



## PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME
NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard
Organized By Department of Hematology, AlIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No.: 4484** 

Distribution No.: 155-L

Month/Year: June/2023

Instrument ID: HUMACOUNT 5D

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 04-07-2023[Final].

## **CBC** and Retic Assessment

				Amo	ng Lab (Acc	curacy Testin	Within Lab (Precision Testing)				
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	Results Diff. of	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μΙ	1	14.7	14.2	28.9	24.12	0.1270	1.44	0.5	0.2	0.0130	1.32
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	6.13	6.12	12.25	12.05	0.0170	0.44	0.01	0.06	0.0040	-0.84
Hb g/dl	1	14.3	14.3	28.6	28.5	0.0290	0.12	0	0.1	0.0080	-1.35
нст%	1	48.9	48.9	97.8	95.8	0.2590	0.26	0	0.5	0.0360	-0.84
MCV-fl	1	79.9	79.77	159.67	159.6	0.3180	0.01	0.13	0.3	0.0210	-0.46
MCH-Pg	1	23.37	23.33	46.7	47.1	0.0570	-0.26	0.04	0.2	0.0120	-1.08
MCHC-g/dl	1	29.24	29.24	58.48	58.9	0.1470	-0.10	0	0.25	0.0170	-0.84
PIt. x10³/µl	1	195	184	379	399	2.71	-0.25	11	9	0.55	0.21
Retic %	2	,	A				1				

## P.S . Assesment

	E WA	YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT					
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=02 , Poly=71 L=04, E=00, Mono/Promono=01 , B1=00 P.M.=00, Mye=16, Meta=08, Other=00	Poly: 50 – 66, Myelo: 9 - 18, Meta: 6 – 13, Lympho: 3-7, nRBC/Promyelo/Blast/Eos/Baso/Mono: 0 – 5					
RBC Morphology	3	NORMOCYTES (+), MICROCYTES(+), ANISOCYTOSIS (+)	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, hypochromia, Microcytosis; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis					
Diagnosis	3	CHRONIC MYELOPROLIFERATIVE NEOPLASM ? CML	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase)					



## COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters		Total participants o. covered in the current dist. 155L	Total No.		% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
	S.No.		responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	
WBC x10³/µl	1	347	334	87.43	89.22	5.69	4.19	6.88	6.59	
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /µl	1	347	347	83.29	86.46	7.49	2.02	9.22	11.52	
Hb g/dl	1	347	347	87.32	84.73	4.9	6.05	7.78	9.22	
НСТ%	1	347	333	90.39	88.89	6.61	5.11	3	6	
MCV-fl	1	347	333	90.09	86.19	5.41	3.9	4.5	9.91	
MCH-Pg	1	347	333	87.99	93.69	7.51	3	4.5	3.31	
MCHC-g/dl	<del>-</del>	347	333	91.59	86.79	4.8	4.5	3.61	8.71	
Plt. x10³/µl	<del>-</del>	347	333	96.7	86.19	1.8	8.71	1.5	5.1	
ReticCount%	2	347	222	91.44	93.24	5.41	2.7	3.15	4.06	
PS Assessment	3	347	230	Satisfactory	:96.26%, Bo	rderline Sat	. :2.88%, Uı	satisfactory	:0.86%	

#### 'Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR =  $0.7413 \times IQR$ 

Note-3: Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

**Note-5:** Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----





## PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME
NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard
Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No.: 4484** 

Distribution No.: 157-L

Month/Year: October/2023

**Instrument ID: HUMACOUNT 5D** 

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 17-11-2023[Final].

## **CBC** and Retic Assessment

				Amo	ng Lab (Acc	curacy Testin	g)	With	in Lab (Pred	ision Testing	1)
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Results	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values		Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μl	1	6.7	6.6	13.3	12.5	0.0700	0.51	0.1	0.11	0.0090	-0.07
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	4.39	4.38	8.77	8.41	0.0130	1.01	0.01	0.04	0.0030	-0.51
Hb g/dl	1	11.9	11.8	23.7	23.6	0.0280	0.15	0.1	0.1	0.0090	0.00
нст%	1	40.3	40	80.3	74	0.1930	1.23	0.3	0.4	0.0280	-0.22
MCV-fl	1	91.8	91.32	183.12	177.4	0.3730	0.55	0.48	0.3	0.0230	0.49
MCH-Pg	1	27.17	26.88	54.05	56.4	0.0820	-1.17	0.29	0.2	0.0220	0.30
MCHC-g/dl	1	29.75	29.28	59.03	63.7	0.1580	-1.11	0.47	0.3	0.0220	0.57
Plt. x10³/µl	1	7 1 2 1 2									
Retic %	2	12	10	22	7.18	0.17	3.08	2	0.4	0.03	2.40

### P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=00 , Poly=03 L=07, E=00, Mono/Promono=00 , B1=90 P.M.=00, Mye=00, Meta=00, Other=00	Blast: 44-90, Lympho: 4-21, Poly: 1-6, nRBC/Eos/Baso/Mono /Myelo/Meta/ Promyelo: 0-5
RBC Morphology	3	NORMOCYTES(+), MICROCYTES(+), ANISOCYTOSIS(+)	Predominantly: Normocytic/ Normochromic, Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytic
Diagnosis	3	ACUTE LEUKEMIA ?ALL	Acute Leukemia (AL)



### COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters		Total participants	Total No.	% of Lab	s with Z e 0-2	% of Lab Scor	e 2-3	% of Labs with Z Score >3	
	S.No.	covered in the current dist. 156L	responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/µl	1	318	306	92.16	82,35	5.56	5.88	2.28	11.77
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /µl	1	318	318	87.11	83.65	5.35	4.4	7.54	11.95
Hb g/dl	1	318	318	87.74	84.91	3.14	5.35	9.12	9.74
НСТ%	1	318	307	92.18	89.25	4.56	5.21	3.26	5.54
MCV-fl	1	318	307	91.21	91.86	5.54	3.58	3.25	4.56
MCH-Pg	1	318	307	89.25	85.67	4.89	3.58	5.86	10.75
MCHC-g/dl	1	318	307	90.88	86.97	5.86	5.21	3.26	7.82
Plt. x10³/µl	1	318	307	91.86	92.18	5.86	2.61	2.28	5.21
ReticCount%	2	318	218	91.28	83.94	3.67	13.3	5.05	2.76
PS Assessment	3	318	216	Satisfactory	:93.4%, Bor	derline Sat.	:2.83%, Uns	satisfactory:	3.77%

'Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

**Note-4:** Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----





# PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT

ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME
NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard
Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

**EQAP CODE No.: 4484** 

Distribution No.: 156-L

Month/Year: August/2022

Instrument ID: HUMACOUNT 5D

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AllMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 27-09-2023[Final].

# **CBC and Retic Assessment**

			-	Amo	ng Lab (Ace	curacy Testin	g)	With	in Lab (Prec	ision Testing	1)
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)		b-	Results Diff. of	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μl	1	7.5	6.9	14.4	12.18	0.0990	0.74	0.6	0.15	0.0120	2.76
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /μl	1	4.61	4.58	9.19	8.69	0.0140	1.27	0.03	0.05	0.0040	-0.30
Hb g/dl	1	12.4	12.4	24.8	24.8	0.0290	0.00	0	0.1	0.0100	-0.45
нст%	1	41.6	41.4	83	79.6	0.2150	0.59	0.2	0.4	0.0290	-0.34
MCV-fl	1	90.39	90.24	180.63	183.3	0.3570	-0.26	0.15	0.4	0.0270	-0.48
MCH-Pg	1	27.07	26.9	53.97	57.3	0.0800	-1.70	0.17	0.3	0.0200	-0.44
MCHC-g/dl	1	29.95	29.81	59.76	62.4	0.1610	-0.61	0.14	0.3	0.0230	-0.43
PIL x10³/µl	1	186	170	356	348	1.70	0.18	16	7	0.47	1.01
Retic %	2		1 11								

### P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT					
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=03 , Poly=23 L=03, E=00, Mono/Promono=02 , B1=00 P.M.=01, Mye=50, Meta=21, Other=00	Poly: 32 – 50, Myelo: 14 - 28, Meta: 10 – 18, Promyelo: 2-8, Lympho: 2-7, nRBC/ /Blast/Eos/Baso/Mono: 0 – 5					
RBC Morphology	3	MICROCYTES(+), NORMOCYTES(+),ANISOCYTOSIS(+)	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, hypochromia, Microcytosis; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis					
Diagnosis	3	CHRONIC MYELOPROLIFERATIVE NEOPLASM ? CML	Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase)					



#### COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants	Total No. responded		% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		s with Z e 2-3	% of Labs with Z Score >3	
		current dist. 157L		Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/µl	1	312	300	77.33	90	6.33	2.33	16.34	7.67
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /µl	1	312	312	88.14	83.65	3.85	5.45	8.01	10.9
Hb g/dl	1	312	312	84.29	82.69	5.45	5.77	10.26	11.54
НСТ%	1	312	301	90.03	87.04	6.31	5.65	3.66	7.31
MCV-fl	1	312	301	93.02	89.37	4.65	6.64	2.33	3.99
MCH-Pg	1	312	301	87.71	90.03	7.97	2.66	4.32	7.31
MCHC-g/dl	1	312	301	92.36	91.03	5.98	2.99	1.66	5.98
Plt. x10³/μl	1	312	297	93.27	92.26	4.38	3.7	2.35	4.04
ReticCount%	2	312	206	88.83	89.81	5.83	7.28	5.34	2.91
PS Assessment	3	312	199	Satisfactory	:90.04%, Bo	rderline Sat	:3.21%, Uı	nsatisfactory	:6.75%

#### 'Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): CBC result for and RETIC unacceptable, may be due to random/human error
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values – Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value  $> \pm 3$  are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Jeyer-

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----