

CHRISTIAN MEDICAL COLLEGE

DEPARTMENT OF CLINICAL BIOCHEMISTRY
CMC EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE SCHEME
MONTHLY SUMMARY REPORT - JUNE 2023



Lab Name

MEDRAY CLINICS PVT LTD

Lab No

17475

Constituent Group

Chemistry I

Date of Result Entered:

16/06/2023

PT item

Lyophilized human serum based

Date of Report Published :

04/07/2023

SI	No Analyte	Method / Principle Name	Analyzer Name	No of Participants	DV	Participants		Your	SDI	U
01.					DV	CV	SD	Value	301	U
	GLUCOSE	GOD-POD	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	1157	123.88	6.67	8.26	138 mg/dL	1.71	0.49
2	UREA	Urease UV / GLDH	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	759	48.17	9.57	4.61	46.9 mg/dL	-0.28	0.33
3	CREATININE	Jaffes End point	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	332	2.01	9.39	0.19	2.49 mg/dL	2.54	0.02
4	T.BILIRUBIN	Diazonium salt (Colorimetric) / Jendrassik	Any Analyser . (Automation / Semi Automation)	1028	2.93	12.68	0.37	3.39 mg/dL	1.24	0.02
5	T-PROTEIN	Biuret - Colorimetric	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	1151	5.92	7.83	0.46	5.48 g/dL	-0.95	0.03
6	ALBUMIN	BCG - colorimetric	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	829	3.54	7.30	0.26	3.56 g/dL	0.08	0.02
7	CALCIUM	Arsenazo III	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	968	10.07	7.04	0.71	10.26 mg/dL	0.27	0.05
8	URIC ACID	Enzymatic / Uricase Colorimetric	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	1029	5.37	15.52	0.83	4.26 mg/dL	-1.33	0.05
9	CHOLESTEROL	CHOD-PAP	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	1201	124.53	6.12	7.62	134.11 mg/dL	1.26	0.44
10	TRIGLYCERIDE	GPO-PAP / Enzymatic Colorimetric / End Point	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	1112	176.08	8.42	14.82	168.5 mg/dL	-0.51	0.89
11	HDL	Direct method / Enzymatic colorimetric	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	862	29.06	13.00	3.78	31.7 mg/dL	0.70	0.26
12	AST	UV kinetic(with & without PLP (P-5-P))	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	1094	66.44	12.71	8.45	77 U/L	1.25	0.5
13	ALT	UV kinetic(with & without PLP (P-5-P))	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	1006	42.17	19.32	8.15	54.1 U/L	1.46	0.5
14	ALP	PNP AMP kinetic	Any Analyser (Automation / Semi Automation)	938	105.30	10.80	11.37	189 U/	L 7.36	0.7

SDI Range	Interpretation			
Within -1.00 to +1.00	Excellent.			
Within ±1.01 to ±2.00	Good.			
Within ±2.01 to ±2.99	Accept with caution. Warning Signal.			
Beyond ±3.0	Unacceptable performance. Action Signal.			

LAB ADDRESS :

MEDRAY CLINICS PVT LTD
NO.962, 12TH MAIN ROAD, NEAR RELIANCE DIGITAL HALL 2ND STAGE, INDIRA NAGAR
BANGALORE
KARNATAKA560008

		tion checklist/		0.	-	
The state of the s	Survey inform		Vellore	0.77		
Survey Name Date survey received	chemistry 1			tochem		st
	04/017/2023	Date of analysis performed	16/0	6/202	3	
Date survey result submitted	16/06/2023	Date of report receipt	04)	07/200	13	
Investigation performed by	prostanth	Date of evaluation	071	57/20	73	
Unacceptable parameter N			Date of retes			
Specimen Lyo Pol ysecl	Analyte	Reported value	Repeated Intended/peer group value value			alue
TIP 5UN 2023	MLP	18961		105.3	00	
Root cause Analysis						
Clerical				Yes	No	NA
 Was the results co report? 	rrectly transcribed fr	rom instrument re	adout or			
2. Was the correct instrument form?	strument /method re	eagent reported o	n the result	V		
Does the result rep on the proficiency	orted on the result testing evaluation re		esult found	~		
Procedural						
1. Was the written pro	ocedure followed?	The state of the s		1		
2. Were the reagents		ability limit during	g analysis?	/		
3. Were Quality Contr	ol results acceptable	e and without bia	s?	V		
. Were dilutions perf			BOYSEN SET			
nalytical						
. Was the most recer		able and within e	stablished	TV		
limits at the time of Does a review of the						
distributed data wit	hout bias?					
Was the intended re			instrument?			
Was instrument mai						
Does a review of red instrument test prob testing as performed	olems noted prior to					
/EQAS material				PARTITION OF THE PARTIT		N SELECTION
Was proficiency	testing material red	reived in the labo	raton, within		1	
an appropriate ti	ime after shipment	?				
2. Was proficiency temperature?	testing material red	ceived at the app	ropriate	~		
 Were results grad method reported 	ded in the appropri on the result form		oased on the	~		
clusion /Summary:	7	rer & res	ected.	90 0	been	re 70
e of error	- Committee of the state of the		whit.			
ethod related	Su	rvey evaluation	problem	AND THE		
chnical process relate		ther (define belo	The second secon			
rical						
entive actions (If any	1 - TO Pe.	storm go	- Calibx	trovi I	sitt-	Arcs.
incive actions (if any	1 10 10	0 10011 00	ح وما الما	1 10	an in the	W W S

Review and approval:



CHRISTIAN MEDICAL COLLEGE

DEPARTMENT OF CLINICAL BIOCHEMISTRY CMC EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE SCHEME MONTHLY SUMMARY REPORT - JUNE 2023



Lab Name

MEDRAY CLINICS PVT LTD

Lab No

17475

Constituent Group

HbA1c

Date of Result Entered:

16/06/2023

PT item

Lyophilized human whole blood based

Date of Report Published:

04/07/2023

SI.No Analyte

Method / Principle

Analyzer Name

No of **Participants**

DV

Participants

Your Value SDI

Name

HPLC-Ion Exchange Any Analyser 164

6.34

CV 8.79

0.56

-0.43 0.09

SDI Range	Interpretation
Within -1.00 to +1.00	Excellent.
Within ±1.01 to ±2.00	Good.
Within ±2.01 to ±2.99	Accept with caution. Warning Signal.
Beyond ±3.0	Unacceptable performance. Action Signal.

LAB ADDRESS:

MEDRAY CLINICS PVT LTD NO.962, 12TH MAIN ROAD, NEAR RELIANCE DIGITAL HALL 2ND STAGE, INDIRA NAGAR BANGALORE KARNATAKA560008

> **Coordinator Contact Details:** Email:clinqc@cmcvellore.ac.in Contact Number: 0416-2283102

Panela Christudoss

Dr. Pamela Christudoss **CMC EQAS Coordinator** Christian Medical College, Vellore

Homogeneity and Stability of the sample is passed. Data in CMC EQAS reports is confidential CMC EQAS does not sub contract any components ****** End of Report ******