TATA
<u>1mg</u>
ш9

TATA 1mg Labs			
TATA 1mg	Technologies Private Limited		
Form Name	Proficiency Testing - Action Needed Form		
Form No.	Gen / FR / 59		
Issue Date & Version No.	01-Jul-2022 V2		

Section 1 - Initiation of ANF (to be filled by the person who raising the ANF)					
PT/EQAS Age	ncy CAP AIIM	S □ BIORAD □ CN	IC □ RM	L □ Other	
Survey name &	distribution ID: Metropo	lis helathcare LIMITED	EQAS		
Date on agency	/ report: 10-July-2024				
ANF No: ANG/JUL/24/01	Issued by: Nicky Ar	nand <u>Issue Date</u> :	18-JUL-2024	Due date: 28-JUL	Y-2024
Department: Cl	inical Pathology	•			
	XAMINATION: Specific (Gravity			
Sample ID	Result submitted	PT targets	PT acceptab	ole range	Problem/Performance
01	1.020	NA	NA		Unacceptable
Comment /Obs	ervations: (e.g. trend, pr	eviously missed within la	ast 12 months,	lab in regulatory jed	ppardy for this analyte?)

Section 2 - Investigation of Non Conformance- Checklist

SI	ANF-CHECKLIST	Yes	No	N/A
1	Specimen temperature check, as per kit instructions	Yes		
2	Specimen storage condition check, as per kit instructions	Yes		



	TATA 1mg Labs		
TATA 1mg Technologies Private Limited			
Form Name	Proficiency Testing - Action Needed Form		
Form No.	Gen / FR / 59		
Issue Date & Version No.	01-Jul-2022 V2		

3	Specimen physical condition check	Yes		
4	Sample integrity up to arrival in lab: any shipping, delay or other sample problems?		No	
5	Sample integrity in-house: any problems with sample handling in the lab?		No	
6	Were there any instrument problem?		No	
7	Were there any method problem?		No	
8	Were there any faulty reagent/QC and Calibrator?		No	
9	Were there QC trends / problems at time of assay?		No	
10	Was Peer group data checked, if required			N/A
11	Were there any Calibration (Intercept/slope) problems at time of assay?		No	
12	Was water quality checked?			N/A
13	Did any technical errors occur due to pipetting error		No	
14	Did any technical errors occur due to sample mix-up		No	
15	Did any technical errors occur due to incorrect process, other than reconstitution, dilution or calculation errors,		No	
16	Did any technical errors occur due to misinterpretations		No	
17	Was the instrument checked for daily. Weekly. Monthly, semiannually and Annual maintenance	Yes		
18	Was there a lab clerical error? (e.g. error in unit conversion needed for survey only, transcription error onto result form, wrong method details submitted, Factor removal)		No	
19	Was there a clerical error by the PT / EQA agency?		No	
20	Were there Patient data trends / problems at time of assay?		No	
21	Were there any gaps / issues in training or competency assessment?		No	
22	Was the sample condition appropriate at time of retesting (mention temperature)		No	
23	Has sample been re-tested / re-examined?		No	
24	Has the lab perform Interlaboratory Comparison		No	
		l		

TATA
<u>1mg</u>
ш9

	TATA 1mg Labs		
TATA 1mg Technologies Private Limited			
Form Name	Proficiency Testing - Action Needed Form		
Form No.	Gen / FR / 59		
Issue Date & Version No.	01-Jul-2022 V2		

Questions 4-22 give details for any Yes answers					
Instrument daily, weekly and monthly maintenance was done.					
Question 23/24: if an	swer is yes, give	results of repeat	testing		
Sample ID	Original result	Repeat value/ Lab Result	PT Targets/ Referral Lab Result	PT/ILC Acceptable Range	Status (Acceptable/Not acceptable)
D5397330	-	>=1.030	>=1.030	±10%	<u>Acceptable</u>
D5397894	-	1.010	1.010	±10%	<u>Acceptable</u>
Section 3 Root caus	ee (Refer to Non-c	onformance erro	r Reason)		
	Random error, I	QC of the day sati	sfactory.		
Why do you think the non-conformance / error occurred? Use this area to explain your findings.					



TATA 1mg Labs			
TATA 1mg Technologies Private Limited			
Form Name	Proficiency Testing - Action Needed Form		
Form No.	Gen / FR / 59		
Issue Date & Version No.	01-Jul-2022 V2		

Assessment of the impact of root cause on patient results (Patient results may be affected before, during or after the PT event). Describe how the conclusion of impact was made and any corrective actions made to the patient result(s); "If No" - Explain why there was no patient impact?
IQC Values are within acceptable range.
Describe any previous proficiency testing issues with this test in the last sample:

Section 4: Department - Conclusion & Corrective / Preventive Action



	TATA 1mg Labs		
TATA 1mg Technologies Private Limited			
Form Name	Proficiency Testing - Action Needed Form		
Form No.	Gen / FR / 59		
Issue Date & Version No.	01-Jul-2022 V2		

What corrective acti	on have you carried out?		
Split Testing has be	en done , results are satisfac	ctory.	
Preventive action pu	it into place?		
NA			
Due date for closure	of proposed corrective and	preventive action:	
28-July-2024			
	Person investigated	Department Manager	Lab Head
Signature with Date:	x. Pacida,	Nicky Anand	Facher Kauser.
lote: After signatures pl	ease hand over the form along	g with supporting documents to QA.	
Date when ANF rece	ived to QA along with suppo	rting documents:	by:
Page 5 of 3			



	TATA 1mg Labs
TATA 1mg	Technologies Private Limited
Form Name	Proficiency Testing - Action Needed Form
Form No.	Gen / FR / 59
Issue Date & Version No.	01-Jul-2022 V2

TATA
<u>1mg</u>

Section 1 - Initiation of ANF (to be filled by the person who raising the ANF)

	TATA 1mg Labs
TATA 1mg	Technologies Private Limited
Form Name	Proficiency Testing - Action Needed Form
Form No.	Gen / FR / 59
Issue Date & Version No.	01-Jul-2022 V2

PT/EQAS Agency						
Survey name & distribution ID: Metropolis helathcare LIMITED EQAS						
Date on agency	/ report: 10-July-2024					
ANF No: ANG/JUL/24/02		Issued by: Nicky Anand		Issue Date: 18-JUL-2024		Y-2024
Department: Cl	inical Pathology					
ANALYTE or E	ANALYTE or EXAMINATION: PH					
Sample ID	Result submitted	PT targets		PT acceptable range		Problem/Performance
01	5.5	NA		NA		Unacceptable
Comment /Obs	ervations: (e.g. trend, pr	eviously n	nissed within la	st 12 months,	lab in regulatory jed	ppardy for this analyte?)
Section 2 - Inv	estigation of Non Conf	ormance	- Checklist			

ANF-CHECKLIST

Specimen temperature check, as per kit instructions

SI

1

N/A

No

Yes

Yes



TATA 1mg Labs
ng Technologies Private Limited
Proficiency Testing - Action Needed Form
Gen / FR / 59
01-Jul-2022 V2

2	Specimen storage condition check, as per kit instructions	Yes		
3	Specimen physical condition check	Yes		
4	Sample integrity up to arrival in lab: any shipping, delay or other sample problems?		No	
5	Sample integrity in-house: any problems with sample handling in the lab?		No	
6	Were there any instrument problem?		No	
7	Were there any method problem?		No	
8	Were there any faulty reagent/QC and Calibrator?		No	
9	Were there QC trends / problems at time of assay?		No	
10	Was Peer group data checked, if required			N/A
11	Were there any Calibration (Intercept/slope) problems at time of assay?		No	
12	Was water quality checked?			N/A
13	Did any technical errors occur due to pipetting error		No	
14	Did any technical errors occur due to sample mix-up		No	
15	Did any technical errors occur due to incorrect process, other than reconstitution, dilution or calculation errors,		No	
16	Did any technical errors occur due to misinterpretations		No	
17	Was the instrument checked for daily. Weekly. Monthly, semiannually and Annual maintenance	Yes		
18	Was there a lab clerical error? (e.g. error in unit conversion needed for survey only, transcription error onto result form, wrong method details submitted, Factor removal)		No	
19	Was there a clerical error by the PT / EQA agency?		No	
20	Were there Patient data trends / problems at time of assay?		No	
21	Were there any gaps / issues in training or competency assessment?		No	
22	Was the sample condition appropriate at time of retesting (mention temperature)		No	
23	Has sample been re-tested / re-examined?		No	
24	Has the lab perform Interlaboratory Comparison		No	

TATA
<u>1mg</u>
 9

	TATA 1mg Labs
TATA 1m	ng Technologies Private Limited
Form Name	Proficiency Testing - Action Needed Form
Form No.	Gen / FR / 59
Issue Date & Version No.	01-Jul-2022 V2

Instrument daily,	weekly and monthly ma	aintenance was do	ne.		
	if answer is yes, give	results of repeat	testing		
Sample ID	Original result	Repeat value/ Lab Result	PT Targets/ Referral Lab Result	PT/ILC Acceptable Range	Status (Acceptable/Not acceptable)
D5397330	-	<u>5.5</u>	<u>5.5</u>	±10%	<u>Acceptable</u>
D5397894	-	6.0	6.0	±10%	<u>Acceptable</u>
0 0 Doot	(Defende Non e		7		
Section 3 Root	cause (Refer to Non-c	onformance erro	r Reason)		
	Random error. I	QC of the day sati	isfactory.		
	1333 2 2,	40 c. a.o aa,			



	TATA 1mg Labs
TATA 1m	ng Technologies Private Limited
Form Name	Proficiency Testing - Action Needed Form
Form No.	Gen / FR / 59
Issue Date & Version No.	01-Jul-2022 V2

Assessment of the impact of root cause on patient results (Patient results may be affected before, during or after the PT event). Describe how the conclusion of impact was made and any corrective actions made to the patient result(s); "If No" - Explain why there was no patient impact?
IQC Values are within acceptable range.
Describe any previous proficiency testing issues with this test in the last sample:

Section 4: Department - Conclusion & Corrective / Preventive Action



TATA 1mg Labs TATA 1mg Technologies Private Limited		
Form No.	Gen / FR / 59	
Issue Date & Version No.	01-Jul-2022 V2	

What corrective acti	on have you carried out?		
Split Testing has be	en done , results are satisfac	ctory.	
Preventive action pu	ıt into place?		
NA			
Due date for closure	of proposed corrective and	preventive action:	
28-July-2024			
	Person investigated	Department Manager	Lab Head
Signature with Date:	K. Paciola.	Nicky Anand	Fasher Kauser.
lote: After signatures pl	lease hand over the form along	g with supporting documents to QA.	
Date when ANF rece	ived to QA along with suppo	rting documents:	by:
Page 5 of 3			



TATA 1mg Labs			
TATA 1mg Technologies Private Limited			
Form Name	Proficiency Testing - Action Needed Form		
Form No.	Gen / FR / 59		
Issue Date & Version No.	01-Jul-2022 V2		