




### PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

### ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME





Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No.:** 4437 **Distribution No.:** 159-L Month/Year: April/2023

**Instrument ID: 20207** 

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730, E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 13-06-2023[Final].

### **CBC** and Retic Assessment

				Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)				Within Lab (Precision Testing)			
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty		Results		Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μl	1	4.15	4.06	8.21	7.96	0.031	0.31	0.09	0.1	0.005	-0.11
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	4.33	4.33	8.66	8.96	0.010	-1.09	0	0.05	0.003	-1.12
Hb g/dl	1	13.2	13.2	26.4	26.3	0.028	0.12	0	0.1	0.007	-1.15
НСТ%	1	44.6	44.3	88.9	85.75	0.229	0.48	0.3	0.5	0.025	-0.45
MCV-fl	1	103	102.3	205.3	190.85	0.466	0.98	0.7	0.2	0.020	1.35
MCH-Pg	1	30.5	30.5	61	58.7	0.071	1.07	0	0.2	0.011	-0.90
MCHC-g/dl	1	29.8	29.6	59.4	60.8	0.162	-0.30	0.2	0.3	0.016	-0.34
Plt. x10³/μl	1	130	124	254	251.5	1.160	0.08	6	5	0.327	0.17
Retic %	2			6	N =	15					

### P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
		IOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3	Nrbcs= , Poly= L=, E=, Mono/Promono= , B1= P.M.=, Mye=, Meta=, Other=	Lymp: 80-89, Poly: 9-15, Mono: 1-2, nRBC/blast/Eosino/Myelo/Meta: 0-1
RBC Morphology	3		Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis
Diagnosis	3		Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL)

### **COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS**

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
Test parameters		current dist. 159L		Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/μl	1	346	346	84.68	90.17	4.05	3.47	11.27	6.36
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	346	346	87.57	91.33	8.09	3.76	4.34	4.91
Hb g/dl	1	346	346	90.17	89.31	5.78	6.65	4.05	4.04
HCT%	1	346	3 <mark>46</mark>	91.91	91.04	4.91	6.65	3.18	2.31
MCV-fl	1	346	346	93.64	91.33	3.18	4.34	3.18	4.33
MCH-Pg	1	346	346	93.06	92.2	4.62	2.89	2.32	4.91
MCHC-g/dl	1	346	346	92.77	90.17	5.49	3.47	1.74	6.36
Plt. x10³/μl	1	346	346	92.49	90.17	4.91	4.05	2.6	5.78
ReticCount%	2	346	223	92.38	91.48	7.17	2.69	0.45	5.83
PS Assessment	3	346	212	Satisfactory	:95.96%, Bo	orderline Sat	:3.18%, Uı	nsatisfactory	:0.86%

### \*Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): PS Diagnosis not reported, remaining results acceptable
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

**Note-1: EQA** (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

**IQA** (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

**Note-2:** Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

**Note-8:** Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

**Note-9:** All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

**Note 10:** Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----

## District Govt. Hospital Sehore Central Processing Lab Sewan River Square Sehore MP 466001

# Check list of Investigation/Corrective Action taken for EQAS failure

A Datien/ and BS Diagnosis (Slide)	Month: April 20	23		
'arameter: Retic /o and 1 5 Diagnosis (Shac)				
	Ves	11/		
Was the EQAS sample stored at the proper temperature following receipt		~		
Was the test reagent stored correctly in appropriate temperature	250.000			
Was the test reagent stored correctly in appropriate temperature  Was both slides unbroken while received				
Analytical phase of analysis				
Was the sample at room temperature				
Was the person running the EOAS sample was trained				
Was daily maintenance performed on the day that the EQAS sample was run				
Was daily maintenance performed on the day that the EQAS sample was run  Was IQC within an acceptable range on the day that the EQAS sample was run  Yes				
	Yes			
Was the configuration correct (instrument, method and reagent)	Yes			
	No			
	William Street S	Was the EQAS sample stored at the proper temperature following receipt  Was the test reagent stored correctly in appropriate temperature  Was both slides unbroken while received  Analytical phase of analysis  Was the sample at room temperature  Was the person running the EQAS sample was trained  Was daily maintenance performed on the day that the EQAS sample was run  Was IQC within an acceptable range on the day that the EQAS sample was run  Post-analytical phase of analysis  Was the result uploaded before the last date of submission  Yes  Have the results been reported correctly (Match instrument raw data)  Was the configuration correct (instrument, method and reagent)		

Note: Retic% and PS Diagnosis not reported in parameter due to the microscopy late examine, result has been assesst by two slides by the pathologist, after root cause analysis has been done as per above checklist. The first result of retic% is 8.1 and the second result is 7.4 and sum of two result 15.5.

DLC%: Nrbs=01, Poly=10.0 L=83, E=01, Mono/Promo=03, B1=02, P.M.=00, Mye=00, Meta=00, Other=00. RBC Morphology: Normocytic Normochromic Diagnosis: Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia CLL, now the Retic% and PS Diagnosis are acceptable.



.....

Reviewed by:

Date: 3(-7-23

Dear Sir/Mo am.

Les can not submit subsequent PT report
because the AIIMS EQAS Specimens received quantiry.

For this reason Lab will received next subsequent PT
report in September month.

# SAMPLE NO.1: PERIPHERAL BLOOD

NO.1 WBC(Higher Value: On the scale of \*\* x103/µl) 8.17 NO.2 WBC(Lower Value:On the scale of \*\* x103/µl) 8.04 NO.1 RBC (Higher Value:On the scale of \*\* x106/µl) 4.90 No.2 RBC(Lower Value:On the scale of \*\* x106/µl) 4.89 No.1 Hb(Higher Value:On the scale of \*\* g/dl) 14.0 No.2 Hb.(Lower Value:On the scale of \*\* g/dl) 14.0 No.1 Hct(Higher Value:On the scale of \*\* %) 44.9 No.2 Hct(Lower Value:On the scale of \*\* %) 44.6 No.1 MCV(Higher Value:On the scale of \*\* fl) 91.6 No.2 MCV(Lower Value:On the scale of \*\* fl)

https://www.ishtmaiimseqap.com/submitreport/112100

No.1 MCH(Higher Value:On the scale of \*\* pg)

28.6

No.2 MCH(Lower Value:On the scale of \*\* pg)

28.6

No.1 MCHC(Higher Value:On the scale of \*\* g/dl)

31.4

No.2 MCHC(Lower Value:On the scale of \*\* g/dl)

31.2

No.1 Plt(Higher Value:On the scale of \*\* x103/µl)

153

No.2 plt(Lower Value:On the scale of \*\* x103/µl)

136

## SAMPLE NO.2: RETICULOCYTE

No.1 retic(Higher Value:On the scale of \*\* %)

5.5


No.2 retic(Lower Value:On the scale of \*\* %)

5.0

### SAMPLE NO.3: PERIPHERAL SMEAR

nRBC

00



	Allma
MICROCYTIC HYPOCHROMIC	
Diagnosis	
ACUTE LEUKEMIA	
Date of receipt of specimens *	
2023-07-25	
Date of processing of specimens *	
2023-08-01	
	Quality of Specimens
No.1	
GOOD	
No.2	
GOOD	
No.3	
GOOD	
Suggestion/Feedback	
Suggestion/ Feedback	
	SUBMIT