



# PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

# ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043-2010 standard

NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No.:** 5409 **Distribution No.:** 159-N **Month/Year:** April/2023

**Instrument ID:** IB-10156544(C4057)

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com **Date of issue & status of the report:** 14-06-2023[Final].

# **CBC** and Retic Assessment

				Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)				Within Lab (Precision Testing)				
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty		Results		Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	
WBC x10³/μl	1	4.9	4.8	9.7	8.1	0.039	1.33	0.1	0.1	0.007	0.00	
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	5.4	5.38	10.78	10.7	0.013	0.22	0.02	0.05	0.003	-0.51	
Hb g/dl	1	12.8	12.8	25.6	25.2	0.026	0.49	0	0.1	0.007	-0.67	
НСТ%	1	39.7	39. <mark>5</mark>	79.2	79.8	0.155	-0.13	0.2	0.4	0.025	-0.45	
MCV-fl	1	73.8	73.1	146.9	149.4	0.206	-0.39	0.7	0.2	0.017	1.69	
МСН-Рд	1	23.8	23.7	47.5	46.8	0.059	0.44	0.1	0.2	0.012	-0.67	
MCHC-g/dl	1	32.4	32.2	64.6	62.5	0.120	0.56	0.2	0.3	0.018	-0.34	
Plt. x10³/μl	1	195	186	381	370.5	1.599	0.22	9	7	0.392	0.29	
Retic %	2	10	9	19	15.7	0.249	0.44	1	0.5	0.034	0.84	

## P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT				
DLC%	3		Poly: 44 - 60, Myelo: 10 - 22, Meta: 7- 16, Lympho: 2- 6, Promyelo: 2-6, Eosino: 1-4, Blast: 1-4, Mono: 1 - 3, nRBC/Baso: 0-5				
RBC Morphology	3		Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, hypochromia, Microcytosis; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis				
Diagnosis	3	Chronic phase of chronic Myeloid Leukemia	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase)				

### **COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS**

Test neverences	S.No.	Total participants covered in the current dist. 159N	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3		
Test parameters				Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	
WBC x10³/μl	1	364	359	88.58	86.07	3.06	6.69	8.36	7.24	
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	364	364	87.64	87.09	6.87	4.95	5.49	7.96	
Hb g/dl	1	364	364	90.66	84.89	4.95	5.22	4.39	9.89	
HCT%	1	364	3 <mark>60</mark>	94.72	87.5	4.44	4.72	0.84	7.78	
MCV-fl	1	364	360	93.06	88.33	5.28	6.67	1.66	5	
MCH-Pg	1	364	360	86.94	<mark>9</mark> 3.06	8.06	1.67	5	5.27	
MCHC-g/dl	1	364	359	93.87	87.19	5.29	5.85	0.84	6.96	
Plt. x10³/μl	1	364	360	93.61	91.94	3.89	4.17	2.5	3.89	
ReticCount%	2	364	273	93.41	84.62	4.76	8.79	1.83	6.59	
PS Assessment	3	364	267	Satisfactory :93.95%, Borderline Sat. :2.20%, Unsatisfactory :3.85%						

### \*Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

**Note-1: EQA** (External Quality Assurance) : Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

**Note-3:** Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

 $\textbf{Note-8:} \ \ \textbf{Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.}$ 

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

**Note 10:** Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----