

ISHTM-AHMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME
NABL so redited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard
Organical By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



PARTICIPATION CERTIFICATE

[Certificate No. EAQP/2915/2023/30]

Date 30.12.202

This is to certify that",,, "has participated in the "ISHTM-AIIMS External Quality Assurance Program" for the period "January 2023 to December 2023".

Report authorized by,

Dr. Manoranjan Mahapatra (Prof. & Head)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi





ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029

Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No.: 2915

Distribution No.: 162-G

Month/Year: December/2023

Instrument ID: BC-6800 Mindray, 5

Model Name.: Mindray

Serial No.: BC6800/SH-78002528

part, SH-78002528

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Manoranjan Mahapatra (Prof. & Head), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi, Tel: 9013085730, E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 08-03-2024[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

				Amo		curacy Test	ing)	Witl	hin Lab (Pr	ecision Test	ing)
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertaint of Assigned Values	y Z Score	Yours Results	Consensus Result		7 3
WBC x10³/μl	1	4.53	4.49	9.02	8.94	0.026	0.14	0.04	0.1	0.007	-0.62
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	4.37	4.37	8.74	8.78	0.011	-0.15	0	0.03	0.003	-0.58
Hb g/dl	1	13.1	13.1	26.2	25.7	0.023	0.96	0	0.1	0.008	-1.35
НСТ%	1	43.5	43.5	87	82.84	0.223	0.74	0	0.3	0.027	-0.67
MCV-fi	1	99.6	99.3	198.9	189.6	0.415	0.80	0.3	0.2	0.020	0.34
МСН-Рд	1	29.9	29.9	59.8	58.4	0.074	0.79	0	0.2	0.018	-0.90
MCHC-g/dl	1	30.1	30	60.1	61.45	0.158	-0.31	0.1	0.3	0.015	0.67
Plt. x10³/µl	1	151	150	301	267	1.491	0.94	1	4	0.00-	
Retic %	2	9.5	9	18.5	23.45	0.429	0.48	0.5	0.6	0.05-	0.67

		YOUR REPORT	
DLC%		Nrbcs=0 Poly=06 I 7	CONSENSUS REPORT
PRO		Mono/Promono=10, B1=08 P.M.=0, Mye=0, Meta=0, Other=	Lymp: 82-90, Poly: 7-10, nRBC/Blast/Myelo/Meta/Mono/Eosino: 0-5
RBC Morphology	3	Predominantly Normocytic Normochromic	Dead :
		red Cell. Smudge Cells Seen	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, Mild: Microcytic, Hypochromic.
Diagnosis	3	LPD (Chronic Lymphoproliferative	
		disorder)	Chronic Lymphoproliferative Disorder/CLL

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

		COMBINE	<u>D DATA VALI</u>	% of Lab	s with Z	% of Labs	with Z	% of Labs with Z Score >3	
	·	Total participants	Total No.	Score	0-2	Among	Within	Among	Within lab
Test parameters	S.No.		responded	Among labs	Within lab	labs	lab	labs	4.8
Test par		162G	250	82	92	2.8	3.2	3.6	6.8
WBC x10³/μl	1	250	250	89.6	89.2	6.8 7.2	4	5.2	5.2
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	250 250	250	87.6	90.8	2.8	5.6	0.8	6.8 9.2
Hb g/dl	1	250	250	96.4	87.6	2	3.2	3.6	3.6
HCT%	1	250	250	98	93.2	6	3.2	0	4
MCV-fl MCH-Pg	1	250	250 250	96.8	90.8	3.2	5.2 3.6	2.4	6.4
MCHC-g/dl	1	250	250	92	90	5.6	10.43	2.61	10.00
Plt. x10³/µl	1	250	230	93.04	79.57	4.35 orderline Sa	t. :0.8%, Ur	satisfactory	:4%
ReticCount%	2	250 250	226	Satisfactor	y :95.2%, B	orderine od			
PS Assessment	3	250	1						

'Comments:

1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

(EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two

 $IQR = Quartile \ 3$ - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised $IQR = 0.7413 \times IQR$

Note-3: Z score 0 to ±2: Acceptable, Z score ±2 to ±3: Warning Signal, Z score > ±3: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\overline{x}-\overline{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Manoranjan Mahapatra (Prof. & Head)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----

s, kle





PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT ISHTM VIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME dif ad program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No.: 2915

Distribution No.: 161-G

Month/Year: September/2023

Instrument ID: BC6800/SH78002528

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Manoranjan Mahapatra (Prof. & Head), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Date of issue & status of the report: 27-12-2023[Final].

CBC and **Retic Assessment**

					Am	ong Lab (Ad	curacy To	estii	ng)	With	hin Lab (F	Precision	Toct	ing)
P	Test 'arameters	S.No.	Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus	Uncertai of Assign Values			Yours	Consensi	Uncort	ainty	1
11	VBC x10³/μl	1	5.14	5.05	10.19	10.15	0.042		0.04	0.09	0.1	0.009		-0.09
R	BC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	4.11	4.08	8.19	8.03	0.010		0.67	0.03	0.04	0.003		0.27
	Hb g/dl	1	12.9	12.8	25.7	25.2	0.030	0	.84	0.1	0.1	0.009	()	0.00
	НСТ%	1	41.2	41.1	82.3	78.4	0.203	0.	68	0.1	0.4	0.028	-0	.81
	MCV-fl	1	100.9	100.2	201.1	194.9	0.388	0.5	57	0.7 -	0.2	0.022	1.	69
1	МСН-Рд	1	31.6	31.3	62.9	62.7	0.076	0.1	1 (0.3	0.3	0.019	0.0	00
M	CHC-g/dl	1	31.3	31.2	62.5	64.1	0.159	-0.4	2 0	.1	0.3	0.021	-0.6	-
Pl	lt. x10³/μl	1	201	200	401	420	1.689	-0.47	7 1		6	0.359	-0.96	-
]	Retic %	2	10	9.5 1	9.5	14.65	0.279	0.69	0.5	5 0	.5	0.034	0.00	-

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=1 , Poly=2 L=90, E=0, Mono/Promono=0 , B1=6 P.M.=0, Mye=1, Meta=1, Other=SMUDGF CELLS SEEN	Lymp: 85-93, Poly: 3-7, Mono: 1-3, nRBC/Blast/Eosino/Promyelo/Myelo/Meta: 0-5
RBC lorphology	3	Normocytic normochromic with mild to moderate anisopoikilocytosis seen	Normocytic, Normochromic; Mild: Microcytic , Hypochromic, Anisopoikilocytosis ,Tear Drop Cells.
Diagnosis	3	Chronic learning	Chronic Lymphoproliferative Disorder

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

		77					-		
Test parameters	S.No.	and the file	Total No.	% of Lab Score		% of Lab		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
		current dist. 161G	responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10 ³ /µl	1	258	257	02.00					
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	258		82.88	81.71	2.33	7.78	14.79	10.51
	-		258	86.05	92.25	8.53	3.1	5.42	4.65
Hb g/dl	1	258	258	84.88	87.6	7.75	4.26	7.37	8.14
НСТ%	1	258	257	95.72	93	3.11	2.33	1.17	4.67
MCV-fl	1	258	257	96.89	89.11	2.72	6.23	0.39	4.66
MCH-Pg	1	258	257	87.16	88.33	7	5.45	5.84	6.22
MCHC-g/dl	1	258	257	92.22	89.11	5.84	7	1.94	3.89
Plt. x10³/µl	1	258	257	91.83	92.61	4.67	3.11	3.5	4.28
ReticCount%	2	258	232	92.24	90.95	6.47	6.03	1.29	3.02
PS Assessment	3	258	233	Satisfactory	y :93.8%, Bo	rderline Sat	. :1.55%, Ur	nsatisfactory	:4.65%

'Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.
- 2), Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

 $IQR = Quartile \ 3$ - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised $IQR = 0.7413 \ x \ IQR$

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC] 13528:2015 standard]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value $> \pm 3$ are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\overline{x}-\overline{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Manoranjan Mahapatra (Prof. & Head)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----





ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard

Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029



 $Duration\ of\ stability\ testing\ -\ minimum\ up to\ 8\ days\ at\ ambient\ temp.\ after\ dispatch\ of\ specimens$

EQAP CODE No.: 2915

Instrument ID: BC6800/SH78002528

Distribution No.: 160-G

Month/Year: June/2023

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi, Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 01-08-2023[Final].

CBC and **Retic** Assessment

				Amo	ng Lab (Ac	curacy Testin	ng)	With	in Lab (Pre	ecision Testi	ng)
Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	Tours	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
WBC x10³/μl	1	5.39	5.38	10.77	10.77	0.042	0.00	0.01	0.1	0.007	-0.81
RBC x10 ⁶ /μ1	1	4.5	4.45	8.95	8.86	0.009	.0.36	0.05	0.04	0.003	0.27
Hb g/dl	1	12.9	12.9	25.8	25.5	0.030	0.40	0	0.1	0.008	-0.67
НСТ%	1	43.4	42.8	86.2	81.9	0.173	0.96	0.6	0.4	0.027	0.54
MCV-fl	1	96.3	96.2	192.5	· 185.1	0.307	0.94	. 0.1	0.3	0.022	-0.54
мсн-Рд	1	29	28.6	57.6	57.8	0.073	-0.12	0.4	0.2	0.019	0.67
MCHC-g/dl	1	30.1	29.7	59.8	62.4	0.135	-0.75	0.4	0.3	0.021	0.34
Plt. x10³/μl	1	115	111	226	166.5	1.767	1.24	4	5	0.308	-0.22
Retic %	2	12.5	12	24.5	16.4	0.376	0.81	0.5	0.5	0.037	0.00

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
DLC%	3	Nrbcs=0, Poly=7 L=85, E=6, Mono/Promono=2, B1=0 P.M.=0, Mye=0, Meta=0, Other=There is marked	Lymp: 78-86, Poly: 8-15, Eosino: 1-3, mono: 1-2, nRBC/blast/Myelo/Meta: 0-5
RBC		- rodominantly	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Macrocytosis, Poikilocytosis.

The same of				1	Amo	ng Lab (Acc	uracy Testin	g)	With	in Lab (Pre	cision Testir	ıg)
	Test arameters			Your Results	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned		_	Results	Diff. of 2 values	Uncertainty	Z Score	
	Diagnosis	3	(CLPD)	with mil	proliferati d Eosinoph ith Eosino	ve disorder nilia. most philia	Chronic Lymph	noprolife	erative Disc	order/CLL		

Page 2 of 2

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

		COMBINE							
		Total participants		% of Labs		% of Labs	s with Z 2-3	% of Labs with Z Score >3	
Test parameters	S.No.	covered in the current dist.	Total No. responded	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
		160G			89.63	5.19	4.44	; 15.55	5.93
WBC x10 ³ /µl	1	270	270	79.26		6.3	7.41	5.55	6.29
RBC x10 ⁶ /µl	1	270	270	88.15	86.3		5.19	3.34	6.29
	1	270	270	91.85	88.52	4.81		2.59	4.44
Hb g/dl	1	270	270	95.19	87.41	2.22	8.15	1.86	5.18
НСТ%	1 1		270	94.81	86.67	3.33	8.15		5.92
MCV-fl	1	270		88.89	88.52	6.3	5.56	4.81	
MCH-Pg	1	270	270		87.78	5.93	4.81	1.48	7.41
MCHC-g/dl	1	270	270	92.59		1.85	5.19	1.85	6.29
Plt. x10 ³ /μl	1	270	270	96.3	88.52	4.6	8.37	0.42	3.35
		270	239	94.98	88.28	4.0	at .2 96%	Unsatisfacto	ry:1.85%
ReticCount%	ReticCount 70 2		239	Satisfacto	94.98 88.28 4.0 Satisfactory :95.19%, Borderline Sat. :2.96%				v
PS Assessmen	at :	3 270			_			i	

Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine 2). Willein Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

1QA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within

Note-2: // score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

(EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR) Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised $IQR = 0.7413 \times IQR$ values)/(Normalised IQR)

Note-3: 7 score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score > ± 3 : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC]

Note-4: 7 score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass orange colour. Z score value > ±3 are texted in red colour. homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability nonnogenerty test, between sample 3D (33) should be smaller than the check test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\overline{x},\overline{y})$ should be smaller than the check

Note-6: ISITIM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,





ISHTM-AIIMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME
NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard
Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029

Duration of stability testing - minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

EQAP CODE No.: 2915

Distribution No.: 159-G

Month/Year: March/2023

Instrument ID: BC-6800/SH78002528

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730, E-Mail: accuracy2000@gmail.com

Date of issue & status of the report: 01-05-2023[Final].

CBC and Retic Assessment

					Among Lab (Accuracy Testing) Within Lab (Precision							ng)
P	Test arameters	S.No.	Your Result 1		Your Results Sum of 2 Value	Consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	Regults	Consensus Result Diff. of 2 values (Assigned Value)	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score
v	VBC x10³/μl	1	3.78	3.72	7.5	8.21	0.0460	-0.64	0.06	0.1	0.0070	-0.36
I	RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	4.45	4.41	<u>8</u> .86	8.8	0.0100	0.31	0.04	0.04	0.0030	0.00
	Hb g/dl	1	13.3	13.3	26.6	25.9	0.0240	1.11	0	0.1	0.0080	-1.35
	нст%	1	42	41.7	83.7	80.5	0.1800	0.72	0.3	0.3	0.0270	0.00
	MCV-fl	1	94.6	94.4	189	183.65	0.29 00	0.69	0.2	0.3	0.0250	-0.27
	МСН- Р g	1	30.1	29.8	59.9	59	0.0730	0.53	0.3	0.2	0.0170	0.45
	MCHC-g/dl	1	31.9	31.6	63.5	64.05	0.1360	-0.16	0.3	0.3	0.0200	0.00
	Plt. x10³/µl	1	153	151	304	293	1.46	0.30	2	4.5	0.34	-0.48
	Retic %	2	6.5	6	12.5	8.15	0.19	0.93	0.5	0.4	0.03	0.39

Γ			YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT
	DLC%	3	Nrbcs=32 , Poly=73 L=20, E=1, Mono/Promono=2 , B1=0 P.M.=0, Mye=0, Meta=4, Other=	Poly: 55-66, Lympho: 24-34, Mono: 1-4, Eosino: 1-3, blast/Promyelo/Myelo/Meta: 0-5
	RBC Morphology	3	PREDOMINANTLY microcytic hypochromic red cells with severe anisopoikilocytosis showing majority of spherocytes along with scattered target cells , elliptocytes, schistocytes, pencil cells & few macrocytic hypochromic red cells.	Predominantly: Normocytic/Normochromic; Moderate: Anisocytosis, Microcytosis, Hypochromia; Mild: Poikilocytosis, Target cells, Sickle shaped cells, tear drop cells
/	Diagnosis	.3	smear suggestive of hemolytic anemia.	Thalassemia/Haemoglobinopathy

COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

Test parameters	S.No.	Total participants covered in the current dist. 159G	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
				Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
WBC x10³/μl	1	248	248	87.5	88.31	4.44	3.63	8.06	8.06
RBC x10 ⁶ /μl	1	248	248	79.84	87.9	10.48	6.05	9.68	6.05
Hb g/dl	1	248	248	88.71	92.34	4.84	3.63	6.45	4.03
НСТ%	1	248	248	94.35	89.92	4.44	4.84	1.21	5.24
MCV-fl	1	248	248	96.77	91.53	2.42	3.23	0.81	5.24
MCH-Pg	1	248	247	91.9	94.74	6.07	0.4	2.03	4.86
MCHC-g/dl	1	248	248	94.76	90.73	4.84	2.82	0.4	6.45
Plt. x10³/μl	1	248	248	92.74	93.95	5.24	4.03	2.02	2.02
ReticCount%	2	248	216	91.2	84.26	5.56	9.26	3.24	6.48
PS Assessment	3	248	218	Satisfactory :90.74%, Borderline Sat. :8.06%, Unsatisfactory :1.20%					

Comments:

- 1). Among Lab (EQA): Results acceptable.
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine the accuracy of your results.

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR) Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two

values)/(Normalised IOR)

 $IQR = Quartile \ 3$ - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised $IQR = 0.7413 \ x \ IQR$

Note-3: Z score 0 to ± 2 : Acceptable, Z score ± 2 to ± 3 : Warning Signal, Z score $> \pm 3$: Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC] 13528:2015 standard1

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to ± 2 " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " ± 2 to ± 3 " are texted in orange colour. Z score value $> \pm 3$ are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample $(\overline{x}-\overline{y})$ should be smaller than the check value (0.3*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Seema Tyagi (Prof.)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----