

PROFICIENCY TESTING REPORT ISHTM-AHMS EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMME NABL accredited program as per ISO/IEC 17043:2010 standard Organized By Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029

370 24

118

 $Duration\ of\ stability\ testing\ -$  minimum upto 8 days at ambient temp. after dispatch of specimens

Distribution No.: 164-E

Serial No.: A9675

Name & Contact No. of PT Co-ordinator: Dr. Manoranjan Mahapatra ( Prof. & Head), Hematology, AIIMS, Delhi,

Tel: 9013085730 , E-Mail : info@ishtmaiimseqap.com Date of issue & status of the report: 05-08-2024[Final].

## **CBC** and Retic Assessment

					C	BC and M						(2)	
			Among Lab (Accuracy Testing)					g)	Within Lab (Precision Testing)				
-	Test Parameters	S.No.	Your Result 1	Your Result 2	Your Results Sum of 2 Value	consensus result sum of 2 values (Assigned	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	7	Yours Results Diff. of 2 Values	values	Uncertainty of Assigned Values	Z Score	
	WBC x10³/μl	1	5.3	5.1	10.4	28.25	0.639	-0.83	0.2	0.3	0.021	-0.25	
	RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /µl	1	4.98	4.95	9.93	9.7	0.011	0.78	0.03	0.04	0.003	-0.19	
	Hb g/dl	1	12.8	12.7	25.5	27.6	0.029	-2.60	0.1	0.1	0.008	0.00	
	НСТ%	1	40.1	39.7	79.8	86.85	0.235	-1.03	3 0.4	0.4	0.025	0.00	
	MCV-fl	1	80.5	80.2	160.7	178.8	0.448	-1.5	4 0.3	0.3	0.023	0.00	
	MCH-Pg	1	25.9	25.5	51.4	56.9	0.082	-2.5	66 0.4	0.3	0.013	0.34	
	MCHC-g/dl	1	32.2	31.7	63.9	63.5	0.181	0.0	0.	5 0.3	0.022	0.54	
	Plt. x10³/μl	1	129	122	251	175	2.475	1.0	04	7 5	0.342	0.34	
	Retic %	2	0.91	0.68	1.59	21.6	0.244	-2	.90 0	.23 0.7	0.04	7 -0.4	

## P.S. Assesment

		YOUR REPORT	CONSENSUS REPORT					
DLC%	3		Poly: 53-64, Lympho: 28-38, Eosino: 1-3, Mono: 2-5, blast/Promyelo/Myelo/Meta: 0-5					
RBC Morphology		MODERATE POIKILOCYTOSIS.CELLS	Predominantly: Microcytic, Hypochromic, Moderate: Anisopoikilocytosis Mild:Target cells , Tear drop cells					
Diagnosis	3	ACUTE MYELOCYTIC LEUMIA.	Thalassemia Hemoglobinopathy					

## COMBINED DATA VALUES OF TOTAL PARTICIPANTS

76986418 AMB81870 07/10/2024

		Total participants	Total No. responded	% of Labs with Z Score 0-2		% of Labs with Z Score 2-3		% of Labs with Z Score >3	
<sub>fest</sub> parameters	S.No.	covered in the current dist.		Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab	Among labs	Within lab
		164E	325	84	85.85	3.69	6.46	12.31	7.69
WBC x10 <sup>3</sup> /µl	1	329	329	87.54	92.1	7.9	3.95	4.56	3.95
RBC x10 <sup>6</sup> /µl	1	329		88.15	82.37	5.47	8.81	6.38	8.82
Hb g/dl	1	329	329	95.09	90.18	2.45	5.21	2.46	4.61
HCT%	1	329	326		94.46	5.54	2.15	3.08	3.39
MCV-fl	1	329	325	91.38		5.54	4	6.15	2.77
MCH-Pg	1	329	325	88.31	93.23		2.77	2.77	4.61
	+	329	325	92.92	92.62	4.31	5.2	3.97	6.42
MCHC-g/dl	+;	329	327	92.97	88.38	3.06		2.08	2.08
Plt. x10³/µl	1		289	87.89	95.5	10.03	2.42		
ReticCount%	2	329	290	Satisfacto	rv :95.75%,	Borderline S	Sat. :1.82%	Unsatisfact	Ory :2.43 /6
PS Assessmen	t 3	329	329 289 87.89 95.5 10.00 329 290 Satisfactory :95.75%, Borderline Sat. :1.82%, Unsatisfactory :2						







- 1). Among Lab (EQA): PS Diagnosis wrongly reported, remaining results acceptable
- 2). Within Lab (IQA): Precision acceptable.

Note-1: EQA (External Quality Assurance): Your Performance among various of participating labs in PT, to determine

IQA (Internal Quality Assurance): Your Performance of comparison of two consecutive measurement values within your lab to test the precision of your autoanalyzer.

Note-2: Z score among & within lab were calculated, as per to ISO/IEC 13528:2015 standard. Z score among lab (EQA)= (Your Result Sum of two values - Consensus Result sum of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

Z score within lab (IQA)= (Your Result Difference of two values - Consensus Result difference of two values)/(Normalised IQR)

IQR = Quartile 3 - Quartile 1 of participant data, Normalised  $IQR = 0.7413 \times IQR$ 

Note-3: Z score 0 to  $\pm 2$ : Acceptable, Z score  $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ : Warning Signal, Z score  $> \pm 3$ : Unacceptable [As per ISO/IEC]

Note-4: Z score value between "0 to  $\pm 2$ " are texted in green colour. Z score value between " $\pm 2$  to  $\pm 3$ " are texted in orange colour. Z score value > ±3 are texted in red colour.

Note-5: Homogeneity and stability testing of PT sample were done as per ISO 13528:2015 standard. To pass homogeneity test, between sample SD (Ss) should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA). To pass the stability test, average difference in measurement values of first and last day sample  $(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$  should be smaller than the check value (0.3\*SDPA).

Note-6: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP does not subcontract any task of its scheme

Note-7: Participants are free to use methods/analyzer of their own choice.

Note-8: Proficiency testing (PT) samples are sent quarterly to each participant.

Note-9: All the necessary details regarding design and implementation of PT, are provided in the instruction sheet as well as on programme's website www.ishtmaiimseqap.com.

Note 10: Reports are kept confidential.

Report authorized by,

Dr. Manoranjan Mahapatra ( Prof. & Head)

PT Co-ordinator: ISHTM-AIIMS-EQAP

Department of Hematology, AIIMS, New Delhi

-----End Of Report-----

ty