



ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME

NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



 $Duration\ of\ stability\ testing\ -\ minimum\ up to\ 8\ days\ at\ ambient\ temp.\ after\ dispatch\ of\ specimens$

EQAP CODE No.: 1702

Distribution No.: 161-D

Month/Year: September/2023

Instrument ID: MEK 6510 K (SNO. 04225)

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Manoranjan Mahapatra (Prof. & Head), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 23-10-2023[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

				Amo	ng Lab (Ac	curacy Testi	ng)	With	in Lab (Pre	ecision Testi	ng)
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty	Z Score	Yours Results	Consensus Result		7
WBC x10³/μl	1	3.9	3.9	7.8	7.61	0.024	0.29	0	0.09	0.005	-1.21
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	4.23	4.01	8.24	8.51	0.008	-1.30	0.22	0.03	0.002	5.13
Hb g/dl	1	14.2	13.4	27.6	27.9	0.026	-0.45	0.8	0.1	0.007	9.44
НСТ%	1	42.7	40.3	83	83.8	0.138	-0.21	2.4	0.3	0.022	5.67
MCV-fl	1	101	101	202	197.2	0.252	0.69	0	0.3	0.020	-1.01
МСН-Рд	1	33.6	33.4	67	65.35	0.068	0.92	0.2	0.2	0.014	0.00
MCHC-g/dl	1	33.3	33.3	66.6	66.2	0.120	0.11	0	0.3	0.016	-1.01
Plt. x10³/μl	1	132	126	258	311	0.930	-2.04	6	4	0.252	0.45
Retic %	2	4.2	4	8.2	5.08	0.122	0.81	0.2	0.2	0.012	0.00

P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT					
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=0 , Poly=56 L=3, E=0, Mono/Promono=0 , B1=6 P.M.=12, Mye=12, Meta=10, Other=	Poly: 48 - 62, Myolo: 10 - 20, Meta: 7- 15, Promyelo: 2-7, Blast: 2-5, Lympho: 2- 5, Eosino: 1-2, nRBC/Mono, Baso: 0-5					
RBC Morphology	2	Normocytic normochromic with few microcytic hypochromic with mild anisocytosis	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, Hypochromic, Mild: Poikilocytosis					
Diagnosis	diffsocytosis		Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Chronic Phase)					

- montars	S No	Total participants covered in the current dist. 161D	Total No.	% of Lab Score		% of Lab		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
Test parameters	3.140.		responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/µl	1	343	340	85.88	93.53	4.12	3.53	10	2.94
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	343	343	89.21	84.84	6.41	7.87	4.38	7.29
Hb g/dl	1	343	343	86.01	89.5	7	4.08	6.99	6.42
HCT%	1	343	341	94.13	84.75	3.23	8.21	2.64	7.04
MCV-fl	1	343	340	90.88	91.18	6.76	2.65	2.36	6.17
MCH-Pg	1	343	341	87.39	94.43	7.04	1.76	5.57	3.81
MCHC-g/dl	1	343	341	93.55	91.5	3.81	2.05	2.64	6.45
Plt. x10³/μl	1	343	341	91.79	92.08	5.28	3.81	2.93	4.11
ReticCount%	2	343	300	90.67	87	7	2.33	2.33	10.67
PS Assessment	3	343	320	Satisfactory	:94.18%, Bo	orderline Sat	:. :3.20%, Uı	nsatisfactory	:2.62%

'Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.
- 2). Within Lab (IQA) : Difference in the CBC measurement values for RBC, HB & HCT unacceptable, please check precision/human error.Remaining precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value $> \pm 3$ are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\overline{x}-\overline{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Manoranjan Mahapatra (Prof. & Head)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----

Andriad in Done





ISHTM-AHMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No.: 1702

Distribution No.: 160-D

Month/Year: May/2023

Instrument ID: MEK 6510K

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Manoranjan Mahapatra (Prof. & Head), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com Date of issue & status of the report: 22-07-2023[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

						Total	(ng)	With	nin Lab (Pr	ecision Testi	ing)
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result	curacy Test Uncertaint of Assigned Values	y 7	Yours Results	Consensus Result		7
WBC x10³/µl	1	8.7	8.3	17	15.39	0.095	0.57	0.4	0.12	0.010	1.72
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	4.61	4.56	9.17	9.51	0.009	-1.43	0.05	0.04	0.002	0.27
Hb g/dl	1	13.2	13.2	26.4	26.6	0.026	-0.30	0	0.1	0.007	-1.35
нст%	1	41.1	40.7	81.8	84.6	0.174	-0.60	0.4	0.3	0.022	0.27
MCV-fl	1	89.3	89.2	178.5	177.65	0.314	0.09	0.1	0.3	0.020	-0.54
MCH-Pg	1	28.9	28.6	57.5	55.8	0.056	1.09	0.3	0.2	0.013	0.45
MCHC-g/dl	1	32.4	32.1	64.5	62.7	0.132	0.51	0.3	0.2	0.015	0.45
Plt. x10³/μl	1	220	213	433	490	1.995	-1.02	7	7	0.418	0.00
Retic %	2	8.5	8.2	16.7	9.5	0.197	1.15	0.3	0.3	0.021	0.00

P.S . Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT						
DLC%	3	Mono/Promono=1, B1=15 P.M.=05, Mye=0, Meta=0, Other=0	Lympho: 23-50, Blast: 0-41, Promyelo: 0-34, Poly: 4-16, Mono: 1-6, nRBC/Eos/Baso/Myelo/Meta: 0-5						
RBC Morphology	3	Mild to Mod.Microcytic Hypochromic RBC	Predominantly: Normocytic/ Normochromic, Anisocytosis, schistocyte, Occasional cells.						
Diagnosis			Acute Promyelocytic Leukemia(APML)						

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants covered in the	Total No.		os with Z e 0-2	, , , , , , , , ,	bs with Z re 2-3	1	bs with Z re >3
		current dist. 160D	responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10 ³ /µl	1	350	348	85.92	88.22	4.02	4.02	10.06	7.76
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	350	350	90.86	88.86	5.43	3.43	3.71	7.71
Hb g/dl	1	350	350						
НСТ%	1	350		89.14	90.86	5.14	3.43	5.72	5.71
MCV-fl	1		348	89.66	88.79	4.6	5.46	5.74	5.75
MCH-Pq	-	350	348	91.38	88.22	3.45	7.76	5.17	4.02
3	1	350	348	91.95	92.53	4.89	2.87	3.16	4.6
MCHC-g/dl	1	350	348	89.08	91.67	7.18	2.87	3.74	5.46
Plt. x10³/µl	1	350	348	88.79	90.8	6.61	5.75	4.6	3.45
ReticCount%	2	350			-				
PS Assessment	3	350	320	95	81.25	2.81	14.38	2.19	4.37
*C		330	316	Satisfactory	:70.42%, Bo	rderline Sat.	:26.14%, U	nsatisfactory	7:3.44%

Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA) : Results acceptable.
- 2). Within Lab (IQA) : Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = 0.7413 x IQR

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value $> \pm 3$ are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Manoranjan Mahapatra (Prof. & Head)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----

No chom

ON DIAGNOSTION





ISHTM-AHMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME

NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp, after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No.: 1702

Instrument ID: Nihon Kohden MEK 6510 K

Distribution No.: 158-D

Month/Year: November/2022

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi, Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 11-01-2023[Final].

CBC and **Retic** Assessment

				Amo	ng Lab (Ac	curacy Testir	ıg)	With	in Lab (Pre	cision Testir	(2)
Test Parameters	1		Your Result 2	Your Results result sum of 2 Value (Assigned Value)		Uncertainty	_	Yours Results	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	7
WBC x10³/µl	1	6.7	6.5	13.2	13.68	0.028	-0.70	0.2	0.1	0.007	0.84
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	5.24	5.12	10.36	10.47	0.009	-0.44	0.12	0.03	0.002	2.02
Hb g/dl	1	14.8	14.2	29	29.1	0.021	-0.17	0.6	0.1	0.007	6.74
НСТ%	1	45.5	44.5	90	90.45	0.159	-0.10	1	0.3	0.022	1.57
MCV-fl	1	86.9	86.8	173.7	173.1	0.252	0.08	0.1	0.3	0.021	-0.67
MCH-Pg	1	28.2	27.7	55.9	55.4	0.044	0.44	0.5	0.2	0.014	1.35
MCHC-g/dl	1	32.5	31.9	64.4	64.1	0.107	0.09	0.6	0.3	0.018	1.01
Plt. x10³/ μl	1	136	136	272	281	1.187	-0.28	0	5	0.295	-0.9
Retic %	2	16.7	16.5	33.2	36.5	0.717	-0.1	0.2	1	0.061	-0.6

P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=0 , Poly=32 L=65, E=02, Mono/Promono=1 , B1=0 P.M.=0, Mye=0, Meta=0, Other=	Lympho: 64-73, Poly: 23-31, nRBC/mono/Eosino/Myelo/Meta/blast: 0-5
RBC Morphology		Normocytic Normochromic with mild anisocytosis and polkilocytosis.	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis ,Few smudge cells seen.
Diagnosis	3	Lymphocytic Leucocytosis.	Chronic lymphoproliferative disorder

est parameters	S.No.	Total participants covered in the	Total No.	% of Lab		% of Labs		% of Labs	
		current dist. 158D	responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC $x10^3/\mu l$	1	327	225				1.00	10.76	4.0
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	327	325	84.62	91.08	4.62	4.62	10.76	4.3
Hb g/dl	1		327	88.99	90.52	5.81	3.98	5.2	5.5
НСТ%	1	327	327	87.16	89.91	5,81	5.81	7.03	4.28
MCV-fl	1	327	325	93.85	88.31	2.46	6.15	3.69	5.54
	1	327	325	94.15	89.54	3.69	6.15	2.16	4.31
MCH-Pg	1	327	325	-		+			-
MCHC-g/dl	1	327		91.38	89.85	3.69	5.54	4.93	4.61
Plt. x10 ³ /μl	1	327	325	96.92	91.08	1.23	4	1.85	4.92
ReticCount%	2		325	90.15	90.77	7.38	6.15	2.47	3.08
	-	327	298	97.32	88.26	2.01	4.36	0.67	7.38
PS Assessment		327	291	Satisfactor		Borderline Sa			

Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA) : Results acceptable.

2). Within Lab (IQA) : Difference in the CBC measurement values for HB unacceptable, may be due to

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised IQR = $0.7413 \times IQR$

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value $> \pm 3$ are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$ should be smaller than the check

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AlIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----





ISHTM-AHMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMML NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



 $Duration\ of\ stability\ testing\ -\ minimum\ up to\ 8\ days\ at\ ambient\ temp.\ after\ dispatch\ of\ specimens$

EQAP CODE No.: 1702

Distribution No.: 157-D

Month/Year: August/2022

Instrument ID: MEK 6510K (04225)

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 15-10-2022[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

_						Amo	ng Lab (Acc	uracy Testin	ıg)	'	Withi	n Lab (Pre	cision Testin	ig)
Pa	Test rameters	S.No.	Your Resu			Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	7	Res Dif	urs sults f. of 2 lues	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
W	BC x10³/μ	1	3.1		3	6.1	6.13	0.017	-0.0	17	0.1	0.07	0.005	0.45
R	RBC x10 ⁶ /μ	1 1	4.5	3	4.4	8.93	9.36	0.008	-2.0	00	0.13	0.04	0.002	2.43
	Hb g/dl	1	10	.9	10.8	21.7	22.6	0.020	-1.	.73	0.1	0.1	0.007	0.00
-	нст%	1	3'	7.8	36.6	74.4	78.1	0.112	-1	.22	1.2	0.3	0.021	2.82
	MCV-fl	1	1 8	3.4	83.2	166	.6 166.6	0.210	C	00.0	0.2	0.3	0.020	-0.27
	мсн-Р		1 2	24.5	24.	1 48	.6 48.2	0.045		0.34	0.4	0.2	0.011	1.3
	мснс-9		1	29.5	28	.8 58	57.75	5 0.094		0.21	0.7	0.3	0.016	1.3
	Plt. x10	_	1	97	9	6 1	93 231	0.954	1	-1.48	1	5	0.283	3 -0.
	Retic	-	2	3.5	3	3.2	6.7 4	0.13	8	0.47	0.	.3 0.2	0.01	1 0

P.S. Assesment

		1.5.	Assessment
			CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3	Mono/Promono=1, B1=17 P.M.=35, Mono/Promono=1, Other=	Blast: 43-80, Poly: 4-12, Lympho: 4-10, Promyelo: 0-12.25, Myelo: 1-6.5, nRBC/Mono/Meta/Eos: 0-5
RBC Morphology	3	Normocytic Normocromic with Mild Microcytic , Hypochromic and mild anisocytosis and mild poikilocytosis.	Predominantly: Normice such the Hypochromia; Mild: Anisocytosis, Macrocytosis Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)
Diagnosis	3	CML (Chronic Myleoid Leukemia)	Acute Myeloid Leukeliid (MAZ)

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants covered in the	Total No.	% of Lab Score		% of Labs		% of Labs with Z Score >3		
		current dist. 157D	responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	
WBC x10³/µl	1	353	348	82.76			3.45	13.5		
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	353	353		92.24	3.74			4.31	
Hb g/dl	1	353		87.82	88.39	6.23	5.1	5.95	6.51	
HCT%	1	353	353	85.27	90.65	5.95	3.97	8.78	5.38	
MCV-fl	1		348	89.08	91.09	6.32	4.6	4.6	4.31	
MCH-Pq	1	353	348	91.09	93.39	5.75	2.59	3.16	4.02	
	1	353	348	85.63	93.97	8.33	2.3	6.04	3.73	
MCHC-g/dl	1	353	348	89.66	91.38	5.46	2.87	4.88	5.75	
Plt. x10³/µl	1	353	348			-	-	_	-	
ReticCount%	2	353		88.51	88.79	6.03	6.9	5.46	4.31	
PS Assessment	3		231	89.18	95.24	9.09	9.96	1.73	-5.20	
Comments		353	337	Satisfactor	y:94.64%, E	Borderline Sa	t. :3.95%, U	Jnsatisfacto:	ry :1.41%	

'Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA) : PS Diagnosis wrongly reported, remaining results acceptable
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Difference in the CBC measurement values for HCT unacceptable, may be due to

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised $IQR = 0.7413 \times IQR$

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ± 3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

 $\textbf{Note-8:} \ \textbf{Proficiency testing (PT)} \ \textbf{samples are sent quarterly to each participant}.$

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Hylo

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----