAS Details	cmc vellose
Analyte:	UREA
Month:	MARCH
Date Sample Tested:	21-3-2023

SPECIMEN HANDLING						
Were specimens received in an acceptable condition?	Yes	10	No			
Were specimens stored according to the instructions on the result forms?			No			
Were the samples hemolyzed?	Yes		No	10		
Were samples tested within the time allowed for sample stability?	Yes	4	No			
If applicable, were the samples reconstituted correctly?	Yes	4	No			
Notes:						
0.500.0.500.00						
CLERICAL ERRORS		/				
Were the results transcribed onto the result forms correctly?			No			
Were the results transcribed from the result forms to the website correctly?			No			
Were the results recorded on the correct result form?	Yes	VE	No			
Was the correct instrument/reagent/kit selected?	Yes		No			
Were the results recorded in the correct units?			No			
Were the results on your evaluation the same as the results you reported?			No			
Notes: ———						
QUALITY CONTROL						
Were quality control materials within the acceptable range on the date of PT testing?						
(Verify the quality control acceptable range in use.)	Yes'		No			
Is there any indication of trending or shifting of the control results?			No	U		
Notes: ———						
CALIBRATION						
Were there any problems with the most recent calibration?	Yes		T			
			No	~		
When was the last calibration performed? 20-3-2023 How often is a calibration performed? At the tome of the plant of the						
When was the last calibration verification performed? 20-3-2023						
				8.5		
Notes:			-			
INCTOLINEAT		V. 1				
INSTRUMENT						

INSTRUMENT			
Were instrument problems noted the day the samples were tested?	Yes	No	4
Has there been any recent maintenance on the analyzer?	Yes	No	8

	PRE	PARE	D & REVIEWED	BY:
LAB MANAG	ER :	Mrs	PRAGNA	

APPROVED & ISSUED BY: LAB HEAD: Dr. AKIFA ZAHID

Ruga

1so



Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

Have you contacted your analyzer manufacturer for assistance?	Yes		No	4
Notes: Equipment Condition is ok				
DE LOGUES				
REAGENTS				
Were the reagents stored properly?		<u> </u>	No	
Were the reagents expired or was the open vial stability exceeded?	Yes	1	No	
Have there been any changes in reagent manufacturer or formulation?	Yes		No	
Notes: Reagent stability us ok				
TEOTING DEDOCUMEN				
TESTING PERSONNEL				
Date of last competency assessment for testing personnel	Yes	4	No	
Review assay procedure and proficiency test sample preparation instructions with testing personnel to ensure that instructions were followed	Yes		No	
Review with testing personnel how samples were loaded to rule out misidentification or transposition of samples.	Yes		No	
Notes: Comptent personal was done				
Corrective Action:				
Revun the Sample again Shows theullin roomal	li	mits		
Person Performing Investigation: Lab Director: Date: Date:		21-3	3-23)

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY: LAB MANAGER: Mrs PRAGNA APPROVED & ISSUED BY: LAB HEAD: Dr. AKIFA ZAHID

By.

A Comment



Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

INVESTIGATION SUMMARY: ROOT CAUSE

Pre-analytic Phase of Testing	Analytic Phase of Testing	Post-Analytic Phase of Testing						
☐ PROBLEM WITH PT SAMPLE ☐ SAMPLE PROCESSING ☐ DATA ENTRY ☐ OTHER (SPECIFY):	☐ METHODOLOGICAL PROBLEM ☐ TECHNICAL PROBLEM ☐ REAGENT PROBLEM ☐ CALIBRATOR PROBLEM ☐ OTHER (SPECIFY):	☐ CLERICAL ERROR ☐ REPORTING PROBLEM ☐ NO EXPLANATION AFTER INVESTIGATION OTHER (SPECIFY):						
PREVENTION Preventive action proposed								
we have so our the Sample again but got the Same soult. Preventive action Plan								
The IRC was checked on that day was ok band no outless was bound.								
Responsibility		THE RESIDENCE OF THE CONTRACT						
ue will recheck and review on neut cycle.								
Date 20-3-23 Testing Person	onnel Ry							
Date 21-3-23. Department	Technical In charge							

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY : LAB MANAGER : Mrs PRAGNA	APPROVED & ISSUED BY: LAB HEAD: Dr. AKIFA ZAHID
CONTROLLED	

Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

	2
EQAS Details	Cuc reffere
Analyte:	Arrylase
Month:	MARCH
Date Sample Tested:	21-3-2023

SPECIMEN HANDLING				
Were specimens received in an acceptable condition?	Yes t		No	
Were specimens received in an deseptation on the result forms? Yes Ves			No	
Were the samples hemolyzed?	Yes		No	
Were samples tested within the time allowed for sample stability? Yes				
If applicable, were the samples reconstituted correctly?	Yes		No	
if applicable, were the samples reconstitutes converge				
Notes: ———				
CLERICAL ERRORS				
Were the results transcribed onto the result forms correctly?	Yes		No	
Were the results transcribed from the result forms to the website correctly?	Yes		No	
Were the results recorded on the correct result form?	Yes		No	
Was the correct instrument/reagent/kit selected?	Yes		No	
Were the results recorded in the correct units?	Yes	W.	No	
Were the results on your evaluation the same as the results you reported?	Yes	Q	No	
Notes: ————				
QUALITY CONTROL				
Were quality control materials within the acceptable range on the date of PT testing? (Verify the quality control acceptable range in use.)	Yes		No	
Is there any indication of trending or shifting of the control results?				
Notes:				
CALIDRATION				
CALIBRATION	Yes		No	10
Were there any problems with the most recent calibration?	165		INO	
When was the last calibration performed? 20-3-2023				
How often is a calibration performed? At the time of new hit placed	^			
When was the last calibration verification performed? 20-3-2023				
Notes:				
INSTRUMENT				
Were instrument problems noted the day the samples were tested?	Yes		No	0
Has there been any recent maintenance on the analyzer?	Yes		No	U

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY:	APPROVED & ISSUED BY:			
LAB MANAGER: Mrs PRAGNA	LAB HEAD: Dr. AKIFA ZAHID			
Pays	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH			

Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

Have you contacted your analyzer manufacturer for assistance?	Yes		No	
Notes: Equipment Condition is ok				
				-
REAGENTS				
Were the reagents stored properly?	Yes	0	No	
Were the reagents expired or was the open vial stability exceeded?			No	
Have there been any changes in reagent manufacturer or formulation?			No	
Notes: Reagert Stability is Ok				
TESTING PERSONNEL				
Date of last competency assessment for testing personnel	Yes	4	No	
Review assay procedure and proficiency test sample preparation instructions with testing personnel to ensure that instructions were followed	Yes		No	
Review with testing personnel how samples were loaded to rule out misidentification or transposition of samples.			No	
Notes: Conjetent personal was dure				
Corrective Action: Rerun the Sample again and Shows thruthun	W	oma	l d	mils
Person Performing Investigation: Lab Director: Date:		1/-3	-20	23.

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY: LAB MANAGER: Mrs PRAGNA

APPROVED & ISSUED BY: LAB HEAD: Dr. AKIFA ZAHID

Pry

190



Form: TD/QSP/08-EQCAR

TITLE

EQAS CORRECTIVE ACTION FORM

Issue No. 01 Page 1 of 1

INVESTIGATION SUMMARY: ROOT CAUSE

METHODOLOGICAL PROBLEM CLERICAL ERROR REPORTING PROBLEM REPORTING PROBLEM REPORTING PROBLEM NO EXPLANATION AFTER INVESTIGATION OTHER (SPECIFY): CALIBRATOR PROBLEM OTHER (SPECIFY): OTHER	Pre-analytic Phase of Testing	Analytic Phase of Testing	Post-Analytic Phase of Testing
Preventive action proposed The have seem the Sample again but got the Same vesselt Preventive action Plan The Tec was checked on that day was ok and no outless was found. Responsibility We will secheck and seview on next cycle.	☐ SAMPLE PROCESSING ☐ DATA ENTRY	☐ TECHNICAL PROBLEM ☐ REAGENT PROBLEM ☐ CALIBRATOR PROBLEM	☐ REPORTING PROBLEM ☐ NO EXPLANATION AFTER INVESTIGATION
Preventive action Plan The TEC was checked on that day was ok and no outless was bound. Responsibility We will becheck and begieve on next cycle.			
ue will recheck and remiew on neut cycle.	Preventive action Plan The TRC Was C		
ue will vecheck and veniew on neut cycle.	Responsibility		
Date 21-3-23 Testing Personnel Ry		ik and beniew on nent	cycle.
	Date 21-3-23 Tes	ting Personnel Ry	
Date 21-3-23 Department Technical In charge Ry	Date 21-3-23 Dep	partment Technical In charge	

PREPARED & REVIEWED BY:	APPROVED & ISSUED BY:		
LAB MANAGER: Mrs PRAGNA	LAB HEAD: Dr. AKIFA ZAHID		
Py	No.		