


# CAPA REPORT

No. 8		INPUT	S:	
Date: 18 · 11 · 2022		EQ	As re	port.
Section:		•		
Department:	Haematowys	Dept. R	ep.	
Department: Present / Potential Non-conform	nance:			
P5 diagnosis	wrong.			
Cause of Potential Non-conform	iance:			
might be due material iss	e to observer!	variar	ical or	74
material iss	ue.			
Corrective Action:				
Ensure proj	er storage	of Prm	nateria	el till
Prevent	tive Action Report (to be	completed by A	uditee)	
Preventive Action:		Completion D	ate	Remarks
_		18.11.2	022	Complete.
Proposed Completion Date:	Actual Completion Date:	QM		
	Effectiveness comments (	to be verified)		
Verified by QM	1_2			
Information for Management Re				
			Manaş	gement Representative





# ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME





Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No.:** 4527 **Distribution No.:** 158-L Month/Year: January/2023

Instrument ID: MINDREY BC5150(SR-7A002438)

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyaqi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730, E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 23-02-2023[Final].

### **CBC** and Retic Assessment

			Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)				Within Lab (Precision Testing)				
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty		Results		Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μl	1	4.74	4.73	9.47	9.2	0.0280	0.40	0.01	0.1	0.0060	-0.81
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	4.31	4.19	8.5	9.42	0.0130	-2.64	0.12	0.05	0.0030	1.57
Hb g/dl	1	12.9	12.8	25.7	26.9	0.0280	-1.80	0.1	0.1	0.0080	0.00
НСТ%	1	37.1	35.8	72.9	85	0.2400	-1.55	1.3	0.4	0.0240	2.02
MCV-fl	1	85.9	85.4	171.3	183.2	0.4090	-0.89	0.5	0.2	0.0180	1.01
MCH-Pg	1	30.5	29.9	60.4	57.2	0.0640	1.88	0.6	0.2	0.0160	1.80
MCHC-g/dl	1	35.8	34.8	70.6	62.8	0.1560	1.37	1	0.3	0.0210	2.36
Plt. x10³/μl	1	217	212	429	452	1.51	-0.53	5	6	0.37	-0.17
Retic %	2	3.2	3	6.2	20.5	0.37	-1.29	0.2	0.7	0.05	-0.64

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=6 , Poly=32 L=11, E=08, Mono/Promono=00 , B1=10 P.M.=12, Mye=10, Meta=14, Other=	Poly: 28 - 51, Myelo: 12 - 22, Meta: 10- 20, Lympho: 3- 10, Eosino: 1-4, Promyelo: 2-8, nRBC/Blast/Baso/Mono: 0 - 5
RBC Morphology			Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, hypochromia, Microcytosis; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis
Diagnosis	3	Myeloproliferative Disorder	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase)

Test parameters	S No	Total participants	Total No.	% of Lab		% of Lab		% of Lab Scor	
rest parameters	5.NU.	current dist. 158L	responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/μl	1	338	337	83.09	93.47	4.15	3.56	12.76	2.97
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	338	338	88.17	88.76	6.51	4.44	5.32	6.8
Hb g/dl	1	338	338	86.98	84.32	5.62	6.21	7.4	9.47
HCT%	1	338	3 <mark>36</mark>	97.62	90.77	1.79	3.57	0.59	5.66
MCV-fl	1	338	337	99.11	85.76	0.89	3.86	0	10.38
MCH-Pg	1	338	337	91.69	<mark>8</mark> 9.91	4.45	5.34	3.86	4.75
MCHC-g/dl	1	338	337	98.52	88.43	0.89	5.64	0.59	5.93
Plt. x10³/μl	1	338	337	95.55	88.72	3.56	5.93	0.89	5.35
ReticCount%	2	338	217	97.7	92.17	1.84	3.23	0.46	4.60
PS Assessment	3	338	212	Satisfactory	:93.14%, Bo	rderline Sat	. :3.43%, Ur	nsatisfactory	:3.43%

#### \*Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

**Note-1: EQA** (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

**Note-8:** Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi



# ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME



NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029

Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No.**: 4527 **Distribution No.**: 157-L **Month/Year:** October/2022

Instrument ID: MINDREY BC5150(SR-7A002438)

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com **Date of issue & status of the report:** 17-11-2022[Final].

### **CBC** and Retic Assessment

			Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)				Within Lab (Precision Testing)				
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty		Results		Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μl	1	6.58	6.56	13.14	12.5	0.0700	0.40	0.02	0.11	0.0090	-0.67
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	4.27	4.22	8.49	8.41	0.0130	0.24	0.05	0.04	0.0030	0.17
Hb g/dl	1	11.7	11.7	23.4	23.6	0.0280	-0.30	0	0.1	0.0090	-0.67
НСТ%	1	35.8	35.1	70.9	74	0.1930	-0.61	0.7	0.4	0.0280	0.67
MCV-fl	1	83.9	83.3	167.2	177.4	0.3730	-0.98	0.6	0.3	0.0230	0.81
MCH-Pg	1	27.7	27.4	55.1	56.4	0.0820	-0.65	0.3	0.2	0.0220	0.34
MCHC-g/dl	1	33.2	32.6	65.8	63.7	0.1580	0.50	0.6	0.3	0.0220	1.01
Plt. x10³/μl	1	274	274	548	593	3.16	-0.48	0	11	0.73	-0.93
Retic %	2	1.7	1.6	3.3	7.18	0.17	-0.81	0.1	0.4	0.03	-0.45

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3	IMODO/Promodo=UI BI=IUPM=UU	Blast: 44-90, Lympho: 4-21, Poly: 1-6, nRBC/Eos/Baso/Mono /Myelo/Meta/ Promyelo: 0-5
RBC Morphology	3		Predominantly: Normocytic/ Normochromic, Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytic
Diagnosis		(Chronic Lymphoproliferative disorder)CLL	Acute Leukemia (AL)

Test parameters	S No	Total participants	Total No.	% of Lab		% of Lab		% of Lab Scor	_
Test parameters	5.NU.	current dist. 157L	responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/μl	1	312	300	<mark>77</mark> .33	90	6.33	2.33	16.34	7.67
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	312	312	88.14	83.65	3.85	5.45	8.01	10.9
Hb g/dl	1	312	312	84.29	82.69	5.45	5.77	10.26	11.54
HCT%	1	312	301	90.03	87.04	6.31	5.65	3.66	7.31
MCV-fl	1	312	301	93.02	89.37	4.65	6.64	2.33	3.99
MCH-Pg	1	312	301	87.71	90.03	7.97	2.66	4.32	7.31
MCHC-g/dl	1	312	301	92.36	91.03	5.98	2.99	1.66	5.98
Plt. x10³/μl	1	312	297	93.27	92.26	4.38	3.7	2.35	4.04
ReticCount%	2	312	206	88.83	89.81	5.83	7.28	5.34	2.91
PS Assessment	3	312	199	Satisfactory	:90.04%, Bo	orderline Sat	.: :3.21%, Uı	nsatisfactory	:6.75%

#### \*Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): PS Diagnosis wrongly reported, remaining results acceptable
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

**Note-1: EQA** (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

**Note-8:** Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

**Note 10:** Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)


PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi





# ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME





Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No.:** 4527 **Distribution No.:** 156-L **Month/Year:** July/2022

Instrument ID: MINDRAY BC 5150 (SR-7A002438

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com **Date of issue & status of the report:** 27-09-2022[Final].

### **CBC and Retic Assessment**

				Amo	ng Lab (Acc	curacy Testin	ng)	Within Lab (Precision Testing)					
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty		Results		Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score		
WBC x10³/μl	1	5.37	5.36	10.73	12.18	0.0990	-0.49	0.01	0.15	0.0120	-0.86		
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	4.27	4.14	8.41	8.69	0.0140	-0.71	0.13	0.05	0.0040	1.20		
Hb g/dl	1	12.3	12.2	24.5	24.8	0.0290	-0.37	0.1	0.1	0.0100	0.00		
НСТ%	1	36.5	35.6	72.1	79.6	0.2150	-1.30	0.9	0.4	0.0290	0.84		
MCV-fl	1	86	85.6	171.6	183.3	0.3570	-1.16	0.4	0.4	0.0270	0.00		
MCH-Pg	1	29.4	28.7	58.1	57.3	0.0800	0.41	0.7	0.3	0.0200	1.35		
MCHC-g/dl	1	34.2	33.6	67.8	62.4	0.1610	1.25	0.6	0.3	0.0230	0.81		
Plt. x10³/μl	1	166	160	326	348	1.70	-0.49	6	7	0.47	-0.11		
Retic %	2	1.5	1.4	2.9	3.63	0.09	-0.29	0.1	0.2	0.02	-0.34		

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=6 , Poly=54 L=01, E=00, Mono/Promono=00 , B1=06 P.M.=16, Mye=08, Meta=13, Other=	Poly: 32 - 50, Myelo: 14 - 28, Meta: 10 - 18, Promyelo: 2-8, Lympho: 2-7, nRBC//Blast/Eos/Baso/Mono: 0 - 5
RBC Morphology	S	Mild microcytic hypochromic Rbcs,macrocyte(+),irregular contracted cells (++),tear drop cells (+),Poikilocytosis seen.	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, hypochromia, Microcytosis; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis
Diagnosis	3	Chronic Myeloproliferative Disorder(Chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase)	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase)

Test never eters	C No	Total participants	Total No.	% of Lab		% of Lab			
Test parameters	5.NU.	current dist. 156L	responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/μl	1	318	306	92.16	82.35	5.56	5.88	2.28	11.77
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	318	318	87.11	83.65	5.35	4.4	7.54	11.95
Hb g/dl	1	318	318	87.74	84.91	3.14	5.35	9.12	9.74
HCT%	1	318	3 <mark>07</mark>	92.18	89.25	4.56	5.21	3.26	5.54
MCV-fl	1	318	307	91.21	91.86	5.54	3.58	3.25	4.56
MCH-Pg	1	318	307	89.25	<mark>8</mark> 5.67	4.89	3.58	5.86	10.75
MCHC-g/dl	1	318	307	90.88	86.97	5.86	5.21	3.26	7.82
Plt. x10³/μl	1	318	307	91.86	92.18	5.86	2.61	2.28	5.21
ReticCount%	2	318	218	91.28	83.94	3.67	13.3	5.05	2.76
PS Assessment	3	318	216	Satisfactory :93.4%, Borderline Sat. :2.83%, Unsatisfactory :3.77%					

#### \*Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

**Note-1: EQA** (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

**Note-3:** Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

**Note-8:** Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi



# ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME



NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029

Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No. :** 4527 **Distribution No.:** 155-L **Month/Year:** April/2022

Instrument ID: MINDREY BC5150(SR-7A002438)

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com **Date of issue & status of the report:** 04-07-2022[Final].

### **CBC** and Retic Assessment

				Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)					Within Lab (Precision Testing)				
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty		Results	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score		
WBC x10³/μl	1	12.52	12.38	24.9	24.12	0.1270	0.24	0.14	0.2	0.0130	-0.26		
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	5.88	5.83	11.71	12.05	0.0170	-0.74	0.05	0.06	0.0040	-0.17		
Hb g/dl	1	13.8	13.8	27.6	28.5	0.0290	-1.10	0	0.1	0.0080	-1.35		
НСТ%	1	43.5	43.2	86.7	95.8	0.2590	-1.20	0.3	0.5	0.0360	-0.34		
MCV-fl	1	74.1	74	148.1	159.6	0.3180	-1.28	0.1	0.3	0.0210	-0.54		
MCH-Pg	1	23.7	23.5	47.2	47.1	0.0570	0.06	0.2	0.2	0.0120	0.00		
MCHC-g/dl	1	32.1	31.7	63.8	58.9	0.1470	1.18	0.4	0.25	0.0170	0.51		
Plt. x10³/μl	1	203	200	403	399	2.71	0.05	3	9	0.55	-0.62		
Retic %	2	1.2	1.1	2.3	17.05	0.44	-1.07	0.1	0.7	0.05	-0.48		

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3		Poly: 50 - 66, Myelo: 9 - 18, Meta: 6 - 13, Lympho: 3-7, nRBC/Promyelo/Blast/Eos/Baso/Mono: 0 - 5
RBC Morphology	3		Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, hypochromia, Microcytosis; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis
Diagnosis		Chronic myeloid leukemia(CML) in chronic stage.	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase)

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants covered in the current dist. 155L	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
				Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/μl	1	347	334	<mark>8</mark> 7.43	89.22	5.69	4.19	6.88	6.59
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	347	347	83.29	86.46	7.49	2.02	9.22	11.52
Hb g/dl	1	347	347	87.32	84.73	4.9	6.05	7.78	9.22
HCT%	1	347	3 <mark>33</mark>	90.39	88.89	6.61	5.11	3	6
MCV-fl	1	347	333	90.09	86.19	5.41	3.9	4.5	9.91
MCH-Pg	1	347	333	87.99	<mark>93</mark> .69	7.51	3	4.5	3.31
MCHC-g/dl	1	347	333	91.59	86.79	4.8	4.5	3.61	8.71
Plt. x10³/μl	1	347	333	96.7	86.19	1.8	8.71	1.5	5.1
ReticCount%	2	347	222	91.44	93.24	5.41	2.7	3.15	4.06
PS Assessment	3	347	230	Satisfactory:96.26%, Borderline Sat.: 2.88%, Unsatisfactory:0.86%					

#### \*Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

**Note-1: EQA** (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values – Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

**Note-3:** Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

**Note-8:** Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi